CHRON FILE

SYSTEM II 90399

MEMORANDUM

TOP SECRET

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL MEETING

March 20, 1984, 2:00 p.m.-3:15 p.m., Room 208, OEOB

SUBJECT:

Iran-Iraq War: The Energy-Economic Dimension

PARTICIPANTS:

The President

State

Secretary George Shultz Ambassador Richard Fairbanks

Treasury

Acting Secretary R. T. McNamar Mr. Charles Schotta

Defense

Secretary Caspar Weinberger Deputy Secretary William Taft, IV

Interior

Secretary William Clark

Commerce

Under Secretary Lionel Olmer

Mr. Don Pearlman

Dr. Alton Keel

CIA

Director William Casey

Mr. Maurice Ernst

Mr. Martin Feldstein

JCS

General John Vessey Admiral Arthur Moreau

White House

Mr. Edwin Meese III

Mr. James Baker, III

Mr. Michael Deaver

Mr. Richard Darman

Mr. Craig Fuller

Mr. Robert McFarlane

NSC

Mr. William Martin

Mr. Donald Fortier

Dr. Richard Beal

Mr. Benney Bonk

Mr. Charles Wick

Mr. James Thurber

MINUTES

President Reagan chaired the NSC meeting dealing with the energy-economic dimensions of a potential escalation of the Iran Iraq war. This NSC meeting is one of a series of NSC, Crisis

TOP SECRET

Declassify on: OADR

DECLASSIFIED

NLRR M325/2#103324

TOP SECRET BY KML NARA DATE 9/26/13

Pre-Planning Group, and interagency meetings to develop U.S. policy to deter the escalation of the conflict into the Persian Gulf if possible. The agenda is attached at TAB A.

Robert C. McFarlane, National Security Advisor, introduced the meeting by alerting the President that the objective of this, and other meetings on the Iran-Iraq war, was to decide in advance with our allies what actions we should take during the first weeks of a crisis to ensure that the economic burden of a disruption is shared fairly by the U.S. and our allies.

Mr. McFarlane reviewed the fighting at the front between Iran and Iraq, indicating that currently the conflict is at a fairly low level of intensity. Principally the fighting is concentrated around the undeveloped Majnoon islands where there is a potential of 5-10 billion barrels of oil. The anticipated Iranian offensive has not commenced for many reasons, not the least of which appear to be logistical transportation problems.

Mr. McFarlane proceed to review the experience handling the oil crises in 1979 and 1980. The differences between these two cases is critical because in 1980, with the experience of 1979 fresh on everyone's mind, the international community was prepared for the oil disruption by declining consumption and increasing oil inventories.

Mr. McFarlane reviewed the framework for current U.S. emergency energy policy established in NSDD-87. NSDD-87 states five policy principles: (1) reliance on free market forces, (2) provision of adequate energy supplies to meet U.S. security needs, (3) utilization of supply enhancing measures such as the Strategic Petroleum Reserve (SPR), (4) commitment to the IEA, and (5) a strong and continued cooperation with other consuming countries.

Mr. McFarlane emphasized that the U.S. has carried a major load by building the SPR, declaring its commitment to keep the Strait of Hormuz open if an attempt were made to close it, and by working with the allies and the Gulf states to ensure a level of cooperation in the eventuality of a crisis. It was, however, important that these other nations commit to taking action to guard against the severe economic implications of a major oil disruption.

Mr. Don Pearlman, speaking on behalf of Secretary Don Hodel who was in the hospital, gave an overview of the oil market and disruption prospects.

a. He reported that about 25% of the estimated 1984 oil consumption of non-OPEC free world countries will come from the Persian Gulf. For the United States 31-34% of

5

TOP SECRET

our consumption is imported, and 2-4% of those imports transits the Strait of Hormuz. But since the international oil market is an interdependent system the U.S. would be adversely affected by a closure of the Strait, or a major disruption of oil transitting the Persian Gulf.

