

DRAFT LETTER FROM THE SECRETARY OF STATE FOR DEFENCE
TO MONSIEUR GIRAUD,

I promised to write to confirm what I said when we met on 15-16 September about developing the exchanges between our Governments on defence nuclear matters. I felt that our discussion represented the natural continuation of a process initiated in earlier contacts between our two Governments at senior levels.

2. I suggested at our meeting that there were two broad areas for extended exchanges between us: general politico/military and strategic matters on the one hand and technical matters on the other. British and French officials have of course had discussions of aspects of each area in the past.

3. An extended programme of exchanges on politico/military and strategic issues might cover such matters as national nuclear force structures and progress on modernisation, deterrence philosophies, sub-strategic concepts of operations and the practical and organisational aspects of nuclear weapon security and survivability and accident response arrangements. There are several ways in which such subjects might be handled: some of them are clearly suitable for the agenda of our regular politico-military talks, led by the two Foreign Ministries, or for defence staff talks; while others, such as nuclear accident response, would almost certainly require some kind of ad hoc group.

TOP SECRET

4. Our technical discussions in the past have included useful exchanges on blast and thermal effects of nuclear weapons and on flash x-ray diagnostics. Our exchanges could be extended to cover other subjects of common interest in the key areas of nuclear weapon safety and security and supporting technology. The contact in these fields would be between your technical experts and mine.

5. If you could let me have your reactions before the Anglo-French Summit in November, we could discuss the way forward on that occasion. If your people wish in the meantime to have preliminary contacts with mine, I suggest that they get in touch with my Deputy Under Secretary (Policy) on the politico/military and strategic side and my Chief Scientific Adviser on the technical side.

TOP SECRET



Copy No 8 of 9 copies

Page No 1 of 2 pages

PC No. 10

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE WHITEHALL LONDON SW1A 2HB

TELEPHONE 01-218 9000
DIRECT DIALLING 01-2182111/3

30th September 1986

MO 14/4

CABINET OFFICE
B. 2090
30 SEP 1986
FILE INSTRUCTIONS
FILE No. _____

Copy to:

PS/DUS (P)
 MA/ACDS (Pol/Nuc)
 PS/ACSA (N)
 Hd Sec (NATO/UK) (P)
 D Nuc Pol/Sy
 FCO (Mr A D S Goodall)
 Cabinet Office (Mr C L G Mallaby)

My dear Colleague,

I very much valued our discussion on defence nuclear matters when we met on September 15th and am now writing to elaborate on what I then outlined to you about developing exchanges between our two Governments.

You will remember that I suggested that there were two broad areas in this field where we might build on past exchanges; general politico/military and strategic matters on the one hand and technical matters on the other. British and French officials have of course had discussions on aspects of each area in the past.

A programme of exchanges on politico/military and strategic issues might cover such matters as national nuclear force structures and progress on modernisation, deterrence philosophies, sub-strategic concepts of operations and the practical and organisational aspects of nuclear weapons security and survivability. In the aftermath of the Chernobyl disaster, there might also be merit in exchanging views on our respective nuclear accident response arrangements. There are several ways in which such subjects might be handled. Some of them are clearly suitable for the agenda of our regular politico-military talks, led by the two Foreign Ministries, or for defence

Monsieur Andre Giraud



staff talks; while others, such as nuclear accident response, would almost certainly require some kind of ad hoc group.


Our technical discussions in the past have included useful exchanges on blast and thermal effects of nuclear weapons and on flash x-ray diagnostics. Our exchanges could be extended to cover other subjects of common interest in the key areas of nuclear weapon safety and security and supporting technology. The contact in these fields would be between your technical experts and mine.

While, as we both recognised, we may not have reached full agreement on the scope and modalities of a dialogue by the time of the next Anglo-French Summit. I should like to suggest that our officials should start exploring possible future agendas and how best to organise the exchanges. I understand that M. de la Fortelle will be calling on Mr Nicholls, my Deputy Under Secretary (Policy), early in October and this might afford a good opportunity to take things forward.

I greatly appreciate your initial and positive reactions and now look forward very much to your further views on what I have proposed.

Yours sincerely,
George Younger.

George Younger



Faint, mostly illegible text at the top of the page, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side.

While we are disappointed, we are not over-whelmed with
the results of the study and realization of a program by the time of
the next fall-semester. I should like to suggest that the
attitude should be more optimistic toward agencies and the
work to expedite the program. I understand that it is possible
to be called on to participate during the summer months.
We in Oregon are looking for a good opportunity to take
part in the program.

I greatly appreciate your interest and positive response and
will contact you when we have further news on what I have proposed.

*Very sincerely,
Lester K. Smith*