

Point

After

Reb. Reb. 10/12

I am happy with these proposals. Of all the elements in the GEP financial reporting Package the weakest was the tying together of PPS reports and Estimates. The Treasury was under pressure from PAC & TCSL, the former wanting to build up Estimates, the latter PPS reports. The Treasury, rightly in my view, feels a greater affinity with the TCSL view - the Estimate as part of the budgeting process, not part of the planning process. It makes more sense to display output and performance information in the former.

The decision to bury reports and Estimates together in time, albeit in separate documents, reflected the fear that if Estimates remained free-standing best would be PAC pressure to build them up and thereby duplicate information in the reports.

The PAC's own preference for early publication of the reports gave the Treasury an opening for dictating the combined common publication date. This will also avoid a very complex data problem. Mixed publication of reports would require substitution of the PPS figures for you & the two agreed after Estimates scrutiny.

My conclusion is that by a roundabout route the Treasury has ended up at the ideal point, with the advantage of being able to say that - doing so it we are responding to PAC preferences.

AT