IMMEDIATE FACSINILE

of Bush Garbacian press confernce

BHC VALLETTA

FAX NO (0356) 233138 Detn 225 622001

FOR QUERY (0356) 233134 Extn 202

10 UKDd NATO fax No 0322 245 2347

ATTN:

BUSH/GORBACHEN SUMMIT

1. Reference puryrigh 3 of Valletta tells 389

of 3 december, Leranth Copy of Joint Statement.

THE WHITE HOUSE Office of the Press Secretary (Valletta, Malta) For Immediate Release December 3, 1989 JOINT STATEMENT AND PRESS CONFERENCE BY PRESIDENT BUSE AND CHAIRMAN GORBACHEV Aboard the Maxim Gorky Marsaxlokk Harbor, Malta 1:20 P.M. (L) THE PRESIDENT: Ladies and gentlemen, President Gorbachev has graciously suggested I go first. And I don't think anyone can say that the salt water get-together was anything other than adventure -- at least out in the harbor here. Pirst, I want to thank Prime Minister Adami and the people of Malta and others for their warm and gracious hospitality. I want to thank the Captain and crew of Belknap for the great support that they have given us. I think they were wondering if I was about to become a permanent quest. And a special thanks to the Captain and crew of Gorky for their hospitality and also thanks to the Captain and crew of Slava, who have been so hospitable to many on the American side. I first approached Chairman Gorbachev about an informal meeting of this kind after my trip to Europe last July. Amazing changes that I witnessed in Poland and in Hungary -- hopeful changes

-- led me to believe that it was time to sit down with Chairman Gorbachev face-to-face to see what he and I could do to seize the opportunities before us to move this relationship forward.

He agreed with that concept of a meeting. And so we got rapid agreement, and I think that the extraordinary developments in Europe since the time that the meeting was proposed only reinforce the importance of our getting together.

And so I'm especially glad we had this meeting. And we did gain a deeper understanding of each other's views. We set the stage for progress across a broad range of issues. And while it is not for the United States and the Soviet Union to design the future for Europeans or for any other people, I am convinced that a cooperative U.S.-Soviet relationship can indeed make the future safer and brighter.

And there is virtually no problem in the world -- and certainly no problem in Europe -- that improvement in the U.S.-Soviet relationship will not help to ameliorate. A better U.S.-Soviet relationship is to be valued in and of itself, but it also should be an instrument of positive change for the world.

Por 40 years, the Western Alliance has stood together in the cause of freedom. And now, with reform underway in the Soviet Union, we stand at the threshold of a brand new era of U.S.-Soviet relations. And it is within our grasp to contribute, each in our own way, to overcoming the division of Europe and ending the military confrontation there. We've got to do more to ameliorate the violence and suffering that afflicts so many regions in the world and to remove common threats to our future -- the deterioration of the environment, the spread of nuclear and chemical weapons, ballistic missile technology, the narcotics trade -- and our discussions here will give greater impetus to make real progress in these areas.

There's also a great potential to develop common engagement with the international market economy, a step that certainly I'm prepared to encourage in every way I can.

As I leave Malta for Brussels and a meeting with our NATO allies, I am optimistic that as the West works patiently together and increasingly cooperates with the Soviet Union, we can realize a enduring peace and transform the East-West relationship to one of enduring cooperation. And that is a future that's worthy of our peoples and that's the future that I want to help in creating, and malta.

Thank you, sir, for your hospitality.

CHAIRMAN GORBACHEV: Ladies and gentlemen, comrades, there are many symbolic things about this meeting and one of them — that never been in the history that the leaders of our two countries hold a joint press conference. This is also an important symbol. I share the view voiced by President Bush that we are satisfied, in general, with the results of the meeting.

We regard this informal meeting — the idea of it was an informal meeting and the idea belongs to President Bush, and I supported it — that we would have this informal meeting without restricting it to any formal agenda, to have a free exchange of views, because the time makes great demands to our countries and this I can assure you that in all our discussions — and our discussions lasted for eight hours, in general — this responsibility on both

Our meeting was characterized by openness by a full scope of the exchange of views. Today it is even difficult and perhaps there is no sense to explain the entire range of issues that we have discussed. I wish to say right away, nevertheless, that on all the major issues we attempted in a frank manner using each side's arguments to explain our own positions, both with regard to the Europe and as it regards disarmament issues.

We addressed the Geneva negotiating process, the Vienna chemical weapons ban. All those questions were considered

The President and I, myself, also felt it necessary to exchange views on our perception, both from Moscow and Washington, of the hot points on our planet. And this exchange of views was very all those issues -- accute issues -- must be resolved by political methods. And I consider that this was a very important statement of fact.