- b. If the Strait of Hormuz was closed, the estimate is that approximately 4-6 million barrels of oil would be lost assuming offsets from the Saudi-Yanbu pipeline, and outside of the Persian Gulf region.
- c. The impact of the disruption depends on (1) the speed at which the actual supply loss hits individual countries, (2) the speed at which price increases occur, (3) the magnitude of the disruption and price increases, and (4) the duration of the interruption.
- d. Predicting price changes is difficult, but Mr. Pearlman cited two illustrative cases. These cases are presented at TAB B.
- e. The U.S. has an SPR of almost 390 million barrels which could be drawn down for 5 months, at the President's authorization, at a rate of 1.7 MMBD. Japan and West Germany have government-owned strategic reserves, but they are much lower than our own.
- f. The Administration has already publicly announced that the best policy in the case of a major distruption is to engage in an early drawdown of the SPR in large volumes. It is, however, important to remain flexible, consider the domestic strategy in light of the military considerations, and avoid encouraging the allies to rely on an SPR draw while they take no action of their own.
- g. There is a critical Congressional component: they have favored a rapid build-up of the SPR, even faster than the President's budget allows, but they are deeply concerned about the failure of the allies to build up their own stocks. Congress has in the past supported the International Energy Agency (IEA), but absent a willingness of our IEA partners to build and use their own stocks in the case of an emergency, this support may wane.

Ambassador Richard Fairbanks presented the work of the International Energy Security Group (IESG).

a. He said the IESG formulated three decisions which should govern U.S.-IEA actions during any significant oil disruption.

TOP SECRET

THE CLOSET



TOP SECRET-

- 1. How should the U.S. approach triggering the IEA sharing system in the event of a severe disruption?
- To what degree should the U.S. seek consultation or coordination with the allies on stock draws?
- 3. Should the U.S. support coordinated international action to influence the spot market?
- b. The IESG recommends the USG should delay or avoid triggering the IEA sharing system as long as is possible.
- c. Ambassador Fairbanks said the IESG felt the U.S. should consult with the major members of the IEA and seek a general understanding on stock draws, but that no advance agreement on details should be made.
- d. Regardless of how serious it may be in the short term, there was no consensus within the IESG, according to Ambassador Fairbanks, on whether to attempt to influence the spot markets.

Discussion among the members of the National Security Council centered around the three issues introduced by Ambassador Fairbanks. Virtually everyone who spoke agreed with the position taken by Secretary Shultz, which was to approach triggering the IEA system very cautiously, to coordinate with the allies and to identify a formula for burden sharing, and to move fast to draw down stocks, but to remain flexible with regard to the specific drawdown rates.

President Reagan asked on several occasions what our total assets really were, and whether we were developing our resources as effectively as we could. Secretary Clark and others indicated that we were not developing our North Slope, and our offshore resources as vigorously as we might. At one point the President turned to Secretary Weinberger and observed that the best policy would be simply to keep the Strait open.

SUMMARY

The purpose of the augmented-NSC meeting was to integrate the domestic energy policy with the international energy and economic aspects of an escalation of the Iran-Iraq war. The domestic consequences of a six-month oil disruption would be extensive, touching directly our allies, and indirectly the America market because of the highly interdependent world oil market.

Consensus on the three international emergency energy issues emerged from the meeting. First, the United States should avoid triggering the IEA sharing system as long as possible. Second,

TOP SECRET

TOP SECRET

TOP SECRET

U.S. policy should be to draw down its stocks in an emergency, and to consult with the allies on this policy, but without making detailed agreements on the mechanisms or rates of our stock draws. The approach should be flexible, and emphasize burden sharing among the allies, especially because they have not built up their government-owned reserves. And thirdly, United States policy should allow market forces to work, and not expect jawboning the oil companies to influence the spot markets.

Attachments
Tab A - Agenda
Tab B - Energy Cases

TOP SECRET

6

National Security Council Meeting Tuesday, March 20, 1984

2-3:00 p.m.

