

E(81) 117.
20 November 1981

COPY NO 66

CABINET

MINISTERIAL COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC STRATEGY

RATE SUPPORT GRANT SETTLEMENT 1982-83

Memorandum by the Secretary of State for the Environment

1. Cabinet invited me on 12 November to prepare further exemplifications of the effects on the English local authorities of provisional assumptions of total local authority current expenditure, other than housing, of £18,000 million, of increases averaging £2.50 a week on council house rents, and of Rate Support Grant (RSG) percentages of 54, 56 and 57 (CC(81)36th, Item 5).
2. Exemplifications are attached.
3. Expenditure assumptions The expenditure assumptions are set out in Appendix A in the same form as in the Appendix to C(81)55. They show the apportionment between services of the extra £200m that Cabinet agreed to add to the total of current expenditure; and the totals of grant payable before holdback for each grant percentage.
4. Housing Cabinet invited me to consider urgently with the Chief Secretary the treatment of surpluses on housing revenue accounts (HRA). I am exploring with the Chief Secretary ways in which local authorities could be encouraged to generate such surpluses and use them for the repayment of housing debt instead of transferring them to the rate fund. The exemplifications assume no transfer of HRA surpluses to the rate fund, and the grant related expenditure assessments (GREs) of authorities potentially in surplus are therefore set at zero rather than at a negative figure corresponding to the surplus.
5. There might be public expenditure costs arising from this treatment of surpluses unless the Chief Secretary is able to agree to the change in PESC conventions I have proposed on this point. Cabinet also noted that if total provision on housing were not to be increased, rent increases averaging £2.50 would require offsetting savings of about £225 million on housing capital expenditure. I do not think however

that it would be politically acceptable to cut the housing capital any further - I am putting a separate paper to Cabinet about this. I therefore recommend that the RSG settlement should be based on the assumption of an average rent increase of £2.50 and the elimination of HRA surpluses - but only on the understanding that the costs are not met by an offsetting reduction in housing capital.

Decisions to be taken on RSG Settlement

- 6. There are four main issues for decision:
 - i. The expenditure targets for each authority.
 - ii. The grant percentage.
 - iii. The various block grant parameters which determine the grant distribution.
 - iv. The timing of the settlement and of any preliminary announcement of decisions.

Expenditure Targets

7. In constructing the expenditure targets for individual authorities I have followed the methodology described in earlier papers for Cabinet and MISC 21. This takes account of each authority's expenditure level in 1981-82 in relation to (a) its volume target for this year (5.6% below the 1978-79 level) and (b) its GRE. (The elimination of housing surpluses from the grant system has enabled me to simplify the way in which the housing component is treated. This is explained in Appendix B).

8. These targets will be tough, mainly because of the real squeeze imposed by our cash factors of 4% and 9%. For nearly all authorities the targets lie in the range from 2.5% below to 5% above their 1981-82 revised budgets. Authorities with a 5% cash increase might be able to meet their targets with only small reductions in volume if inflation is no more than about 6%. But if pay settlements for any major local authority group (teachers, manuals, police etc) go above 4% the targets will look much less realistic, even for those with 5% cash increases, and will imply substantial volume reductions.

9. But there are some serious problems.

10. The methodology we have used means that 268 authorities, including 35 of the shire counties, will have an expenditure target below their GRE. This risks a substantial political difficulty. I believe that if we are to reduce local authority expenditure, the low spenders as well as the high spenders must make a contribution. Some - but not all - of our supporters would agree. But this year we have specifically exempted from holdback of grant all authorities who are spending at or below their GRE. We shall be expected to do the same for next year. But the exemplifications we have considered so far involve penalties on those who fail to meet targets, even if they are still at or below their GRE. Many local authority leaders, as I know from discussions with them, are assuming that expenditure at or below the level of their GRE will not incur any penalty. If we disappoint their expectation we shall face major criticism both in Parliament and outside.

11. I believe that the only solution is to impose a much smaller degree of holdback for failure to meet targets which lie below an authority's GRE. This, together with the normal pressures of the block grant system, would act as incentive to keep spending down to a reasonable level.

12. I am having exemplifications prepared on this basis, and would propose to put them before colleagues in MISC 21 when we come to look at the final details of the settlement.

13. There are two special problems in the targets for the metropolitan counties. Several of these have increased their budgets this year, rather than reducing them in line with the Government's request. The targets for such authorities require them first to eliminate the increase and then make a further reduction according to the formula described in Appendix B. For the metropolitan counties which have introduced cheap fares this year, this means that to meet their targets they will have to put fares up again, perhaps involving a doubling of the present level in some areas. I understand that this is consistent with the policy which the Secretary of State for Transport is proposing to adopt in the Transport Supplementary Grant (TSG) settlement.

14. Apart from transport, the main function of the metropolitan counties is police. Although the 1981-82 pay settlement has been allowed for in setting the targets, it has been assumed that the settlement due in September 1982 will be contained within the normal 4% factor for pay. We may need to reconsider this, and adjust the targets, in the course of next year. (The shire counties will also have the problem of containing police pay within the cash factor; they too would benefit from any adjustment in respect of a higher pay settlement.)

15. I therefore propose that we issue to local authorities, on a provisional basis, the expenditure targets set out in Table 1. They will not have statutory force. But provided I obtain the powers contained in Clause 12 of the Local Government Finance Bill, I shall be able to abate grant from authorities which fail to meet them. I shall no doubt receive representations about the figures, which I shall be obliged to consider on their merits. Subject to such consideration I propose to issue firm figures when the main Rate Support Grant Report is published.

Grant Percentage

16. The following table shows the range of average rate increases that may be expected for each of the grant percentages exemplified: The increases are calculated from main rate 1981-82 to main rate 1982-83, and leave out of account the supplementary rate increases, totalling 6% to 7%, that have already taken place this year. But it is the year on year comparison that most ratepayers will make; and it is the only comparison possible for the majority of authorities that have not levied supplementaries. (The figures assume the full rate of holdback for authorities whose targets fall below their GREs).

17. The rate increase to be assumed is very sensitive to the assumption made about local authority budgeting. Column 1 is based on the assumption that authorities budget to spend at our severe targets, and allow only 2½% for all contingencies, including inflation above the cash factors. It is not a prudent or credible assumption. Column 2 assumes that they budget to spend 5% in cash terms above the level of their 1981-82 revised budgets. It is closer to what we can expect to happen. Column 3 allows for the squeeze likely to be imposed by a reduced grant percentage and the prospect of grant holdback, and therefore shows slightly smaller increases than Column 2. It is the best estimate I can offer colleagues of what is likely to happen. On a 57% grant the average increase in domestic rates is thus 12%, on 56% 14% and on 54%, 17%. (Table 3 shows how a reduction in the grant percentage from 57% to 54% would bear particularly heavily on the shire counties and the outer London Boroughs.)

18. We are asking for public sector pay increases to be kept to an average of 4%. We have already decided to ask local authorities to increase rents by £2.50, or over 20%. Against this background I do not believe that we could readily defend an average increase in domestic rates of more than 12%. Even that will expose us to criticism. I therefore believe that a grant percentage of 57% is the least that we can contemplate, compared with this year's 59.1%.

19. If local authorities overspend the targets, grant holdback will immediately begin to take effect. Every 1% of overspend will reduce grant by £180m and thus reduce the effective grant percentage by 1%. If therefore we offer a nominal grant percentage of 57% and local authorities then budget as in Column 3 of Table 1, the total amount of grant paid will be reduced by £800m, and the effective grant percentage will fall to 53%. The estimates of rate increases assume that the holdback scheme applies equally to authorities above and below GRE. If however, for example, the maximum holdback for authorities with targets below GRE were halved, average rate increases would be reduced by about 2% to 3%.

Grant Distribution

20. The main factors determining the grant distribution shown in the exemplifications are as follows:

a. Grant-Related Expenditure Assessments

We have already agreed and announced on a provisional basis some changes to GRE methodology for 1982-83. The main changes concern education and personal social services and have the effect of significantly improving the relative position of London (particularly inner London) and other urban areas.

b. Block Grant Mechanism

The exemplifications are based on a steeper "poundage schedule and taper" than in last year's settlement. This means that each increment of expenditure will cost local authorities more than last year in terms of increased rate poundage.

Average Rate Increases for England with Grant Percentages and assumptions on Local Authority Spending levels
(based on Cabinet PES decisions of 12 November and with £2.50 rent increase)

Grant Percentage %	(1) Budgeting to meet Total Expenditure Target at Outturn		(2) Budgeting to spend at outturn 5% in cash terms above 1981/82 level in revised budgets)		(3) DOE officials "best estimate" of average rate increases	
	Domestic	Non Domestic	Domestic	Non Domestic	Domestic	Non Domestic
57	3%	2%	15%	13%	12%	10%
56	5%	4%	17%	15%	14%	12%
54	10%	8%	22%	19%	17%	14%

- Notes:
- 1) In cases (1) and (2) authorities are assumed to budget on the basis of a 2½% margin for contingencies in order to meet assumed levels of spending at outturn. (including inflation)
 - 2) Holdback of up to £900m is assumed with each grant percentage and thereby reduces the effective grant percentages.
 - 3) It is assumed that local authorities plan for no changes in balances.
 - 4) Forecasts in Column 3 assume that authorities react to grant pressure; so that for each £100m reduction in grant authorities reduce expenditure by £25 million - the other £75m is borne by rates. This operates from a grant that authorities consider "neutral", in this case taken to be 59.1% of the 1981-82 revised budgets less 1% (in line with Cmnd 8175) revalued to "realistic" 1982-83 prices. A distribution based on patterns of overspend in 1981-82, has been used to indicate the level of holdback.

c. London

Special adjustments are normally made by means of multipliers to the grant distribution within London because of its exceptionally high rateable values. The adjustments envisaged for this year are described in Appendix B.

d. Safety Nets

Multipliers are also normally used to provide a safety net to limit grant losses due to changes in GRE methodology or residual losses from previous years. In the exemplifications this limit has been set to give a maximum loss for ratepayers (ie taking the maximum loss from each tier of authority together) equivalent to a 5p rate from GRE changes - the same as last year for this purpose. In addition however ratepayers cannot be shielded from the effects of any reduction in the grant percentage; this must therefore add a loss equivalent to about a 3p rate for each 1% reduction in the grant. Thus at 57% grant the safety net would be set at 12p; 15p at 56%; and 21p at 54%. Further details are at Appendix B.

