

(21)

D Rele file
D DR (o/R) [unfiled]

The attached note was
written for me by Z, in the
course of the weekend just
passed, to warn me against
any suggestion that the normal
GAO effort (as distinct from
the present, very much larger
effort) against IAR might have
been less in scale than it
ought to have been.

2. In the event the point
was not raised, and indeed
I hope it will not be —
though we certainly have nothing
to be ashamed of, quite the
reverse.

3. I have returned (via D1) the
attachment to Z.

yes
5/4.



Director.

Priority of Requirement for Intelligence on Argentina and the Falklands

1. The current JIC Requirements and Priority paper is JIC(80)12 of May 1980, as amended by replacement of its annex in February 1981.
2. In both the paper itself (and the Feb 1981 annex, page 2^{para 26}) intelligence on the Falklands is linked with intelligence on Gibraltar (because of the presence of British troops in both) as the most important in the list of fourth priority requirements; the "subject" under which they are listed in the UK's residual commitments, which include Brunei, Kenya, the UAE and the New Hebrides! (Belize and Hong Kong are both third priority.) So the Falklands did not even rank with Cuban activities in the 3rd World, or Nuclear Proliferation, or Western European Communist parties, which are other Priority 3 subjects.
3. In the JIC REP SubCommittee Catalogue of Requirements for intelligence on South America and the Caribbean (JIC(80)(+2)4 of 26/1/82), Argentinian "military, political and economic policies and intentions regarding the Falkland Islands; responses to the UK's negotiating position.... Internal political factors liable to affect



such policies : "capability of the armed forces" are all Category I
(But so are Mexican oil policy and Brazilian external debts).

I do not think an RIC but I trumpet a JIC Priority 4 !

The only mention of Argentina in JIC(80)12 apart from the Falklands context is to put it on the non-nuclear short list and therefore in Priority 3 in respect of Nuclear Proliferation.

4. We cannot be faulted — rather the reverse — in respect of the intelligence response we have provided to this low priority requirement from the JIC. Whether the JIC itself was at fault in not identifying sooner the reality of the Argentine threat on this occasion is, of course, another question which may be asked by those not well-disposed to it.

AnJ:

Z

3/4.

P.S. We spoke. Para 7 of (80)12 says "all four (categories) carry....the requirement....actively to seek the intelligence so described".

A.