We not only discussed problems and explained our positions. I think that both sides had many elements which, if they are taken into account in our future activities — activities of both governments — then we can count on progress. This concerns the subject of the reduction of strategic offensive arms by 50 percent, and we have an optimistic assessment of the possibility to move even favor, and this is our position — naturally, we can be responsible only for our position — we are in favor of signing this document at

This time we discussed much bilateral relations, and I, which were contained in statements and words by President Bush. Thus, I would say that in all directions of the political dialogue of

- 3 our discussion, including bilateral relations, we not only confirmed the consistency of our political course, the continuity of our political course -- and I should say it -- although we had an informal meeting, we met only for the first time with President Bush in his sapasity, and the confirmation or the continuity or the course is an important element. What is also important is that during this informal meeting, we have laid the foundation for increasing this capital. And I believe that, in the first place, it serves the interests of our both countries and also the interests of the entire world community. Well, we have made our contact, a good contact. The atmosphere was friendly, straightforward, open, and this enabled us to make good work. In our position, the most dangerous thing is to exaggerate. And it is always that we should preserve elements of cautiousness, and I use the favorite word by President Bush. (Laughter.) Our world and our relations are at a crucial juncture. We should be highly responsible to face up to the challenges of today's world. And the leaders of our two countries cannot act as a fire brigade, although fire brigades are very useful. We have to keep it in mind also. This element was also present. I would like once again to thank the President for the idea of holding this meeting with which we are satisfied, I hope. And I would like to thank the people and the government of Malta and to express the words of appreciation and gratitude for the hospitality. Thank you, Mr. President, for your cooperation. (Applause.) THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. end the Cold War once and for all. Do you think that has been done CHAIRMAN GORBACHEV: In the first place, I assured the President of the United States that the Soviet Union would never start hot war against the United States of America. And we would like our relations to develop in such a way that they would open greater possibilities for cooperation. Naturally, the President and I had a wide discussion -- rather, we sought the answer to the question where we stand now. We stated, both of us, that the world leaves one epoch of cold war and enters another spoch. This is just the beginning. We're just at the very beginning of our road -- long road to a long-lasting peaceful period. Thus we were unanimous in concluding about the special responsibility of such countries as the United States and the Soviet Union. Naturally, we had a rather long discussion, but this is not for the press conference -- that is, we shouldn't explain that discussion regarding the fact that the new era calls for a new approach. And thus many things that were characteristic of the Cold War should be abandoned, both the -- (inaudible) in force, the arms race, mistrust, psychological and ideological struggle and all that. All that should be things of the past. President Gorbachev -- what are the hot spots, President Gorbachev, that you spoke about? There's El Salvador use its influence on either Cuba or Nicaragua to stop the arms shipments? And President Bush, were you satisfied with president Gorbachev's response? CHAILMAN GORDACHEV: This question is addressed to me? This subject has been thoroughly discussed. We have reaffirmed once again to the President that we have ceased arms shipment to Central PUKE

. MS TET 155 5: 55 - 4 -America. We also reallithed our position that we'le sympathetic the political process that is going on there regarding the settlement of the situation. We are in favor of free elections with the tops to be the district most and the train the train countries to determine the fate of Nicaragua. We understand the concerns of the United States. We listened carefully to the arguments by President Bush in this respect and we assured him that our position of principle is that we are in favor of a political settlement of the situation in Central America. I believe and now I wouldn't like to explain everything that we discussed on the subject. but to sum up I would say that there are possibilities to have peace in that area that region which does not run counter to the interests of the people of the United States. PRESIDENT SUSE. Please ask the question. The question was, were you, Mr. President, satisfied with -- (Inaudible.) THE PRESIDENT: My answer is that we had an in-depth discussion on these questions, as President Corbachev said. I will not be satisfied until total self-determination takes place through verifiably free elections in Nicaragua. And the Chairman gave me every opportunity to express in detail the concerns I feel about that region. He, indeed, has cited his concerns. So I can't say there are no differences between us. But we had a chance to talk about them. And if there are remaining differences, I like to think they have been narrowed. But you know -- all you from the United States -- the concerns we feel that the Nicaraguans go through with certifiably free elections and that they not export revolution into El Salvador. So we had a big wide-ranging discussion, and I would simply say that I feel we have much more understanding between the parties as a result of that discussion. The Izvestia newspaper to President Bush, and if there are comments from Comrade Gorbachev, we would welcome it. There has been a long-standing issue of expanding economic operation between the United States and the Soviet Union. It is a very acute problem taking into account our economic reforms and our economic difficulties. To what degree that issue has been discussed during your meeting and what is the position of your administration, Mr. President, regarding the expanding of your economic operation and whether U.S. business would like to promote contacts with the Soviet Union? THE PRESIDENT: We had a long discussion about the -- on economic matters. We took some specific - made some specific representations about how we can work more closely on the economicthat I will now follow through with in terms of observer status. And I think one of the most fruitfal parts of our discussions related to the economy. And I would like to have a climate in which American businessmen can help in what Chairman Gorbachev is trying to do with reform and obviously with glasnost. But I think the climate, as a result of these talks, for investment inside the Soviet Union and for certain things we can do to help the Soviet Union and indeed other countries seek common ground with these multilateral organizations related to finance -- all of that is a big plus. It was an extraordinarily big plus as far as I'm concerned. President Gorbachev --CHAIRMAN GORBACHEV: I would like to comment the answer. Pirst of all, I confirm what I've said, what the President said. And the second point, the things that have taken place at the meeting could be regarded as a political impetus which we were lacking for our economic cooperation to gain momentum and to acquire forms and