The exemplifications produce a grant distribution that maintains the grant share of London and the rest of the country at about the same levels as last year. The grant share of the partnership and programme authorities is also maintained at about the same level. (See Table 3).

21. If E is content with the general shape of the grant distribution I recommend that the details of the distribution should now be remitted to MISC 21 for final consideration and approval in the light of the decisions taken by E on the major issues in this paper.

Timing

22. The RSG settlement normally involves a statutory meeting with the Consultative Council on Local Government Finance at which the RSG Report is tabled. Before that I need to complete my statutory consultations with the associations about options for the expenditure figures to be included in the Report; and also my statutory consultation under the Housing Act 1980 about housing subsidy determination, which affects the assumptions to be used in the settlement about housing rents. Subject to the Committee's views, I propose to proceed with these consultations at once.

23. We shall need to consider the final details of the settlement before instructing officials to prepare a draft of the RSG Report itself. This might best be done in MISC 21. It will not now be possible to finalise the RSG Report before Christmas, since a clear months is required, once final decisions have been taken, to check the data and the figures. But it is important that we give local authorities as early an indication as possible of the broad shape of the settlement. I therefore propose that we make an early announcement on the following points:

- (i) the public expenditure provision for local authority current expenditure;
- (ii) the housing assumptions, including housing rents;
- (iii) individual expenditure targets (to be issued on a provisional basis);
- (iv) GRE'S;
- (v) the grant percentage;
- (vi) the poundage schedule for the settlement itself and for hold back of grant for authorities exceeding their targets.

The precise timing of these consultations and announcements will need to be considered further, especially in the light of proposals by the Secretaries of State for Scotland and Wales for the Scottish and Welsh settlements, and by the Secretary of State for Transport for the TSG Settlement.

Conclusion

24. I invite colleagues to agree as follows:

- (i) The expenditure assumptions in Appendix A should be endorsed.
- (ii) The individual expenditure targets in Table 1 should be approved.
- (iii) The grant percentage should be 57%.
- (iv) I should immediately consult the local authority associations on the matters referred to in paragraph 22, and consider further with the other Minister concerned the timing of an announcement on the lines described at paragraph 23.
- (v) MISC 21 should be invited to decide the details of the settlement, and in particular
 - (a) safety nets
 - (b) the arrangements for London;
 - (c) the poundage schedule for the settlement itself;
 - (d) the way in which grant should be abated for authorities which do not meet their expenditure targets

M H

Department of the Environment
2 Marsham Street
SW1

20 November 1981

Detailed Assumptions Underlying the Exemptions

1. Expenditure Assumptions. The breakdown of the expenditure assumptions underlying the exemptions is as follows:

	Current Expenditure Cash Provision (following Cabinet on 12/11/81 and PESCO(LA) on 13/11/81)	
	£m	
Education	8850	
School Meals and Milk	330	
Libraries, Museums etc	302	
Personal Social Services	1857	
Port Health	3	
Police	2045	
Fire	449	
Other Home Office Services	264	
Transport	1423	
Consumer Protection	48	
LES and MAPF	2262	
Employment	64	
Other Housing	93	
Local Authority Current Expenditure	18000	
Debt Charges, Revenue to Capital, Special Funds, less Interest receipts	2157 ¹	
Housing Revenue Account		
(a) Voluntary Rate Fund Contribution	341.1	(£2.50 rent increase)
(b) Rebate related	20.1	
		361
TOTAL RELEVANT EXPENDITURE		20518
Aggregate Exchequer Grant %	57	56
Aggregate Exchequer Grant	11695	11490
Block Grant ² (before holdback)	8850	8645
TOTAL EXPENDITURE (equals total GRE)		18549
ABG Percentage	57	56
Block Grant as % of Total Expenditure/GRE	47.7	46.6
		44.4

¹ includes \$100m for leasing
² net of £3m for payments to specified bodies

(i) Grant-Related Expenditures (GRE's)

Table 1 shows 1982/83 provisional GRE's in £m for each authority. They incorporate significant changes in methodology for Education, Personal Social Services and Housing, as well as data changes on all services (eg new estimates of pupil numbers and population). They also incorporate the TSG (Transport Supplementary Grant) in the GRE's for Transport and new capital allocations. There remain possible changes in methodology on housing and transport which are still under discussion, and there are other minor technical points to be resolved and some further data changes to be made before final GRE's can be produced.

The effects of the changes in GRE methodology and data combined with changes in service relativities resulting from PES decisions is to produce some significant shifts in Grant distribution. They have the effect of improving the relative position of London (particularly Inner London) and some other urban areas.

(ii) Targets

The expenditure targets shown in Table 1 are in total expenditure terms and include rate fund contributions to the Housing Revenue Account. They have been constructed on the basis of 1981/82 "merged budgets" - the lower of original and revised budgets - and have first been rescaled to 1982/83 and then 'skewed' according to spending performance in relation to GRE's and 1981/82 volume targets. This produces a range of real terms reductions in expenditure for authorities between 0-7%, and cash changes from merged budgets of between +5% and -3%. The percentage changes shown in Table 1 are from revised budgets; the large percentage reductions shown for some metropolitan counties, and GLC, reflect the volume increases made in revised budgets which these authorities are first required to eliminate before making whatever real reductions from their original budgets result from 'skewing'.

Unlike the targets used in previous exemplifications, these latest targets do not incorporate a separate housing component. Housing has been treated like any other service. The targets for non housing authorities are not affected by these changes.

(iii) Alternative Expenditure Assumptions

Two expenditure assumptions have been used as the basis for these grant exemplifications:-

- 1) Authorities budget to meet their target at outturn
- 2) Authorities budget to spend at outturn 5% above their 1981/82 revised budgets, in cash terms.

In both cases, it is assumed that in order to meet these spending levels authorities allow a 2½% "prudential margin" to cover contingencies such as higher inflation, grant losses through close-ending and other uncertainties. In doing so, they incur some grant holdback on the basis of their budget estimates, but if they actually met their target at outturn, this loss of grant would be made good at the final Supplementary Report, after the end of the grant year.

This 2½% margin has been used throughout in both the tables of grant changes and rate increases. Thus the budget figures shown in columns of tables 4-7 (for spending at target) are 2½% higher than the targets shown in Col 3 of Table 1.

The differences between the alternative expenditure assumptions used between authorities. For authorities whose targets allow 4-5% cash increases, (eg some of the shire counties) the difference between the higher and lower expenditure assumptions is small; for authorities (like some of the Inner London boroughs) who are required to make cash reductions against revised budgets the higher expenditure assumption implies a much greater divergence.

(iv) Rate Increases

This is the first year (for many years) that estimates of rate increases for individual authorities have been produced; this is because they are even more sensitive than grant to expenditure assumptions. Consequently, the rate increases shown in Table 4 should be treated with caution; they are illustrative rather than predictive. (There is no way of predicting individual rate increases for 413 authorities. However, tables 4-7 show the effect of expenditure and grant distribution changes on rate increases, and the effects of reducing the grant percentage.

Table 4 shows the increases in local rates which result from alternative spending assumptions (with the 2½% "prudential margin") under 3 different grant percentages, measured against the rate required to finance their budgeted level of spending in 1981/82. (This latter rate shown in Col 1 of Table 4 will vary from actual rates/precepts levied, which are set on rate products rather than rateable values, which are also affected by the use of balances). The implied 1982/83 rates are those which are required to raise the local contribution towards assumed spending levels after taking account of block grant entitlements.

The tables show increases in local rates and precepts for individual authorities implied by particular spending assumptions and changes in grant distribution. Increases for rate payers in different rating authority areas will reflect the combined increases of the different tiers of local government. The average rate increase for non metropolitan areas, metropolitan areas and London are shown in the class summary on page 1 of Table 4. These are effectively increases in rate poundages; domestic rate increases are about 2% higher because of the effects of domestic rate relief.

The pattern of rate increases and reductions shown for individual authorities for a given grant percentage can to a large extent be explained by the expenditure assumptions used. Authorities who have targets requiring cash reductions in expenditure against 1981/82 budgets would, other things being equal, if they meet these targets be able to reduce rates in 1982/83; the main reasons for rate increases in these circumstances are reductions in the grant percentage and adverse changes in GRE's. In the case of Inner London, the combined effect of tough expenditure targets, requiring 7% real reductions, and favourable GRE's produces large rate reductions among a number of 'high spending' authorities, if they met their spending targets. But these become rate increases if authorities increase their spending in cash terms over 1981/82.

Authorities, like many of the non met counties, who have expenditure targets allowing 4% cash increases in expenditure will conversely need to increase rates to finance this higher spending, even before any reduction in the grant percentage. For this reason, low spending authorities with easier spending targets, will tend to show a pattern of higher rate increases than high spending authorities if both meet their spending targets. But the pattern of actual rate increases will be dependent on the behaviour of authorities in relation to their targets.

(v) London

Adjustments are normally made to take account of London's high resources and to share the benefits of the very high resources in Central London (City and Westminster) with other London boroughs. For these exemplifications the following arrangements have been assumed for London grant distribution:-

- 1) London's initial grant total has been set on the basis of multipliers and a linear poundage schedule
- 2) a 15% Inner London discount has been used, with ILEA given the same multiplier as the boroughs
- 3) The London equalisation scheme (based on contributions from the City and Westminster to other boroughs) has been confined to Inner London (as in 1981/82)
- 4) the size of these contributions for 1982/83 has been determined by replicating last year's contributions by Government inflation assumptions

These London arrangements take some account of the recommendations of the London boroughs.

