

ENCL NO  
102

1) EMM  
2) file

S E C R E T

CWG327 06/1927 126C4341

FOR CWG

IMMEDIATE 061602Z MAY 82

FROM COMMCEN FCO LONDON  
TO MODUK

S E C R E T

SIC A3A/19F (SICS INSERTED BY D.C.C.)

FM UKDEL NATO 061602Z MAY 82

TO IMMEDIATE MODUK

TELEGRAM NUMBER 061602Z MAY

AND TO IMMEDIATE FCO

INFO IMMEDIATE UKMIS NEW YORK WASHINGTON PRIORITY BONN ROME  
OSLO THE HAGUE

EURO-GROUP DINNER - THE FALKLAND ISLANDS

1. THE DEFENCE SECRETARY BRIEFED HIS EURO-GROUP COLLEAGUES AT  
DINNER LAST NIGHT. MR NOTT REVIEWED THE HISTORY OF THE CRISIS,  
STARTING FROM THE ARGENTINE INVASION AND EMPHASISING THAT  
IT WAS ARGENTINA THAT FIRED THE FIRST SHOT. HE SAID THAT WE  
HAD USED THE TIME WHICH IT TOOK THE TASK FORCE TO SAIL TO THE

PAGE 2 RBDWC A4325 S E C R E T

AREA TO PURSUE ENERGETICALLY A PEACEFUL SETTLEMENT THE ESSENTIAL  
ELEMENTS FOR US IN SUCH A SETTLEMENT WERE ARGENTINE WITHDRAWAL  
IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECURITY COUNCIL RESOLUTION 502 AND  
TRANSITIONAL ARRANGEMENTS ON WHICH WE WERE OTHERWISE FLEXIBLE  
AND WHICH WOULD NOT PREJUDGE THE SOVEREIGNTY ISSUE. ONE DIFFICULTY  
HAD BEEN THE INCOHERENCE OF THE ARGENTINE REGIME. WE HAD  
BEEN DEEPLY GRATEFUL TO THE EC FOR THE ECONOMIC MEASURES  
TAKEN, WITHOUT WHICH THE ARGENTINES WOULD PROBABLY NOT HAVE BEEN  
WILLING EVEN TO TALK.

2. MR NOTT THEN GAVE AN ACCOUNT OF THE MILITARY OPERATIONS SINCE  
1 MAY, EMPHASISING THAT ARGENTINE ACTIONS AND INTENTIONS OBVIOUSLY  
CONSTITUTED A THREAT TO OUR SHIPS AND THAT IT WAS NOT POSSIBLE  
IN THE CIRCUMSTANCES TO WAIT AND ALLOW THE THREAT TO DEVELOP.  
IT WAS FOR THAT REASON THAT AUTHORITY HAD BEEN GIVEN FOR THE  
ATTACK ON THE ARGENTINE CRUISER. SUMMING UP, HE SAID THAT WE  
HAD NEVER CEASED TO TRY TO FIND A DIPLOMATIC SOLUTION.  
WE KNEW THAT THERE MUST BE COMPROMISE AND THAT WE COULD NOT GO  
BACK TO THE NEXT THREE WORDS UNDECLINED STATUS QUO ANTE, BUT  
THE ARGENTINES MUST WITHDRAW THEIR TROOPS. A SIMPLE CEASEFIRE  
WOULD MEAN THAT THE AGGRESSORS HAD WON WITH SERIOUS CONSEQUENCES

PAGE 3 RBDWC A4325 S E C R E T

FOR THE WESTERN ALLIANCE AND FOR THE PRINCIPLE WHICH  
WE WERE SUPPORTING.

3. THE NORWEGIAN DEFENCE MINISTER ASKED IF THE AIRFIELDS ON

S E C R E T

THE FALKLAND ISLANDS WERE OUT OF ACTION. MR NOTT CONFIRMED THIS, ADDING THAT AS A RESULT OF THEIR BEING TOTALLY CUT OFF WE EXPECTED THAT THE MORALE OF THE ARGENTINE OCCUPATION FORCE IN THE ISLANDS WOULD SUFFER. WE HAD NOT ACHIEVED COMPLETE AIR SUPERIORITY BUT COULD MAKE OUR AIR BLOCKADE EFFECTIVE.F

