00

CONFIDENTIAL



cest C

2. Ruisir

MO 8/15L

C 800

PRIME MINISTER

M

PUBLIC EXPENDITURE SURVEY: DEFENCE

Norman Lamont and I have now concluded our negotiations on Defence in the Public Expenditure Survey and we have agreed that I should report the outcome to you.

- I, of course, have recognised the difficult situation this
 year, especially over inflation, and the importance for Norman in
 the case of Defence of avoiding re-opening, on inflation grounds,
 the three-year settlement which our predecessors agreed last year.
- 3. Against this, I have very real problems in meeting the extra cash costs from higher inflation without any visible cuts in the defence programme. I also am having to increase investment in security as a result of Mill Hill and Deal, as well as in measures to tackle the serious retention and recruitment problems we have to face.
- 4. To meet these twin challenges, we have agreed as follows. First, this year's settlement sticks as closely as possible to the PES 88 outcome. It rolls forward the three-year arrangement, with a further £750M in 1992/3. While on current inflation projections, we shall have growth in defence provision of just over 1 per cent a year on average, this will, of course, be eroded if inflation projections increase in the Autumn Statement. The defence percentage of GDP will be 3.8 per cent, as against the 4 per cent predicted last year, which we shall claim as a steady state in support of our international posture, particularly in regard to CFE.



- adding some flexibility in the use of the agreed provision. Overall provision for 1990/1 remains unchanged, but within it we have agreed an increase of £236M in the running cost limit (to be increased, as necessary, for AWE) to give me more latitude in the use of the cash. For 1991/2, we have reprofiled technical additions due to the MOD over a number of years to provide an increase of £215M. This directs cash to a year of particular difficulty but does so in a way consistent with the three-year agreement reached last year. We have also agreed that, in certain specified circumstances, up to £200M could, in subsequent PES settlements, be carried back from 1992/3 to 1991/2. To get the best out of our capital spending and procurement, we are discussing the application to Defence of the normal end-year flexibility arrangements for capital provision.
- 6. Third, we have again sought to maximise the benefits of efficiency savings both from the main efficiency scheme itself and from the sustained improvement of our procurement processes.
- 7. The problem here is to translate this settlement into programme terms. (I am having the precise implications examined in my current long-term costing.) There will have to be changes from the programme planned last year; some are likely to be painful, affecting the front line. I shall, of course, do my best to minimise the damage to capability and too visible an exposure of the changes to allies and public. I shall report the results to you in due course.
- 8. I am grateful to Norman for his understanding. What we have agreed is not going to be easy, but I accept it as the necessary basis on which to proceed.
- 9. I am copying this minute to Norman Lamont and to Sir Robin Butler.

Ministry of Defence 30 October 1989 For His King

(Haproved Bythe defines Secretors

and signed in alson and addy)