(vi) Safety Nets

The grant exemplification tables include the effects of safety nets which have been used to limit grant losses resulting from changes in GRE methodology, and the effect of removing 1981/82 safety net multipliers (ie residual losses from last year which have still to be fed through). Other grant losses - such as those due to GRE data or changes in the slopes of the poundage schedules are not safety netted. Nor are general grant losses due to changes in the grant percentage. For purposes of applying safety nets, a 1982/83 base position has been constructed (column 2 of Summary Table 3). This shows the grant authorities would receive in 1982/83 for spending at target if the 1981/82 GRE formulae were reapplied, but with new data, and the same block grant mechanisms and grant percentage were retained in 1982/83. Grant losses from changes in GRE methodology and the removal of 1981/82 safety nets are then measured against this base position, and safety net multipliers set to limit grant changes before the introduction of changes in block grant mechanisms.

For 1982/83, an initial 5p ratepayer safety net has been set to limit these grant losses; this is increased by approximately 5p for each 1% reduction in the grant percentage. Thus the actual ratepayer safety nets need for the alternative grant percentages are as follows:-

	Safety Net Limit
	<u>p</u>
57% grant	12p
56% grant	15p
54% grant	22p

These ratepayer safety nets have been split between classes of authority in such a way as to minimise the overall number of authorities subject to safety nets. Thus with a 57% grant, the safety net in non met county areas has been divided as 5p for the districts and 7p for counties. No limit on grant gains has been applied in these exemplifications.

LIST OF EXEMPLIFICATION TABLES

Table 1: Comparison of 1982/83 GRE's and Total Expenditure Targets, with 1981/82 Revised budgets.

Table 2: Grant Distribution Estimated 1982/83 Grant Entitlements (in £m) for authorities, with different grant percentages and alternative expenditure assumptions, and comparisons with 1981/82 actual grant.

Table 3: Summary Table on Grant Distribution

Table showing effects on grant distribution in 1982/83 for different classes of authority of main distribution option with different grant percentages and alternative expenditure assumptions

- a) grant shares
- b) grant changes against 1982/83 base position (with 59.1% grant) in poundage equivalents
- c) grant changes against 1982/83 base position in £m.

Table 4: Rate Increases

1982/83 local rate poundage for budgetted spending levels, and estimated percentage rate increases in 1982/83 with different grant percentages and alternative expenditure assumptions (for individual authorities other than non met districts)

Table 5: Expenditure, Grant and Rate Increases for Individual Authorities in 1982/83, with alternative expenditure assumptions, and 57% grant; and comparison with 1981/82.

Table 6: As Table 5, but with 56% grant.

Table 7: As Table 6, but with 54% grant.

TABLE 1
Comparison of GRE's revised budgets and targets (for authorities excluding Metropolitan Police). Total expenditure

	GRE's 1982/83 Col 1	Revised budgets 1981/82 Col 2	Targets 1982/83 Col 3	Percentage cash change Col 4
England	£18,210.169	£18,151.182m	£18,210.169	0.3%
Metropolitan districts	£1,410.327m	£1,323.223m	£1,342.500m	1.5%
Metropolitan counties	£8,942.131m	£8,291.335m	£8,519.310m	2.7%
Metropolitan districts	£3,782.338m	£3,753.958m	£3,797.276m	1.2%
Metropolitan counties	£854.550m	£1,060.111m	£966.542m	-8.6%
Metropolitan total	£10,352.458m	£9,614.538m	£9,861.810m	2.6%
Metropolitan total	£4,636.888m	£4,814.069m	£4,763.818m	-1.0%
Wales	£77.575m	£113.451m	£113.759m	0.3%
Inner London	£578.131m	£738.881m	£721.098m	-2.4%
London inc ILEA	£1,167.558m	£1,549.785m	£1,515.662m	-2.2%
London	£1,569.297m	£1,601.558m	£1,620.741m	1.2%
Metropolitan total (excl. Met. Police)	£482.577m	£570.144m	£447.028m	-21.6%
Metropolitan authorities	£3219.432m	£3,721.487m	£3,583.431m	-3.7%
Metropolitan authorities	£1,476.539m	£1,657.530m	£1,643.723m	-0.8%
Metropolitan authorities	£1,245.467m	£1,230.078m	£1,244.935m	1.2%
Metropolitan & programme	£2,722.006m	£2,887.608m	£2,888.658m	-

TABLE 1
Comparison of GRE's revised budgets and targets

	GRE's 1982/83 Col 1	Revised budgets 1981/82 Col 2	Targets 1982/83 Col 3	Total expenditure Percentage cash change Col 4
England	£18,548.500m	£18,151.182m	£18,548.496m	2.2%
Metropolitan districts	£1,410.327m	£1,323.223m	£1,342.500m	1.5%
Metropolitan counties	£8,942.131m	£8,291.335m	£8,519.310m	2.7%
Non-metropolitan districts	£3,782.338m	£3,753.958m	£3,797.276m	1.2%
Non-metropolitan counties	£854.550m	£1,060.111m	£966.542m	-8.6%
Metropolitan total	£10,352.458m	£9,614.558m	£9,861.810m	2.6%
Non-metropolitan total	£4,636.888m	£4,814.069m	£4,763.818m	-1.0%
Westminster	£77.575m	£113.451m	£113.759m	0.3%
City of Inner London	£578.131m	£738.881m	£721.098m	-2.4%
Greater London inc ILEA	£1,167.558m	£1,549.785m	£1,515.662m	-2.2%
Greater London	£1,569.297m	£1,601.538m	£1,620.741m	1.2%
Met Police	£820.904m	£570.144m	£785.355m	37.7%
Metropolitan total	£3,557.759m	£3,721.487m	£3,921.758m	5.4%
Non-metropolitan authorities	£1,476.539m	£1,657.530m	£1,643.723m	-0.8%
Non-metropolitan authorities	£1,245.467m	£1,230.078m	£1,244.935m	1.2%
Non-metropolitan & programme	£2,722.006m	£2,887.608m	£2,888.638m	-

TABLE 1
Comparison of GRE's, revised budgets and targets

Authority	GRE's 1982/83 Col 1	Revised budgets 1981/82 Col 2	Targets 1982/83 Col 3
ISLES OF SCILLY	£1.395m	£1.067m	£1.110m
SHIRE COUNTIES			
Avon	£283.393m	£274.297m	£276.044m
Bedfordshire	£170.992m	£167.165m	£170.644m
Berkshire	£215.935m	£196.890m	£200.869m
Buckinghamshire	£181.543m	£164.735m	£168.724m
Cambridgeshire	£184.112m	£166.748m	£170.800m
Cheshire	£307.910m	£305.431m	£307.428m
Cleveland	£205.958m	£211.995m	£208.709m
Cornwall	£133.125m	£116.271m	£121.165m
Cumbria	£152.952m	£150.627m	£152.198m
Derbyshire	£285.224m	£262.000m	£270.555m
Devon	£293.972m	£256.987m	£268.740m
Dorset	£173.429m	£170.753m	£175.236m
Durham	£187.925m	£193.315m	£194.202m
East Sussex	£189.019m	£179.946m	£185.586m
Essex	£452.243m	£401.448m	£417.147m
Gloucestershire	£155.267m	£143.070m	£148.187m
Hampshire	£457.992m	£425.639m	£440.660m
Hereford and Worcester	£197.449m	£179.609m	£185.754m
Hertfordshire	£301.510m	£284.895m	£296.515m
Humberside	£289.441m	£277.931m	£278.104m
Isle of Wight	£37.534m	£33.950m	£34.951m
Kent	£460.456m	£407.884m	£425.553m
Lancashire	£450.859m	£416.250m	£428.760m
Leicestershire	£279.418m	£244.329m	£252.324m
Lincolnshire	£173.679m	£158.133m	£164.136m
Norfolk	£208.898m	£189.070m	£196.197m
Northamptonshire	£176.897m	£155.050m	£157.812m
Northumberland	£96.685m	£94.539m	£96.881m
North Yorkshire	£208.946m	£196.410m	£202.040m
Notts/hamshire	£324.380m	£313.000m	£313.346m
Oxfordshire	£160.537m	£152.689m	£157.475m
Shropshire	£123.302m	£108.574m	£113.058m
Somerset	£132.502m	£120.273m	£124.557m
Staffordshire	£325.158m	£304.903m	£314.072m
Suffolk	£181.554m	£163.019m	£169.429m
Surrey	£280.805m	£257.502m	£266.955m
Warwickshire	£150.417m	£133.404m	£139.694m
West Sussex	£185.474m	£164.838m	£171.322m
Wiltshire	£165.239m	£147.666m	£153.471m

GRE's

1982/83

Revised

budgets

1981/82

Targets

1982/83

Col 3

Col 4

Col 5

Col 6

Col 7

Col 8

Col 9

Col 10

Col 11

Col 12

Col 13

Col 14

Col 15

Col 16

Col 17

Col 18

Col 19

Col 20

Col 21

Col 22

Col 23

Col 24

Col 25

Col 26

Col 27

Col 28

Col 29

Col 30

Col 31

Col 32

Col 33

Col 34

Col 35

TABLE 1
Comparison of GRE's, revised budgets and targets

Authority	GRE's 1982/83 Col 1	Revised budgets 1981/82 Col 2	Targets 1982/83 Col 3	Percentage cash change Col 4
ISLES OF SCILLY	£15.090m	£54.575m	£52.950m	-3.0%
SHIRE COUNTIES				
Avon	£63.697m	£99.377m	£96.419m	-3.0%
Bedfordshire	£35.578m	£49.889m	£48.404m	-3.0%
Berkshire	£54.291m	£65.129m	£63.190m	-3.0%
Buckinghamshire	£46.214m	£51.015m	£49.496m	-3.0%
Cambridgeshire	£54.163m	£67.660m	£65.646m	-2.9%
Cheshire	£35.823m	£35.587m	£36.466m	2.5%
Cambridgeshire and Chelsea	£76.165m	£97.817m	£94.905m	-3.0%
Cleveland	£49.331m	£72.666m	£70.500m	-3.0%
Cornwall	£67.892m	£85.032m	£82.501m	-3.0%
Cumbria	£35.161m	£57.010m	£55.313m	-3.0%
Derbyshire	£59.816m	£57.699m	£58.256m	1.0%
Devon	£62.494m	£58.876m	£60.809m	3.3%
Dorset	£49.550m	£57.347m	£56.459m	-1.5%
Durham	£95.630m	£88.536m	£92.310m	4.3%
East Sussex	£70.434m	£73.693m	£74.277m	0.8%
Essex	£115.685m	£121.121m	£120.147m	-0.8%
Gloucestershire	£90.320m	£86.169m	£89.842m	4.3%
Hampshire	£109.195m	£97.036m	£101.172m	4.3%
Hereford and Worcester	£109.200m	£102.148m	£106.502m	4.3%
Hertfordshire	£87.752m	£86.767m	£88.557m	2.1%
Humberside	£92.393m	£109.289m	£107.042m	-2.1%
Isle of Wight	£63.558m	£65.209m	£66.003m	1.2%
Kent	£76.139m	£79.991m	£80.537m	0.7%
Lancashire	£75.743m	£81.391m	£82.211m	1.0%
Leicestershire	£73.159m	£81.457m	£79.782m	-2.1%
Lincolnshire	£42.261m	£42.773m	£43.782m	2.3%
Norfolk	£55.134m	£53.685m	£55.974m	4.3%
Northamptonshire	£103.935m	£110.658m	£108.799m	-1.7%
Northumberland	£73.179m	£68.255m	£71.165m	1.8%
North Yorkshire	£47.520m	£47.544m	£48.385m	2.8%
Notts/hamshire	£54.010m	£50.399m	£51.792m	2.8%
Oxfordshire	£84.500m	£98.085m	£96.004m	-2.1%