4. THE NETHERLANDS DEFENCE MINISTER ASKED IF IT WAS POSSIBLE TO FORESEE FUTURE POLITICAL DEVELOPMENTS. WOULD OTHER LATIN AMERICAN COUNTRIES JOIN ARGENTINA, AND WHAT WOULD BE THE IMPLICATIONS FOR US AND WEST EUROPEAN RELATIONS WITH SOUTH AMERICA. HE WAS AFRAID THAT WE WERE WITNESSING QUOTE A BREAK IN HISTORY UNQUOTE. MR NOTT SAID THAT HE DID NOT SHARE THAT FEAR. ALTHOUGH OTHER LATIN AMERICAN COUNTRIES WOULD SHOW SOLIDARITY WITH ARGENTINA, THE EVIDENCE SO FAR SUGGESTED THAT THEY WOULD NOT TRANSLATE THAT INTO ACTION. WHEN WE ACHIEVED A PEACEFUL SETTLEMENT, WHICH HE HOPED WOULD BE SOON, HE BELIEVED THAT EUROPEAN AND US RELATIONS WITH LATIN AMERICA WOULD SOON BE BACK TO NORMAL. VAN MIERLO EMPHASISED THAT THE EFFECTS OF

PAGE 4 RBDWC A4325 S E C R E T

FURTHER ESCALATION COULD BE VERY SERIOUS. THERE WAS A LOT AT STAKE FOR THE UNITED STATES. AGREEING, MR NOTT SAID THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO STOP TOMORROW BUT TO DO SO WOULD MERELY GIVE THE AGGRESSOR HIS SPOILS. WE RECOGNISED THAT

THE FALKLANDS HAD NO ECONOMIC VALUE AND THAT IN A WAY THE WHOLE EPISODE MIGHT SEEM VERY MINOR, BUT THERE WAS A PRINCIPLE AND THERE WERE MANY OTHER ISLANDS. THE US WAS IN THE CENTRE OF NEGOTIATIONS AND HE WAS HOPEFUL OF A SETTLEMENT PERHAPS EVEN WITHIN A WEEK, BUT ONLY IF WE MAINTAINED THE MAXIMUM PRESSURE, BOTH MILITARILY AND THROUGH ECONOMIC MEASURES.

5. THE FRG DEFENCE MINISTER SAID THAT HE WISHED TO ANALYSE THE SITUATION. EUROPEANS WERE OBLIGED AND WILLING TO GIVE BRITAIN THEIR SUPPORT. NEVERTHELESS, IT WAS RIDICULOUS TO COMPARE THE FALKLANDS AND BERLIN, ALTHOUGH HE RECOGNISED THAT TO BRITISH PUBLIC OPINION THERE WAS SOMETHING OF THE SAME FEELING. IT WAS RIGHT TO SUPPORT AN ALLY, TO SHOW THE WORLD THAT NATO WAS UNITED AND COULD NOT ACCEPT AGGRESSION: SELF-DETERMINATION WAS THE FRG'S GOAL AS WELL AND BRITAIN HAD BEEN RIGHT TO MOUNT THE OPERATION. NEVERTHELESS, HE HAD QUESTIONS. WHAT WOULD HAPPEN TO THE US? EUROPE KNEW THAT IT COULD NOT DEFEND ITSELF

PAGE 5 RBDWC A4325 S E C R E T

WITHOUT THEM, AND THE AFFAIR COULD WELL DESTROY US INFLUENCE IN LATIN AMERICA. IT WAS A EUROPEAN INTEREST THAT THE STANDING OF THE UNITED STATES SHOULD NOT BE TOUCHED. SECONDLY, IT WAS GERMANY'S NATIONAL EXPERIENCE THAT DICTATORSHIP COULD EASILY COME TO TERMS WITH COMMUNISM (E.G. HITLER IN 1939). THUS, IT WOULD NOT BE DIFFICULT FOR THE SOVIET UNION AND LATIN AMERICA TO COME TO TERMS. ALREADY WE HAD NICARAGUA AND CUBA MAKING CONTACT WITH ARGENTINA. THIRDLY, IT WOULD BE TERRIBLE IF BRITAIN FAILED. IT COULD START AN INTERNAL PROCESS WITHIN THE UK WHICH WOULD HAVE TERRIBLE CONSEQUENCES FOR EUROPE IN GENERAL, A DISILLUSIONMENT WITH EUROPEAN INTERGRATION, NEUTRALISM, ANTI-EC FEELING AND THE UK AND EUROPE WOULD PAY THE PRICE. HE HAD NO ANSWERS TO THESE QUESTIONS: IF THE WAR CONTINUED AND THE KILLING WENT ON, HE WAS AFRAID THAT WE MIGHT LOSE ON ALL THREE FRONTS. BRITAIN MIGHT FEEL DESERTED BY HER ALLIES, AND DRIVEN INTO AN UNACCEPTABLE MOOD. THE US MIGHT BE ISOLATED AND LATIN AMERICA DRIVEN INTO THE ARMS OF THE SOVIET UNION. ONLY THROUGH A CEASEFIRE COULD WE WIN QUOTE MORALLY UNQUOTE. CONCLUDING,

APEL SAID THAT HIS SYMPATHY WAS WITH US BE HE WAS AFRAID THAT THE CONSEQUENCES OF THE CONFLICT MIGHT GET OUT OF CONTROL.

