

Points which you might take up in your concluding remarks are:

- the need to get the basic points of the Camp David conclusions written into NATO communiqués, so that they become the re-accepted doctrine.
- you confirmed that we would continue to rely on Trident for our nuclear deterrent. That does not rule out further exchanges with the French on both strategic and technical questions. But it would be a mistake to let the press think there was more to such cooperation than there is. Our respective nuclear modernization plans are locked in

for 15 (20 years.

- on the Community budget,
you will want to recall that
the formal position established at
Fontainebleau is that the 1.4%
ceiling may be raised by

unanimous consent from ~~the~~ 1
January 1999. ~~It~~ That means
exactly what it says, no more
and no less. Interim

- on terrorism, you welcome
the close cooperation which has
been established. But there is
an obligation on all of us
not to try to undercut any

g^{no}
- you see a particular
need for action on the
Middle East. Absence of progress
is a step ~~back~~ back. ~~For~~

There is nothing incompatible
about being tough on
terrorism and supporting a
further impetus for Middle
East peace efforts.

- you were pleased to
hear that Japan was ~~touching~~
touched on. There have been
too many promises and
not enough action. We must

Press the Japanese remorselessly.

- you were disappointed
about the French position on

Falklands, and find it hard to

understand. The Foreign Secretary drew

the parallel with New Caledonia.

- you would like to
replot the acceptance by the

Prince and Princess of Wales

of the invitation to visit

France in 1988.

Channel Tunnel

C.D.P.

Thomson - - - - -

- A final point you may like to make is that you have heard a closer similarity of ~~more~~ views on a wider range of subjects than any earlier Anglo / French summit. This is something you welcome and would like to see taken further. It reflects credit on the Ministers & officials concerned.

Prime Minister - Poney Durler

● It sounds to me as though you may need to say something on the

Community Budget and

on Falklands. ^{SSRMI) on} Fundamental Principle
- don't - reject
them away.

CDP

Refer - CIRAU)
Ventura

① Camp David.



Foreign Affairs

R. Raymond - 3rd Jones taken into account
- zero option - outside.
Risks of U.S. demilitarisation in Europe

Middle East - between present vacuum - cell present
confusion - sharing rights to self determination provided -
it with Jordan confrontation

Terrorism - Solidarity. No deals.

Iran - Normalise State to State

Baluch - CFK

Aerospace - Aulus 320 340

1987 - Fr. Br. Council.

Falklands - Fr. vote for Argentine resolution. Right to self-determination

Internal Market - Research for Tollen

E/W. problems.

P. Bosson - Budget
Research

ST. Girard

Effective Verticalisation
SRINK

M. Madelin - Internal Market

1992

M. Now

Intellectual Property

Offshore Oil

Agriculture

THE RAIMOND SPEECH

WHICH AROUSED OUR

ADMIRATION WAS MADE

NOT IN VIENNA

BUT AT

ST. PAUL DE VENICE,

A FEW WEEKS AGO

- 1 - ~~The Powell~~ This is helpful.
The Empire strikes back!
2 - PRIME MINISTER CDP
-

Kennock

Piece on lunchtime news saying the Government was hitting back over Opposition's approach to M15 and bashing about attacking the PM rather than policies.

A piece on PA takes the same line and says ^{MINISTERS} Ministers are making us secret of their contempt for Kennock's line.

J 2/24

ONE INTERESTING CONSEQUENCE
OF REYKAVIK - NOT
YET FULLY PERCEIVED -
IS THAT OTHER EUROPEAN
COUNTRIES, WHO WAS BEEN
REGARDING UK/FRENCH
NUCLEAR WEAPONS
AS AN OBSTACLE TO
ARMS CONTROL, ARE NOW
BEGINNING TO SEE THEM
AS A REAL CONTRIBUTION
TO EUROPEAN SECURITY.