TABLE 1
Comparison of GRE's revised budgets and targets

Authority	GRE's 1982/83 Col 1	Revised budgets 1981/82 Col 2	Targets 1982/83 Col 3
GREAT MANCHESTER			
Bolton	£87.445m	£78.291m	
Bury	£53.646m	£52.953m	£80.977m
Manchester	£191.073m	£235.951m	£53.501m
Oldham	£78.140m	£72.444m	£230.474m
Rochdale	£72.002m	£75.858m	£74.479m
Salford	£85.612m	£86.298m	£74.217m
Stockport	£87.936m	£80.835m	£87.904m
Tameside	£72.108m	£71.356m	£83.083m
Trafford	£71.181m	£64.108m	£72.545m
Wigan	£99.018m	£98.206m	£66.660m
			£99.397m
MERSEYSIDE			
Knowsley	£66.293m	£63.109m	£64.273m
Liverpool	£197.246m	£203.869m	£203.690m
St Helens	£62.922m	£63.564m	£64.355m
Sefton	£93.063m	£80.764m	£94.499m
Wirral	£108.440m	£101.401m	£105.437m
SOUTH YORKSHIRE			
Barnsley	£67.834m	£72.837m	£72.472m
Doncaster	£90.411m	£97.705m	£97.908m
Rotherham	£77.521m	£81.346m	£82.028m
Sheffield	£167.600m	£196.344m	£191.787m
TYNE AND WEAR			
Gateshead	£66.659m	£67.799m	£68.596m
Newcastle upon Tyne	£92.245m	£113.990m	£111.344m
North Tyneside	£61.436m	£65.446m	£65.813m
South Tyneside	£56.060m	£58.441m	£58.877m
Sunderland	£93.972m	£97.616m	£97.024m
WEST MIDLANDS			
Birmingham	£367.188m	£343.762m	£352.454m
Coventry	£114.458m	£103.912m	£106.754m
Dudley	£85.759m	£73.320m	£75.563m
Sandwell	£105.355m	£103.234m	£103.577m
Solihull	£62.419m	£54.661m	£57.211m
Walsall	£88.839m	£87.010m	£86.173m
Wolverhampton	£96.011m	£92.536m	£92.309m
WEST YORKSHIRE			
Bradford			£151.141m
Calderdale	£157.459m	£145.355m	£60.190m
Kirklees	£59.832m	£59.009m	£115.778m
Leeds	£123.572m	£114.440m	£209.589m
Wakefield	£227.951m	£202.757m	£95.196m
	£93.631m	£93.431m	

Total expenditure

Percentage

change

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

Col 4

Col 1

Col 2

Col 3

TABLE 1
Comparison of GRE's revised budgets and targets

Authority	GRE's 1982/83 Col 1	Revised budgets 1981/82 Col 2	Targets 1982/83 Col 3
DORSET			
Bournemouth	£8.970m	£8.545m	
Christchurch	£1.994m	£1.486m	£8.675m
North Dorset	£2.207m	£1.195m	£1.358m
Poole	£6.116m	£5.303m	£1.257m
Purbeck	£1.879m	£1.232m	£5.549m
West Dorset	£4.181m	£2.315m	£1.296m
Weymouth and Portland	£3.034m	£2.662m	£2.435m
Wimbourne	£3.229m	£2.069m	£2.772m
			£2.176m
DURHAM			
Chester-le-Street	£2.425m	£2.836m	£2.774m
Darlington	£5.308m	£7.759m	£7.591m
Derwentside	£4.212m	£6.021m	£5.890m
Durham	£3.889m	£5.361m	£5.245m
Easington	£6.207m	£5.494m	£5.575m
Sedgefield	£4.679m	£7.845m	£7.675m
Teesdale	£1.116m	£0.847m	£0.881m
Wear Valley	£3.628m	£4.917m	£4.810m
EAST SUSSEX			
Brighton	£11.124m	£11.861m	£11.764m
Eastbourne	£4.646m	£5.496m	£5.377m
Hastings	£4.574m	£4.009m	£4.175m
Hove	£6.283m	£4.191m	£4.399m
Lewes	£3.978m	£3.613m	£3.763m
Rother	£3.895m	£3.657m	£3.808m
Wealden	£5.299m	£3.746m	£3.923m
ESSEX			
Basildon	£8.220m	£10.709m	£10.477m
Braintree	£4.950m	£3.895m	£4.013m
Brentwood	£3.352m	£2.225m	£2.341m
Castle Point	£3.770m	£3.472m	£3.616m
Chemsford	£6.594m	£3.722m	£3.915m
Colchester	£6.430m	£3.892m	£4.080m
Epping Forest	£5.102m	£3.597m	£3.784m
Harlow	£3.931m	£6.085m	£5.953m
Malden	£2.035m	£1.609m	£1.676m
Rochford	£3.342m	£2.793m	£2.907m
Southend-on-Sea	£9.801m	£9.500m	£9.893m
Tendring	£5.914m	£5.325m	£5.546m
Thurrock	£5.264m	£6.763m	£6.616m
Uttlesford	£2.673m	£1.840m	£1.938m

19/11/81 at 16:17
Total expenditure

TABLE 1
Comparison of GRE's revised budgets and targets

Authority	GRE's 1982/83 Col 1	Revised budgets 1981/82 Col 2	Targets 1982/83 Col 3	Total expenditure	Percentage cash change Col 4
GLoucestershire					
Gloucester	£4.727m	£3.804m			
Stroud	£3.079m	£2.447m	£4.002m		5.2%
Forest of Dean	£3.029m	£2.043m	£2.548m		4.1%
Gloucestershire	£4.750m	£2.767m	£2.149m		5.2%
Gloucester	£4.315m	£3.141m	£2.911m		5.2%
Gloucester	£3.318m	£2.343m	£3.304m		5.2%
			£2.465m		5.2%
GLoucestershire and Deane					
Gloucestershire	£5.698m	£5.242m	£5.459m		4.1%
Gloucestershire	£3.817m	£3.264m	£3.394m		2.1%
Gloucestershire	£4.048m	£3.270m	£3.431m		4.9%
Gloucestershire	£4.048m	£3.993m	£4.158m		4.1%
Gloucestershire	£4.173m	£3.202m	£3.340m		4.3%
Gloucestershire	£3.072m	£2.794m	£2.733m		-2.2%
Gloucestershire	£4.514m	£4.744m	£4.744m		5.1%
Gloucestershire	£7.189m	£5.749m	£5.967m		4.1%
Gloucestershire	£14.729m	£14.606m	£15.211m		4.1%
Gloucestershire	£4.260m	£4.806m	£4.701m		-2.2%
Gloucestershire	£12.238m	£12.306m	£12.278m		-0.2%
Gloucestershire	£4.105m	£3.164m	£3.295m		4.1%
Gloucestershire	£4.173m	£3.786m	£3.943m		4.1%
GLoucestershire and Worcester					
Gloucestershire	£3.260m	£2.549m	£2.655m		4.1%
Gloucestershire	£2.337m	£1.920m	£2.000m		4.1%
Gloucestershire	£1.695m	£1.162m	£1.202m		3.4%
Gloucestershire	£3.614m	£2.827m	£2.944m		4.1%
Gloucestershire	£2.954m	£3.257m	£3.284m		-2.2%
Gloucestershire	£1.972m	£1.472m	£1.540m		4.6%
Gloucestershire	£3.873m	£3.768m	£3.686m		-2.2%
Gloucestershire	£3.924m	£4.416m	£4.325m		-2.1%
Gloucestershire	£4.340m	£6.078m	£5.946m		-2.2%
GLoucestershire					
Gloucestershire	£4.597m	£3.808m	£3.819m		0.3%
Gloucestershire	£6.622m	£4.869m	£5.122m		5.2%
Gloucestershire	£5.143m	£4.535m	£4.723m		4.1%
Gloucestershire	£4.382m	£5.094m	£4.983m		-2.2%
Gloucestershire	£5.070m	£3.771m	£4.983m		4.6%
Gloucestershire	£5.970m	£4.517m	£3.952m		4.5%
Gloucestershire	£4.122m	£4.716m	£4.716m		-2.2%
Gloucestershire	£4.149m	£5.610m	£4.488m		4.1%
Gloucestershire	£4.682m	£3.911m	£4.073m		-2.2%
Gloucestershire	£4.690m	£5.341m	£5.225m		-2.6%
Gloucestershire		£5.293m	£5.158m		