PAGE 6 RBDWC A4325 S E C R E T  
THEREFORE HE CONCLUDED ONLY A CEASEFIRE COULD HELP.  
6. MR NOTT SAID THAT THE WORST OUTCOME FOR NATO, AS FOR US, F WOULD BE IF WE FAILED EITHER DIPLOMATICALLY - WE COULD NOT ACHIEVE A SOLUTION - OR MILITARILY. IN FACT, BRITISH PUBLIC OPINION WAS MORE ENTHUSIASTIC ABOUT THE EC AS A RESULT OF THE CRISIS AND HAD COOLED TOWARDS THE UNITED STATES EVEN THOUGH THE BRITISH GOVERNMENT UNDERSTOOD THE LATTER'S POSITION. HE HOPED THAT THE IRISH AND OTHERS WHO WERE INCLINED TO HAVE DOUBTS WOULD REALISE THAT IF WE WAYERED NOW WE WOULD NEVER GET A SETTLEMENT. HE BELIEVED THAT THE ARGENTINE ESTABLISHMENT WOULD BE VERY RELUCTANT TO LINK UP WITH THE SOVIET UNION, THOUGH THEY MIGHT LOOK TO IT FOR SHORT TERM AID. THE REAL DANGER IN ARGENTINA WAS THE PERONISTS. THE US WERE STILL THE MOST IMPORTANT ELEMENT IN THE NEGOTIATING PROCESS AND MR HAIG WAS CENTRAL. HE DOUBTED IF THE UN COULD ACHIEVE MUCH AT PRESENT. FINALLY, HE ASKED WHAT VALUE US INFLUENCE WOULD BE IF IT WAS USED IN SUCH AWAY AS TO ENCOURAGE AGGRESSION. IF WE WERE ASKED TO ACCEPT A CEASEFIRE, WITHOUT ARGENTINE WITHDRAWAL, DEMOCRACY WOULD HAVE SURRENDERED TO AGGRESSION. MOREOVER, A CEASEFIRE, WHICH LEFT THE ARGENTINES IN POSSESSION OF THE SPOILS,

PAGE 7 RBDWC A4325 S E C R E T  
WOULD WORK AGAINST US SINCE WE COULD NOT KEEP MEN INDEFINITELY AT SEA IN AN ARTIC WINTER.  
7. VAN MIERLO ASKED IF THAT MEANT WE COULD NOT CONTINUE THROUGH THE WINTER. MR NOTT CORRECTED THIS AND POINTED OUT THAT THE WEATHER AND SHORTAGES OF FOOD AND WATER COULD BE EXPECTED TO AFFECT THE MORALE OF THE ARGENTINE SOLDIERS. THE MILITARY POSITION WAS NOT IMPOSSIBLE AND WE WERE CONFIDENT WE COULD REPOSSSESS THE ISLANDS, WE HOPED WITHOUT TOO MUCH BLOODSHED, BUT THE FACT WAS THAT WE COULD NOT HAVE MEN LIVING IN SHIPS IN THE ARCTIC GALES. THERE WERE TIME CONSTRAINTS: IT WAS IMPORTANT THAT THE EC SHOULD NOT DESERT US.  
8. THE ITALIAN DEFENCE MINISTER ASKED ABOUT SOVIET TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO ARGENTINA. MR NOTT SAID THAT IT WAS VERY LIMITED IN OUR JUDGEMENT AND OF LITTLE BUT PROPAGANDA VALUE.  
9. APEL REFERRED TO MR NOTT'S STATEMENT THAT WE HAD BEEN FORCED TO ATTACK THE ARGENTINE CRUISER. THE ARGENTINES HAD THEN ATTACKED A BRITISH DESTROYER. THERE COULD WELL BE ANOTHER LOSS, AND THEREFORE FURTHER ESCALATION, AND IN A CLASSIC EXAMPLE OF THE APPLICATION OF NATO'S STRATEGY OF FLEXIBLE RESPONSE, PERHAPS THE ARGENTINE CARRIER. IT WAS NO PROBLEM TO DESTROY WITH