Room 208, OEOB

AGENDA

Introduction (5 minutes)	Robert C. McFarlane
Overview of Oil Market Situation and Prospects (5 minutes)	Donald Pearlman
International Energy Issues and Policies (10 minutes)	Richard Fairbanks
Discussion (35 minutes)	Principals
Conclusion (5 minutes)	Robert C. McFarlane

M

Scc.3.4(b), E.O. 12958, as amended
White House Guidalines, Sept. 11, 2008
BY NARA DATE 1 7)

SECRET

WITHDRAWAL SHEET

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT, NSC: MEETING FILE

Withdrawer

File Folder

NSC 00103 3/20/1984 (4/4)

CAS 1/21/2011

FOIA

M10-325/2

RAKII

Box Number 6

		RAKU 10				
ID Doc Type	Document Description		No of Doc Date Restriction Pages			
103298 MEMO	ALLEN WALLIS TO THE NSC RE ENERGY EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS R 11/30/2012 M325/2	7	3/12/1984	B1		
	11323/2		1177	-		
103299 TABLE OF CONTENTS	ATTACHMENT TO 103298	1	ND	B1		
100000 7 1 7 7	DECEMBACES (ATTACHMENT TO LOSSEY		ND	91		
103300 PAPER	RE ALLOCATION (ATTACHMENT TO 103298) R 11/30/2012 M325/2	5	ND	B1		
103301 ISSUE PAPER	RE US APPROACH (INCLUDES 2 P. OF TABLES) (ATTACHMENT TO 103298)	7	ND	B1		
	R 11/30/2012 M325/2					
103305 ISSUE PAPER	RE CONSULTATIONS (ATTACHMENT TO 103298) (INCLUDES 1 P. TABLE)	5	ND	B1		
ICARE MENUTES	R 11/30/2012 M325/2					
03306 ISSUE PAPER	RE US SUPPORT (ATTACHMENT TO 103298)	3	ND	B1		
	R 11/30/2012 M325/2		ND	B1		
103309 ISSUE PAPER	RE CONSULTATIONS (ATTACHMENT TO 103298)	2	ND	B1		
	R 11/30/2012 M325/2					
103310 ISSUE PAPER	RE STOCKS (ATTACHMENT TO 103298) (INCLUDES 1 P. TABLE)	4	ND	B1		
	R 11/30/2012 M325/2					

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

WITHDRAWAL SHEET

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT, NSC: MEETING FILE

Withdrawer

CAS

1/21/2011

File Folder

NSC 00103 3/20/1984 (4/4)

FOIA

M10-325/2

RAKU

Box Number 6

ID Doc Type	Doc	ument Description	n e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	No of	Doc Date	Restrictions
ib boc type	Document Description			Pages		Restrictions
103312 ISSUE PAPER	RE JA	APAN (ATTACHM	IENT TO 103298)	3	ND	B1
	R	11/30/2012	M325/2			
103315 ISSUE PAPER	RE PI	RODUCTION (AT	TACHMENT TO 103298)	2	ND	B1
	R	11/30/2012	M325/2	anages	ent and	Budget
103317 INFORMATION PAPER	RE C	ONTACTS (ATTA	CHMENT TO 103298)	2	ND	B1
	R	11/30/2012	M325/2	errrae	nne age	acy
103319 ISSUE PAPER	RE C	ONTACTS (ATTA	CHMENT TO 103298)	2	ND	B1
	R	11/30/2012	M325/2	Counc dv1sox	s of	
103322 ISSUE PAPER		NERGY CRISIS M. ACHMENT TO 103		3	ND	B1 B3
103324 MINUTES	OF N	SC MEETING		5	3/20/1984	B1
	R	9/26/2013	M325/2		RGE A.	JOULWAN
103328 CHART	RE C	ASES	Chairman	1	ND	B1
	R	9/26/2013	M325/2			

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]

B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]

B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]

B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]

B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]

B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]

B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]

B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.