TABLE 1
Comparison of GRE's revised budgets and targets

Authority	GRE's 1982/83 Col 1	Revised budgets 1981/82 Col 2	Targets 1982/83 Col 3
HUMBERSIDE			
Beverley	£4.725m	£3.435m	
Boothferry	£3.270m	£3.517m	£3.51m
Cleethorpes	£3.599m	£4.207m	£3.441m
Glanford	£3.545m	£3.416m	£4.116m
Great Grimsby	£5.241m	£4.711m	£3.342m
Holderness	£2.135m	£1.628m	£4.697m
Kinston upon Hull	£18.161m	£18.497m	£1.666m
East Yorkshire	£3.762m	£3.711m	£18.096m
Scunthorpe	£5.134m	£5.747m	£3.683m
			£5.622m
ISLE OF WIGHT			
Medina	£3.047m	£2.971m	£2.962m
South Wight	£2.311m	£2.588m	£2.532m
KENT			
Ashford	£3.948m	£3.619m	£3.769m
Canterbury	£6.051m	£6.065m	£6.037m
Dartford	£4.298m	£5.326m	£5.210m
Dover	£5.033m	£5.314m	£5.230m
Gillingham	£4.706m	£2.228m	£2.344m
Gravesham	£4.694m	£4.245m	£4.312m
Maidstone	£6.053m	£5.522m	£5.751m
Rochester upon Medway	£7.719m	£6.683m	£6.960m
Sevenoaks	£4.958m	£4.962m	£5.167m
Sherway	£4.695m	£4.849m	£4.806m
Swale	£5.366m	£4.582m	£4.820m
Thanet	£6.949m	£8.356m	£8.175m
Tonbridge and Malling	£4.128m	£4.429m	£4.324m
Tunbridge Wells	£4.516m	£3.825m	£3.983m
LANCASHIRE			
Blackburn		£12.313m	£12.046m
Blackpool	£10.352m	£9.093m	£9.093m
Burnley	£8.942m	£9.232m	£7.243m
Chorley	£5.370m	£7.404m	£3.159m
Fylde	£4.112m	£3.228m	£3.256m
Hyndburn	£3.360m	£3.127m	£4.862m
Lancaster	£4.206m	£4.970m	£6.885m
Pendle	£6.479m	£7.005m	£5.225m
Preston	£4.464m	£5.341m	£7.505m
Ribble Valley	£7.517m	£7.207m	£2.327m
Rossendale	£2.296m	£2.360m	£4.144m
South Ribble	£2.946m	£4.236m	£3.456m
West Lancashire	£4.311m	£3.314m	£4.915m
Wreake	£5.127m	£4.720m	£4.397m
	£5.495m	£4.239m	

TABLE 1
Comparison of GRE's revised budgets and targets

Authority	GRE's 1982/83 Col 1	Revised budgets 1981/82 Col 2	Targets 1982/83 Col 3	Total expenditure	Percentage cash change Col 4
LANCASHIRE					
Blackburn	£3.103m	£2.244m			
Blackpool	£5.885m	£4.443m	£2.361m		5.2%
Burnley	£2.567m	£2.051m	£4.660m		4.5%
Chorley	£3.551m	£2.509m	£2.084m		1.3%
Cleethorpes and Bosworth	£18.292m	£19.233m	£2.603m		3.8%
East Yorkshire	£1.817m	£1.491m	£18.818m		-2.2%
Great Grimsby	£3.307m	£3.005m	£1.491m		
Holderness	£2.199m	£1.399m	£2.995m		-0.3%
Kinston upon Hull	£1.268m	£0.868m	£1.471m		5.2%
Scunthorpe			£0.891m		2.6%
ISLE OF WIGHT					
Medina	£2.780m	£1.964m	£2.066m		5.2%
South Wight	£4.860m	£4.180m	£4.259m		1.9%
KENT					
Ashford	£3.955m	£4.027m	£3.995m		-0.8%
Canterbury	£3.218m	£2.388m	£2.502m		4.3%
Dartford	£3.283m	£2.814m	£2.877m		2.2%
Dover	£4.320m	£3.482m	£3.626m		4.1%
Gillingham	£3.304m	£2.987m	£2.946m		-1.4%
Gravesham					
Maidstone	£4.124m	£2.763m	£2.906m		5.2%
Rochester upon Medway	£3.903m	£2.689m	£2.829m		5.2%
Sevenoaks	£4.187m	£5.107m	£4.996m		-2.2%
Sherway	£4.030m	£3.216m	£3.349m		4.1%
Swale	£7.910m	£9.079m	£8.882m		-2.2%
Thanet	£3.888m	£2.616m	£2.752m		5.2%
Tonbridge and Malling	£5.898m	£5.278m	£5.497m		4.1%
Tunbridge Wells					
LANCASHIRE					
Blackburn	£3.315m	£2.765m	£2.803m		1.4%
Blackpool	£2.317m	£1.781m	£1.855m		4.2%
Burnley	£2.712m	£1.635m	£1.720m		5.2%
Chorley	£3.617m	£3.423m	£3.419m		-0.1%
Cleethorpes and Bosworth	£9.400m	£9.407m	£9.797m		4.1%
East Yorkshire	£1.826m	£1.826m	£1.920m		5.1%
Great Grimsby	£2.994m	£2.497m	£2.507m		0.4%
Holderness					
Kinston upon Hull					
Scunthorpe					
LANCASHIRE					
Blackburn	£1.489m	£1.434m	£1.493m		4.1%
Blackpool	£1.243m	£0.956m	£1.006m		5.2%
Burnley	£4.617m	£4.801m	£4.707m		-1.9%
Chorley	£2.147m	£1.735m	£1.800m		3.7%
Cleethorpes and Bosworth	£2.396m	£2.494m	£2.415m		-0.8%
East Yorkshire	£2.956m	£4.329m	£4.431m		-2.2%

TABLE 1
Comparison of GRE's revised budgets and targets

Authority	GRE's 1982/83 Col 1	Revised budgets 1981/82 Col 2	Targets 1982/83 Col 3
NORTH YORKSHIRE			
Craven	£2.152m	£2.054m	
Hambleton	£3.161m	£2.398m	£2.139m
Harrorogate	£6.365m	£8.294m	£2.516m
Richmondshire	£1.966m	£2.008m	£8.114m
Ryedale	£3.523m	£2.596m	£1.990m
Scarborough	£5.224m	£5.050m	£2.720m
Selby	£3.641m	£3.159m	£5.259m
York	£5.936m	£5.041m	£3.290m
			£5.250m
NOTTINGHAMSHIRE			
Ashfield	£4.555m	£4.627m	£4.541m
Bassetlaw	£4.469m	£5.686m	£5.563m
Broxthorpe	£4.699m	£4.042m	£4.209m
Gedling	£4.568m	£3.706m	£3.859m
Mansfield	£4.931m	£5.659m	£5.536m
Newark	£4.405m	£3.877m	£4.038m
Nottingham	£17.264m	£16.777m	£17.472m
Rushcliffe	£3.701m	£3.816m	£3.817m
OXFORDSHIRE			
Cherwell			
Oxford	£4.813m	£3.482m	£3.659m
South Oxfordshire	£6.873m	£5.306m	£5.580m
Vale of White Horse	£5.603m	£4.114m	£4.325m
West Oxfordshire	£4.005m	£2.863m	£3.012m
	£3.288m	£2.277m	£2.395m
SHROPSHIRE			
Bridgnorth			£1.571m
North Shropshire	£1.994m	£1.499m	£1.768m
Osprey	£2.106m	£1.698m	£1.168m
Shrewsbury and Atcham	£1.436m	£1.122m	£3.338m
South Shropshire	£3.905m	£3.213m	£1.423m
The Wrekin	£1.524m	£1.366m	£6.914m
	£5.786m	£7.067m	
SOMERSET			
Mendips			£2.654m
Sedgemoor	£3.797m	£2.523m	£3.545m
Taunton Deane	£4.088m	£3.382m	£3.350m
West Somerset	£4.109m	£3.409m	£0.973m
Yeovil	£1.557m	£0.930m	£3.774m
	£5.778m	£3.588m	

TABLE 1
Comparison of GRE's revised budgets and targets

Authority	GRE's 1982/83 Col 1	Revised budgets 1981/82 Col 2	Targets 1982/83 Col 3	Total expenditure	Percentage cash change Col 4
WILTSHIRE					
Chippenham	£4.000m	£3.487m			
Craven	£4.630m	£3.243m	£3.411m		-2.2%
Devizes	£3.490m	£2.596m	£3.411m		5.2%
Marlborough	£5.193m	£5.244m	£2.717m		4.7%
Salisbury	£4.063m	£5.244m	£5.216m		-0.5%
Swindon	£5.188m	£2.598m	£2.733m		5.2%
Wootton Bassett	£3.900m	£3.724m	£3.887m		4.4%
Wiltshire Moorlands	£13.509m	£2.721m	£2.862m		5.2%
Wiltshire	£2.798m	£11.557m	£12.036m		4.1%
		£3.115m	£3.053m		-2.0%
GLoucestershire					
Gloucester	£3.357m	£2.773m	£2.888m		4.1%
Stroud	£2.516m	£1.992m	£2.091m		5.0%
Forest of Dean	£6.622m	£7.187m	£7.031m		-2.2%
Stratford-upon-Avon	£3.071m	£2.417m	£2.502m		3.5%
Wotton Bassett	£3.879m	£2.779m	£2.923m		5.2%
Wotton-under-Edge	£4.629m	£3.366m	£3.534m		5.0%
	£5.048m	£4.598m	£4.630m		0.7%
GLoucestershire					
Gloucester	£5.146m	£4.793m	£4.991m		4.1%
Stroud	£3.253m	£3.309m	£3.306m		-0.1%
Forest of Dean	£5.473m	£4.314m	£4.493m		4.1%
Stratford-upon-Avon	£3.232m	£2.658m	£2.771m		4.2%
Wotton Bassett	£5.020m	£4.325m	£4.515m		4.1%
Wotton-under-Edge	£3.097m	£2.620m	£2.788m		4.1%
Wotton-under-Edge	£4.233m	£3.655m	£3.821m		4.5%
Wotton-under-Edge	£3.363m	£2.690m	£2.801m		4.1%
Wotton-under-Edge	£3.086m	£2.342m	£2.444m		4.3%
Wotton-under-Edge	£4.569m	£4.429m	£4.612m		4.1%
Wotton-under-Edge	£4.447m	£3.497m	£3.642m		4.1%
GLoucestershire					
Gloucester					
Stroud	£2.662m	£2.549m	£2.549m		-2.2%
Forest of Dean	£5.173m	£7.253m	£7.096m		4.1%
Stratford-upon-Avon	£3.899m	£3.453m	£3.556m		3.3%
Wotton Bassett	£4.488m	£4.089m	£4.224m		3.0%
Wotton-under-Edge	£5.617m	£4.428m	£4.561m		