PAGE 8 RBDWC A4325 S E C R E T  
MODERN WEAPONS BUT HE WAS AFRAID THAT THE LAST CHANCE OF A POSSIBLE OUTCOME MIGHT BE LOST AND THEN OUR NEXT ATTACK MIGHT BE ON BASES IN ARGENTINA. AND THEN DEVELOPMENTS WOULD NO LONGER BE UNDER CONTROL. WAS THERE NO CHANCE TO STOP THAT ESCALATION? PROBABLY NOT. INDEED HE UNDERSTOOD THE POSITION, BUT IF IT WENT ON WE MIGHT RUN INTO GRAVE DIFFICULTIES.  
10. MR NOTT EMPHASISED AGAIN THAT THERE WAS A CHANCE OF A SETTLEMENT AND WE WERE WORKING FOR IT. NEVERTHELESS, THE ARGENTINE CARRIER POSED A THREAT. IF THE ARGENTINES WANTED A COMPROMISE - AND WE WERE NOT ASKING FOR SURRENDER ON THE ISSUE

OF SOVEREIGNTY, MERELY THAT IT SHOULD BE PUT ASIDE - WE WOULD ACHIEVE ONE, BUT SHORT OF THAT, IT WAS NOT POSSIBLE IN HIS POSITION TO ALLOW THE ROYAL NAVY TO BE THREATENED WITHOUT TAKING MEASURES TO DEFEND ITSELF. WE HAD SHOWN RESTRAINT AS WE COULD HAVE ESCALATED THE FIGHTING: NOW IF THERE WAS ANYTHING THE FRG AND ITALY COULD DO DIRECTLY WITH THE ARGENTINE GOVERNMENT, WE SHOULD BE GRATEFUL.

11. TO A NORWEGIAN QUESTION, MR NOTT SAID THAT THE MAIN THREAT TO OUR SHIPS THAT HE SAW NOW WAS THE SURVIVING ARGENTINEF SUBMARINES. THE ITALIAN DEFENCE MINISTER SUGGESTED THAT

PAGE 9 RBDWC A4325 S E C R E T

IT MIGHT BE HELPFUL IF BRITISH TROOPS COULD QUICKLY ESTABLISH THEMSELVES IN NUMBERS ON THE FALKLAND ISLANDS: THAT MIGHT REPRESENT A MORE ACCEPTABLE SCENARIO FOR A CEASEFIRE. MR NOTT SAID THAT THERE WAS NO POINT IN LANDING IN AN ISOLATED AREA FOR THE SAKE OF LANDING: IF WE LANDED, WE WOULD WISH TO GET THE ARGENTINES OUT.

12. THE FALKLANDS PARAGRAPH IN THE EUROGROUP COMMUNIQUE WAS THEN QUICKLY AGREED.

GRAHAM

BT

BT

DISTRIBUTION A3A

C

CXK	4	COSSEC ACTION (CXK 1 DSC)
CAB	3	ACDS(Pol)
CWJ	1	AUS(D staff)
CAB	1	CAS
CWR	1	CDS
CWT	1	CQS
CWS	1	CNS
CYL	1	CPR
CYG	1	DIC
CXU	2	DNOT
CWJ	1	DS 11/12
CWJ	1	DS 17
CWQ	1	DS 5
CWU	1	DS 6/7
CAB	1	DS8
CXJ	1	DSC(AFDO)
CWQ	1	DSC(NATO)
CXK	1	DSC(ROW)
CAB	1	DUS(P)
CAB	1	GF 1
CAJ	1	IP.2
DVR	1	Res' Clerk

CAF 1 S of S  
F 1 VCDS(P&L)

DISTRIBUTION 19F  
C

CXK 1 DSC(ROW) ACTION ( CXK 1 DSC )  
CYH 1 ACDS(s)  
CWP 1 ACNS(O)  
CXQ 1 ASD 2  
CAF 4 CGRM  
CXK 2 COSSEC  
CWN 1 DOMS  
CWJ 1 DS-11  
CAB 1 Minister of State(AF)  
CAB 1 Minister of state(DP)  
CXQ 1 MO 2  
CAB 1 PUS  
CAB 1 US of S(AF)  
CAB 1 US of S(DP)  
CWQ 1 DSC(SCPL)  
CXF 1 DSC(AF OPS)  
CXM 1 DSC(NAVY OPS)