- 5 methods which would be adequate to our contemporary life. Well, as to the future course of this process, this will depend on the Soviet actions, whether legal or economic. You understand that today we tried to turn drastically our economy towards cooperation with other countries so that it will be part and parcel of the world economic system. Therefore, we think and hope that that which has happened during the meeting on this subject of the agenda -- well, let's call it the agenda -- these are of principal importance. With the tense situation in Lebanon -- how did you discuss the military option in Lebanon? And what have you decided on the Middle East in general? How did you discuss it? The question is both President Bush and President Gorbachev. conflict because both the U.S. and the Soviet people are sympathetic with the grave situation and sufferings of that people. We explained our views -- we shared our views and assessments in this respect and agreed to continue the exchange of views so that each, according to its possibilities -- and I think that everyone has its own possibilities -- well, President Bush thought that we had more possibilities and I thought that we had equal possibilities, in order to resolve positively this conflict. PRESIDENT BUSE: -- and our aspirations shared in by President Gorbachev is to see a peaceful resolution to the question regarding Lebanon. We support the tripartite agreement. He has supported it very actively. We do not want to see any more killing in Lebanon. The Chairman agrees with us. We're in total agreement on that. And so Lebanon was discussed in detail and we would like to see a return to a peaceful, democratic Lebanon. And everybody in the United States, I think, share the agony that I feel about the turmoil in Lebanon. But we're going to try to help. We're trying any way we can to help. My question is to President Bush. You, as President of the United States, participate for the first time at the summit meeting, but you were the Vice President of the previous administration that took part in forming foreign policies. So what is your assessment of the course that our two countries have passed since Geneva to Malta? PRESIDENT BUSH: That's what we call a slow ball in the trade. (Laughter.) It's an easy question because I really think they are improving dramatically. There is enormous support in our country for what Chairman Gorbachev is doing inside the Soviet Union. There is enormous respect and support for the way he has advocated peaceful change in Europe. And so this meeting accomplished everything that I had hoped it would. It was a no-agenda meeting and yet it was a meeting where we discussed, as the Chairman said, many, many subjects. So I think, if a meeting can improve relations, I think this one has. Did you reach any actual understandings on instructions or timetables or deadlines to negotiators on chemical weapons, nuclear weapons, conventional arms? CHAIRMAN GORBACHEV: Well, we devoted much time to the discussion of concrete issues related to disarmament negotiations on different types of arms. And just as an example, to show you that this was a substantive discussion, I'll tell you that in the near future, our foreign ministers will meet, which have been instructed to do some specific work to move the positions closer. In connection with new interesting proposals by President Bush regarding chemicals weapons, which have the goal of a global ban and provides for certain phases and movement towards this global ban, then we have the possibility of a rapid movement towards it.