TABLE 1
Comparison of GRE's revised budgets and targets

Authority	GRE's 1982/83 Col 1	Revised budgets 1981/82 Col 2	Targets 1982/83 Col 3
WEST SUSSEX			
Adur	£2.703m	£3.388m	£3.314m
Arun	£6.051m	£5.609m	£5.841m
Chichester	£4.871m	£3.813m	£3.950m
Crawley	£3.613m	£5.390m	£5.273m
Horsham	£4.536m	£3.252m	£3.420m
Mid Sussex	£5.149m	£4.382m	£4.563m
Worthing	£5.806m	£5.324m	£5.544m
WILTSHIRE			
Kennet	£3.054m	£2.405m	£2.505m
North Wiltshire	£4.403m	£3.363m	£3.484m
Salisbury	£4.367m	£3.407m	£3.533m
Thanesdown	£7.076m	£11.861m	£11.604m
West Wiltshire	£4.269m	£2.848m	£2.915m

TABLE 2
Effect on grant distribution of different grant percentages
with alternative expenditure assumptions which include 2.5% for over-budgets

	-5% grant-		+++++5% grant+++++		-5% grant-		
	1981/82 grant after holdback Col 1	Outturn at target Col 2	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 3	Outturn at target Col 4	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 5	Outturn at target 1981/82 Col 6	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 7
West Sussex	£8,054m	£8,419m	£8,099m	£8,212m	£7,890m	£7,799m	£7,476m
Wiltshire							
Adur districts	£587m	£590m	£576m	£577m	£564m	£548m	£535m
Arun counties	£3,904m	£3,959m	£3,859m	£3,843m	£3,743m	£3,626m	£3,527m
Chichester districts	£2,025m	£2,038m	£1,995m	£2,008m	£1,967m	£1,936m	£1,894m
Crawley counties	£458m	£487m	£479m	£479m	£470m	£464m	£456m
West Sussex total	£4,491m	£4,549m	£4,435m	£4,420m	£4,306m	£4,174m	£4,062m
Wiltshire total	£2,483m	£2,525m	£2,475m	£2,487m	£2,437m	£2,400m	£2,350m
Westminster	£-60m	£-63m	£-63m	£-63m	£-63m	£-63m	£-63m
Greater London	£345m	£377m	£359m	£371m	£353m	£361m	£348m
London inc ILEA	£284m	£313m	£296m	£308m	£296m	£297m	£279m
Met Police	£681m	£725m	£690m	£702m	£666m	£660m	£623m
Met Police	£113m	£305m	£203m	£294m	£190m	£268m	£182m
Met Police total	£1,078m	£1,344m	£1,188m	£1,304m	£1,146m	£1,225m	£1,063m
Met Police authorities	£320m	£349m	£312m	£335m	£299m	£303m	£266m
Met Police authorities	£695m	£714m	£704m	£705m	£695m	£683m	£673m
Met Police & programme	£1,516m	£1,563m	£1,516m	£1,540m	£1,493m	£1,487m	£1,439m

TABLE 2
Effect on grant distribution of different grant percentages
with alternative expenditure assumptions which include 2.5X for over-budgerring

Authority	-57% grant-		+++++56% grant+++++		-5% grant-
	1981/82 after holdback Col 1	Outturn at target Col 2	Outturn at 5X above 1981/82 Col 3	Outturn at 5X above target Col 4	
ISLES OF SCILLY	£0.566m	£0.911m	£0.905m	£0.901m	£0.896m
SHIRE COUNTIES					
Avon	£123.897m	£129.796m	£125.794m	£125.960m	£121.884m
Bedfordshire	£56.285m	£60.476m	£55.369m	£58.652m	£53.589m
Berkshire	£55.115m	£55.318m	£47.500m	£51.448m	£43.584m
Buckinghamshire	£47.243m	£55.228m	£49.491m	£53.141m	£44.097m
Cambridgeshire	£65.966m	£71.459m	£67.248m	£69.625m	£47.454m
Cheshire	£128.185m	£131.864m	£125.605m	£127.634m	£65.459m
Cleveland	£103.576m	£108.340m	£106.323m	£105.915m	£103.819m
Cornwall	£68.245m	£69.781m	£69.371m	£68.323m	£67.925m
Cumbria	£90.660m	£94.274m	£94.079m	£92.753m	£92.529m
Derbyshire	£146.686m	£148.999m	£146.690m	£145.693m	£143.379m
Devon	£138.852m	£140.927m	£140.384m	£137.361m	£136.864m
Dorset	£69.235m	£66.785m	£63.227m	£64.128m	£60.354m
Durham	£119.014m	£123.265m	£124.319m	£121.433m	£117.939m
East Sussex	£67.386m	£66.599m	£63.316m	£63.473m	£60.180m
Essex	£155.057m	£150.394m	£145.442m	£142.961m	£138.066m
Gloucestershire	£70.048m	£70.768m	£69.325m	£68.731m	£67.293m
Hampshire	£185.208m	£181.330m	£175.629m	£174.742m	£169.062m
Hereford and Worcester	£86.113m	£83.444m	£81.035m	£80.760m	£78.355m
Hertfordshire	£90.277m	£78.742m	£75.345m	£73.357m	£70.003m
Humber	£161.491m	£165.858m	£165.225m	£162.791m	£162.079m
Isle of Wight	£17.711m	£19.021m	£18.618m	£18.725m	£18.329m
Kent	£208.483m	£207.272m	£205.408m	£201.593m	£199.796m
Lancashire	£253.882m	£267.411m	£264.942m	£262.893m	£260.397m
Leicestershire	£126.156m	£127.255m	£123.921m	£123.686m	£120.246m
Lincolnshire	£92.259m	£93.739m	£92.888m	£91.806m	£90.962m
Norfolk	£91.796m	£92.783m	£91.111m	£89.903m	£88.240m
Northamptonshire	£73.873m	£78.140m	£74.602m	£76.561m	£73.029m
Northumberland	£54.517m	£55.804m	£54.882m	£54.781m	£53.051m
North Yorkshire	£109.692m	£111.173m	£109.156m	£108.778m	£106.749m
Nottinghamshire	£165.978m	£167.513m	£164.706m	£163.569m	£160.664m
Oxfordshire	£157.231m	£53.902m	£50.921m	£51.342m	£48.360m
Shropshire	£62.122m	£63.942m	£63.456m	£62.532m	£62.077m
Somerset	£62.545m	£64.576m	£63.437m	£62.975m	£61.839m
Staffordshire	£151.686m	£159.685m	£155.820m	£155.760m	£151.887m
Suffolk	£72.726m	£75.452m	£74.049m	£73.733m	£72.371m
Surrey	£70.401m	£66.246m	£61.670m	£60.786m	£56.223m
Warwickshire	£60.779m	£59.535m	£59.259m	£57.565m	£57.321m
West Sussex	£60.568m	£57.800m	£55.859m	£54.711m	£52.789m
Wiltshire	£83.051m	£84.346m	£83.523m	£82.462m	£81.651m

TABLE 2
Effect on grant distribution of different grant percentages
with alternative expenditure assumptions which include 2.5X for over-budgerring

Authority	-57% grant-		+++++56% grant+++++		-5% grant-
	1981/82 after holdback Col 1	Outturn at target Col 2	Outturn at 5X above 1981/82 Col 3	Outturn at 5X above target Col 4	
ISLES OF SCILLY	£-38.707m	£-40.642m	£-40.642m	£-40.642m	£-40.642m
SHIRE COUNTIES					
Avon	£11.378m	£11.981m	£11.981m	£11.981m	£11.981m
Bedfordshire	£29.359m	£27.900m	£27.900m	£27.900m	£27.900m
Berkshire	£39.164m	£43.562m	£42.632m	£43.167m	£42.872m
Buckinghamshire	£31.352m	£35.793m	£35.435m	£34.031m	£34.329m
Cambridgeshire	£24.293m	£30.025m	£25.121m	£29.414m	£24.457m
Cheshire	£11.804m	£12.811m	£10.336m	£12.137m	£9.652m
Cleveland	£46.639m	£54.650m	£51.672m	£53.961m	£50.328m
Cornwall	£43.463m	£44.229m	£44.774m	£43.807m	£44.325m
Cumbria	£40.156m	£44.729m	£44.729m	£44.097m	£40.016m
Derbyshire	£22.627m	£24.064m	£22.677m	£23.101m	£21.596m
Devon	£44.504m	£46.890m	£46.779m	£46.493m	£45.634m
Dorset	£-21.629m	£-22.710m	£-22.710m	£-22.710m	£-22.710m
Durham	£23.746m	£22.757m	£21.036m	£21.892m	£20.116m
East Sussex	£32.472m	£33.025m	£32.244m	£31.622m	£30.858m
Essex	£39.092m	£39.881m	£38.739m	£39.136m	£37.982m
Gloucestershire	£41.836m	£61.344m	£56.422m	£60.088m	£55.115m
Hampshire	£42.471m	£39.916m	£39.414m	£38.429m	£37.932m
Hereford and Worcester	£45.174m	£40.767m	£39.914m	£38.585m	£37.734m
Hertfordshire	£45.874m	£50.966m	£49.657m	£48.978m	£46.820m
Humber	£36.405m	£36.847m	£34.495m	£35.683m	£33.323m
Isle of Wight	£48.437m	£56.019m	£53.947m	£55.087m	£52.971m
Kent	£27.128m	£27.887m	£26.273m	£27.055m	£25.431m
Lancashire	£38.215m	£37.494m	£35.635m	£36.507m	£34.632m
Leicestershire	£21.354m	£18.254m	£13.990m	£16.407m	£12.059m
Lincolnshire	£17.966m	£21.866m	£16.591m	£20.302m	£14.907m
Norfolk	£15.847m	£14.851m	£13.597m	£13.943m	£12.664m
Northamptonshire	£25.853m	£24.398m	£24.058m	£23.424m	£23.087m
Northumberland	£35.526m	£67.395m	£65.248m	£66.512m	£64.322m
North Yorkshire	£34.628m	£35.026m	£34.666m	£34.170m	£33.820m
Nottinghamshire	£20.609m	£17.706m	£16.712m	£16.712m	£15.370m
Oxfordshire	£24.345m	£25.101m	£24.168m	£24.196m	£23.244m
Shropshire	£46.485m	£53.681m	£52.563m	£52.872m	£51.718m
Somerset	£19.487m	£19.890m	£19.285m	£19.284m	£18.884m
Staffordshire	£149.487m	£151.887m	£151.887m	£151.887m	£151.887m
Suffolk	£72.371m	£72.371m	£72.371m	£72.371m	£72.371m
Surrey	£56.223m	£54.284m	£54.284m	£54.284m	£54.284m
Warwickshire	£57.321m	£48.884m	£48.884m	£48.884m	£48.884m
West Sussex	£52.789m	£54.711m	£54.711m	£54.711m	£54.711m
Wiltshire	£81.651m	£82.462m	£82.462m	£82.462m	£82.462m

TABLE 2
Effect on grant distribution of different grant percentages
with alternative expenditure assumptions which include 2.5% for over-budsetting

Authority	5% grant		5.5% grant		6% grant	
	1981/82 after holdback Col 1	Outturn at target Col 2	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 3	Outturn at target Col 4	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 5	Outturn at target Col 6
GREATER MANCHESTER						
Bolton	£48,860m	£50,254m	£49,768m	£49,667m	£49,195m	£48,254m
Bury	£29,141m	£29,795m	£29,426m	£29,394m	£29,035m	£28,499m
Manchester	£109,582m	£100,495m	£94,443m	£90,936m	£92,903m	£94,411m
Oldham	£49,225m	£48,315m	£47,860m	£47,835m	£47,391m	£46,761m
Rochdale	£46,507m	£47,437m	£48,069m	£47,001m	£47,642m	£46,877m
Salford	£54,768m	£53,394m	£52,738m	£52,666m	£52,074m	£50,725m
Stockport	£40,704m	£38,558m	£37,101m	£37,751m	£36,313m	£35,920m
Tameside	£45,550m	£45,496m	£45,185m	£45,044m	£44,742m	£44,029m
Trafford	£25,221m	£22,727m	£21,906m	£21,915m	£21,113m	£19,665m
Wigan	£59,679m	£60,698m	£60,368m	£60,047m	£59,732m	£58,591m
MERSEYSIDE						
Knowsley	£37,577m	£38,484m	£37,712m	£38,027m	£37,266m	£37,006m
Liverpool	£113,520m	£117,480m	£115,162m	£116,005m	£113,705m	£111,732m
St Helens	£34,095m	£34,788m	£34,095m	£34,310m	£33,629m	£33,241m
Sefton	£47,501m	£45,379m	£45,227m	£44,619m	£44,485m	£42,817m
Wirral	£55,280m	£54,738m	£54,126m	£53,851m	£53,261m	£51,850m
SOUTH YORKSHIRE						
Barnsley	£48,021m	£47,499m	£48,021m	£47,085m	£47,625m	£45,917m
Doncaster	£57,769m	£56,800m	£56,173m	£56,177m	£55,564m	£54,492m
Rotherham	£53,846m	£52,413m	£52,457m	£51,929m	£51,982m	£50,529m
Sheffield	£88,273m	£90,868m	£88,509m	£89,472m	£87,141m	£85,432m
TYNE AND WEAR						
Gateshead	£41,962m	£41,174m	£40,960m	£40,723m	£40,519m	£39,422m
Newcastle upon Tyne	£43,208m	£40,764m	£37,768m	£39,883m	£36,904m	£37,333m
North Tyneside	£38,912m	£37,152m	£36,518m	£36,717m	£36,092m	£35,742m
South Tyneside	£39,405m	£38,796m	£38,795m	£38,466m	£38,469m	£37,506m
Sunderland	£61,672m	£61,642m	£62,395m	£61,067m	£61,834m	£59,782m
WEST MIDLANDS						
Birmingham	£158,057m	£158,715m	£150,365m	£155,282m	£147,016m	£147,607m
Coventry	£52,150m	£57,258m	£55,626m	£56,333m	£54,724m	£54,265m
Dudley	£30,702m	£29,826m	£28,215m	£28,922m	£27,333m	£26,901m
Sandwell	£36,551m	£41,726m	£38,816m	£40,677m	£37,792m	£38,330m
Solihull	£24,024m	£24,515m	£24,367m	£23,897m	£23,764m	£22,515m
Walsall	£35,173m	£38,870m	£37,088m	£38,047m	£36,285m	£36,206m
Wolverhampton	£35,507m	£42,809m	£40,439m	£41,932m	£39,583m	£39,972m
WEST YORKSHIRE						
Bradford	£98,997m	£101,171m	£100,923m	£100,261m	£100,035m	£98,227m
Calderdale	£40,929m	£40,683m	£40,640m	£40,337m	£40,301m	£39,237m
Kirkstiles	£75,256m	£80,069m	£80,343m	£79,392m	£79,682m	£77,877m
Leeds	£112,339m	£113,480m	£111,400m	£111,654m	£109,618m	£107,571m
Wakefield	£55,160m	£53,360m	£52,487m	£52,672m	£51,816m	£51,138m

TABLE 2
Effect on grant distribution of different grant percentages
with alternative expenditure assumptions which include 2.5% for over-budsetting

Authority	5% grant		5.5% grant		6% grant	
	1981/82 after holdback Col 1	Outturn at target Col 2	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 3	Outturn at target Col 4	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 5	Outturn at target Col 6
WEST YORKSHIRE COUNTIES						
Greater Manchester	£98,732m	£106,187m	£103,030m	£104,642m	£101,523m	£101,191m
Merseyside	£68,398m	£70,590m	£69,537m	£68,493m	£67,073m	£66,576m
North Yorkshire	£67,192m	£67,968m	£68,806m	£66,485m	£67,232m	£65,118m
North West	£64,207m	£74,271m	£74,162m	£73,668m	£73,574m	£72,320m
West Yorkshire	£68,902m	£75,907m	£65,846m	£73,957m	£63,943m	£65,599m
West Midlands	£90,870m	£92,466m	£97,965m	£91,462m	£96,985m	£89,216m
TYNE AND WEAR						
North East	£13,323m	£161,447m	£58,817m	£154,247m	£50,246m	£138,530m
WILTSHIRE						
Wiltshire	£99,222m	£143,830m	£144,121m	£139,311m	£139,687m	£129,468m

TABLE 2
Effect on grant distribution of different grant percentages
with alternative expenditure assumptions which include 2.5% for over-budgeting

Authority	-----57% grant-----		+++++56% grant+++++		-----54% grant-----
	1981/82 after holdback Col 1	Outturn at target Col 2	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 3	Outturn 5% above target Col 4	
AVON					
Bath	£2.991m	£2.711m	£2.698m	£2.674m	£2.661m
Bristol	£13.599m	£12.003m	£11.220m	£11.797m	£2.559m
Kingswood	£1.657m	£1.596m	£1.556m	£1.019m	£1.337m
Northavon	£1.537m	£1.272m	£1.140m	£1.568m	£1.506m
Wansdyke	£1.444m	£1.288m	£1.273m	£1.087m	£1.097m
Woodspring	£2.957m	£3.062m	£2.960m	£1.259m	£1.244m
				£2.895m	£1.195m
					£2.846m
BEDFORDSHIRE					
North Bedfordshire	£1.682m	£1.618m	£1.562m	£1.547m	£1.494m
Luton	£2.856m	£2.162m	£2.068m	£2.058m	£1.967m
Mid Bedfordshire	£0.919m	£0.669m	£0.631m	£0.624m	£0.586m
South Bedfordshire	£1.177m	£0.456m	£0.397m	£0.388m	£0.331m
BERKSHIRE					
Bracknell	£0.401m	£0.713m	£0.602m	£0.665m	£0.555m
Newbury	£1.990m	£1.627m	£1.499m	£1.563m	£1.437m
Reading	£1.432m	£1.461m	£0.895m	£1.370m	£0.806m
Slough	£1.549m	£0.787m	£0.699m	£0.705m	£0.619m
Windsor and Maidenhead	£1.654m	£1.498m	£1.523m	£1.413m	£1.441m
Wokingham	£1.937m	£1.505m	£1.462m	£1.447m	£1.406m
BUCKINGHAMSHIRE					
Aylesbury Vale	£1.152m	£1.288m	£1.240m	£1.221m	£1.176m
South Bucks	£0.280m	£0.030m	£0.045m	-	-
Chiltern	£0.308m	£0.307m	£0.259m	£0.252m	£0.206m
Milton Keynes	£1.167m	£2.189m	£2.140m	£2.124m	£2.077m
Wrocombe	-	£1.061m	£1.090m	£0.963m	£0.995m
CAMBRIDGESHIRE					
Cambridge	£1.413m	£1.668m	£1.618m	£1.596m	£1.548m
East Cambridgeshire	£0.682m	£0.810m	£0.814m	£0.790m	£0.795m
Fenland	£1.389m	£1.322m	£1.307m	£1.296m	£1.282m
Huntingdon	£1.791m	£1.684m	£1.652m	£1.633m	£1.602m
Peterborough	£2.326m	£1.950m	£1.703m	£1.897m	£1.654m
South Cambridgeshire	£0.688m	£0.762m	£0.777m	£0.709m	£0.725m
CHESHIRE					
Chester	£1.702m	£2.033m	£1.855m	£1.972m	£1.795m
Coniseton	£0.985m	£1.222m	£1.199m	£1.186m	£1.164m
Crewe and Nantwich	£3.285m	£2.695m	£2.610m	£2.653m	£2.569m
Ellesmere Port and Neston	£1.008m	£1.035m	£0.845m	£0.977m	£0.789m
Hallon	£2.837m	£2.931m	£2.842m	£2.874m	£2.786m
Macclesfield	£2.248m	£2.516m	£2.459m	£2.440m	£2.385m
Vale Royal	£1.875m	£1.783m	£1.749m	£1.732m	£1.698m
Warrington	£2.652m	£3.136m	£3.077m	£3.052m	£2.995m