- 7 deals in arms control, and I'm disinclined to think that that is an area where we will have immediate progress. But we talked about a wide array of these issues, but we have no agreement at all on that particular question of naval arms control. But the point is, he knows that and I know that. The point is, he had an opportunity to let me know how important it is. And I can, as a part of an alliance, have an opportunity to discuss a wide array of armament questions -- disarmament questions with our allies. So it's exactly the kind of climate for a meeting that I had envisioned and that he had envisioned. We can sit there and talk about issues of which we've had divisions over the years, try to find ways to narrow them. And we did narrow them in some important areas. And there are still some differences that exist. There's no point covering that over. Did you discuss the Soviet proposal on Helsinki II? And an adjoining question, are you prepared to take a joint initiative with Soviet Union about the Middle East crisis? CHAIRMAN GORBACHEV: The first question is regarding Helsinki II. I think that we have found during this meeting, we have come to a common understanding of the extreme importance of the CSCE process and have noted the positive results of the CSCE process — the results that have made it possible to proceed with deep changes in Europe and in the world as well, as Europe has a great influence on the world due to certain reasons. Both the President and myself are in favor of developing the CSCE process in accordance with the new requirements that are required by our times so that we would think of and build a new Europe on the basis of common elements among the European countries. We reaffirmed that this is a common affair for all the European countries that signed the Helsinki Act, including the whole EC. And it was -- this element was present everywhere whenever we discussed Europe and other parts of the world with the active and constructive participation of the United States and Canada. Thus, we are in favor of the process gaining in strength and in force. The transformation of the CSCE-Helsinki institutions at this stage should be such that their nature would change, or rather would be adequate to the current changes. Take, for example, NATO and the Warsaw Pact. They should not remain military alliances, but rather military-political alliances and later on, just political alliances. So that their nature would change in accordance with the changes on the continent. We are also entitled to expect that the relations -- that when the Common Market and the CMEC would also change in respect of greater openness, with the active participation in economic processes of the United States. Thus, we think that the time has come for us to act step by step, in a thorough manner, in accordance with the requirements of the times, taking full responsibility without damaging the balance and security. We should act in a way that we would improve the situation, stability, and security. We will strengthen security in this way. This was the manner of our discussion. And I believe that the President can only nod and say that we have coincidence of views on this. (Laughter.) President Gorbachev, did you assure President Bush that you will not --Mr. Gorbachev -- a question to Chairman Gorbachev. The meeting took place at the center of the

- 8 -Mediterranean. How did you discuss the problem of the reduction of the military presense of the size in the Mediterranean? PRESIDENT BUSH: Is this to me? Well, first on the reduction, we did not have specific figures in mind. The Chairman particularly positive in responding on naval arms control. that does affect Italy and other countries in a sense of -- they're part of -- a strong part of our NATO Alliance. So we didn't get agreements, crossing the t's, dotting the i's on some of these issues, but that's not what we were trying to do. May I respond to this gentleman's last half. The question was Soviet and U.S. --Joint initiative. PRESIDENT BUSH: It doesn't require joint initiatives to solve the Middle East question. But we have found that the Soviet Union is playing a constructive role in Lebanon and trying throughout the Middle East to give their support for the tripartheid agreement, which clearly the U.S. has supported. And so there's common ground there. That may not always have been the case in history. And that may not always have been the way the United States looked at it as to whether -- how constructive the role the Soviets might play. But I can tell you that after these discussions and after the discussions between Jim Baker and Shevardnadze, there is a constructive role that the Soviets are implementing. And again, I cite the tripartheid agreement. I'm sure that they share our view after these talks, in terms of peaceful resolution to these questions in the Middle East, be it Lebanon or on the -- in West Bank questions. So I don't think we're very far apart on this. President Gorbachev, did you assure President Bush that the Soviet Union will --CHAIRMAN GORBACHEV: Well, my opinion on the Middle East in terms of discussions at the meeting, I can only add to what President Bush has said, that we have just discussed very thoroughly, rather thoroughly, this subject. And I believe that we have come to an understanding that we should use our possibilities and interact in order to promote solution to this protracted conflict, which affects negatively the entire world situation. As it seemed to me, we also agreed that, as a result of the side's progress, we have approached the point when we have a realistic chance to start the settlement process. Therefore, it is important not to lose this chance because the situation is changing very rapidly. Therefore, we think we will contribute to this. I'm from the group of Czechoslovak journalists. President Gorbachev, did you assure President Bush that the changes in Eastern Europe are irreversible and that the Soviet Union has forsaken the right to intervene there militarily? And President Bush, similarly, as a result of this meeting, are you now more trusting that the Soviets have indeed renounced the Brezhnev Doctrine? CHAIRMAN GORBACHEV: I wouldn't like you to consider me here or to regard me as a full-fledged representative of all European countries. This wouldn't be true. We are a part of Eastern Europe, of Europe. We interact with our allies in all areas and our ties are deep. However, every nation is an independent entity in world politics and every people has the right to choose its own destiny, the destiny of its own state. And I can only explain my own attitude. I Delieve that those changes, both in the Soulet Main