TABLE 2
Effect on grant distribution of different grant percentages
with alternative expenditure assumptions which include 2.5% for over-budgeting

Authority	-----57% grant-----		+++++56% grant+++++		-----54% grant-----	
	1981/82 after holdback Col 1	Outturn at target Col 2	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 3	Outturn 5% above target Col 4	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 5	Outturn 5% above target Col 6
AVON						
Bath	£3.462m	£3.310m	£3.302m	£3.275m	£3.266m	£3.197m
Bristol	£3.179m	£3.733m	£3.397m	£3.655m	£3.320m	£3.149m
Kingswood	£8.189m	£8.045m	£8.046m	£7.966m	£7.968m	£7.853m
Northavon	£3.008m	£2.460m	£2.071m	£2.366m	£1.980m	£2.157m
Wansdyke						
Woodspring						
BEDFORDSHIRE						
North Bedfordshire	£1.461m	£1.601m	£1.592m	£1.579m	£1.570m	£1.526m
Luton	£2.194m	£1.897m	£1.662m	£1.856m	£1.833m	£1.788m
Mid Bedfordshire	£1.914m	£1.817m	£1.823m	£1.789m	£1.796m	£1.765m
South Bedfordshire	£1.467m	£1.498m	£1.425m	£1.434m	£1.402m	£1.380m
	£1.909m	£1.566m	£1.565m	£1.546m	£1.546m	£1.500m
	£1.413m	£1.365m	£1.348m	£1.330m	£1.314m	£1.294m
BERKSHIRE						
Bracknell	£2.801m	£2.950m	£2.941m	£2.918m	£2.911m	£2.849m
Newbury	£2.639m	£2.577m	£2.620m	£2.555m	£2.596m	£2.505m
Reading	£2.293m	£2.811m	£2.776m	£2.773m	£2.736m	£2.688m
Slough	£2.140m	£2.287m	£2.294m	£2.263m	£2.270m	£2.206m
Windsor and Maidenhead	£0.932m	£0.918m	£0.905m	£0.903m	£0.890m	£0.870m
Wokingham	£2.586m	£2.575m	£2.559m	£2.539m	£2.523m	£2.458m
BUCKINGHAMSHIRE						
Aylesbury Vale	£2.717m	£2.351m	£2.331m	£2.310m	£2.291m	£2.219m
South Bucks	£2.124m	£1.960m	£1.994m	£1.940m	£1.974m	£1.929m
Chiltern	£2.487m	£2.364m	£2.296m	£2.324m	£2.257m	£2.234m
Milton Keynes	£4.213m	£5.461m	£5.393m	£5.357m	£5.293m	£5.127m
Wrocombe	£2.519m	£2.615m	£2.596m	£2.576m	£2.555m	£2.487m
CAMBRIDGESHIRE						
Cambridge	£1.967m	£1.790m	£1.769m	£1.760m	£1.739m	£1.691m
East Cambridgeshire	£2.335m	£2.378m	£2.407m	£2.347m	£2.377m	£2.278m
Fenland	£0.674m	£0.698m	£0.705m	£0.665m	£0.673m	£0.600m
Huntingdon	£1.647m	£1.493m	£1.455m	£1.468m	£1.431m	£1.414m
Peterborough						
South Cambridgeshire						
CHESHIRE						
Chester	£2.343m	£2.428m	£2.425m	£2.383m	£2.381m	£2.284m
Coniseton	£1.747m	£2.395m	£2.274m	£2.346m	£2.226m	£2.237m
Crewe and Nantwich	£2.161m	£1.861m	£1.845m	£1.833m	£1.818m	£1.771m
Ellesmere Port and Neston	£6.612m	£6.759m	£6.759m	£6.631m	£6.630m	£6.429m
Hallon	£1.848m	£1.584m	£1.570m	£1.570m	£1.557m	£1.498m
Macclesfield	£2.560m	£2.457m	£2.438m	£2.420m	£2.402m	£2.326m
Vale Royal	£1.039m	£1.141m	£1.142m	£1.123m	£1.124m	£1.083m
Warrington	£2.662m	£2.405m	£2.270m	£2.347m	£2.213m	£2.087m
	£1.595m	£1.521m	£1.536m	£1.508m	£1.522m	£1.478m
	£1.001m	£0.828m	£0.812m	£0.815m	£0.799m	£0.763m

TABLE 2
Effect on grant distribution of different grant percentages
with alternative expenditure assumptions which include 2.5X for over-budgeting

Authority	1981/82 after holdback Col 1	57X grant		+++++56X grant+++++		54X grant	Outturn at target Col 6	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 7
		Outturn at target Col 2	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 3	Outturn at target Col 4	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 5			
DORSET								
Bournemouth	£4.050m	£3.947m	£3.717m	£3.864m	£3.636m	£3.679m	£3.636m	£3.636m
Christchurch	£0.533m	£0.635m	£0.653m	£0.633m	£0.631m	£0.565m	£0.565m	£0.565m
North Dorset	£0.583m	£0.785m	£0.789m	£0.768m	£0.772m	£0.725m	£0.725m	£0.725m
Poole	£2.290m	£2.066m	£2.046m	£2.000m	£1.981m	£1.851m	£1.851m	£1.851m
Purbeck	£0.623m	£0.653m	£0.658m	£0.635m	£0.640m	£0.594m	£0.594m	£0.594m
West Dorset	£1.519m	£1.715m	£1.722m	£1.684m	£1.692m	£1.615m	£1.615m	£1.615m
Weymouth and Portland	£1.626m	£1.632m	£1.622m	£1.610m	£1.601m	£1.561m	£1.561m	£1.561m
Wimbourne	£1.086m	£1.067m	£1.076m	£1.035m	£1.044m	£0.962m	£0.962m	£0.962m
DURHAM								
Chester-le-Street	£1.605m	£1.653m	£1.679m	£1.637m	£1.664m	£1.602m	£1.602m	£1.602m
Darlington	£2.915m	£2.757m	£2.637m	£2.713m	£2.594m	£2.614m	£2.614m	£2.614m
Dewenside	£2.795m	£3.471m	£3.574m	£3.446m	£3.550m	£3.389m	£3.389m	£3.389m
Durham	£2.681m	£2.418m	£2.421m	£2.386m	£2.391m	£2.311m	£2.311m	£2.311m
Easington	£4.120m	£4.387m	£4.428m	£4.362m	£4.403m	£4.305m	£4.305m	£4.305m
Sedfield	£4.490m	£3.816m	£3.898m	£3.817m	£3.903m	£3.725m	£3.725m	£3.725m
Teesdale	£0.507m	£0.563m	£0.560m	£0.556m	£0.553m	£0.539m	£0.539m	£0.539m
Wear Valley	£2.885m	£2.866m	£2.923m	£2.846m	£2.903m	£2.801m	£2.801m	£2.801m
EAST SUSSEX								
Brighton	£5.235m	£5.920m	£5.529m	£5.894m	£5.445m	£5.642m	£5.642m	£5.642m
Eastbourne	£2.196m	£1.688m	£1.425m	£1.642m	£1.380m	£1.533m	£1.533m	£1.533m
Hastings	£2.619m	£2.475m	£2.455m	£2.441m	£2.422m	£2.364m	£2.364m	£2.364m
Hove	£2.407m	£3.137m	£3.140m	£3.085m	£3.089m	£2.970m	£2.970m	£2.970m
Lewes	£1.723m	£1.513m	£1.482m	£1.472m	£1.443m	£1.382m	£1.382m	£1.382m
Rother	£1.811m	£1.574m	£1.546m	£1.536m	£1.509m	£1.450m	£1.450m	£1.450m
Wealden	£1.701m	£2.006m	£1.999m	£1.958m	£1.958m	£1.859m	£1.859m	£1.859m
ESSEX								
Basilton	£3.326m	£2.973m	£2.606m	£2.890m	£2.325m	£2.705m	£2.705m	£2.705m
Braintree	£1.711m	£1.635m	£1.548m	£1.584m	£1.497m	£1.468m	£1.468m	£1.468m
Brentwood	£0.608m	£0.704m	£0.718m	£0.660m	£0.674m	£0.559m	£0.559m	£0.559m
Castle Point	£1.292m	£1.283m	£1.254m	£1.242m	£1.214m	£1.155m	£1.155m	£1.155m
Chelmsford	£0.514m	£1.804m	£1.826m	£1.727m	£1.751m	£1.873m	£1.873m	£1.873m
Colchester	£1.448m	£2.077m	£2.073m	£2.014m	£2.012m	£2.066m	£2.066m	£2.066m
Epping Forest	£0.676m	£1.081m	£1.101m	£1.015m	£0.188m	£0.346m	£0.346m	£0.346m
Harlow	£0.065m	£0.502m	£0.235m	£0.454m	£0.473m	£0.437m	£0.437m	£0.437m
Maldon	£0.591m	£0.516m	£0.497m	£0.492m	£1.096m	£1.042m	£1.042m	£1.042m
Rochford	£1.344m	£1.155m	£1.130m	£1.120m	£3.911m	£3.851m	£3.851m	£3.851m
Southeast-on-Sea	£4.715m	£4.152m	£4.072m	£4.059m	£2.569m	£0.661m	£0.661m	£0.661m
Tendring	£2.452m	£2.657m	£2.621m	£2.604m	-	-	-	-
Thurrock	£1.622m	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Uttlesford	£1.070m	£0.759m	£0.767m	£0.728m	£0.738m	£0.661m	£0.661m	£0.661m

TABLE 2
Effect on grant distribution of different grant percentages
with alternative expenditure assumptions which include 2.5X for over-budgeting

Authority	1981/82 after holdback Col 1	57X grant		+++++56X grant+++++		54X grant	Outturn at target Col 6	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 7
		Outturn at target Col 2	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 3	Outturn at target Col 4	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 5			
GLoucestershire								
Gloucester	£1.658m	£1.754m	£1.768m	£1.705m	£1.720m	£1.596m	£1.596m	£1.596m
Stroud	£1.255m	£1.096m	£1.077m	£1.067m	£1.047m	£0.996m	£0.996m	£0.996m
Wotton Bassett	£1.454m	£1.231m	£1.236m	£1.207m	£1.207m	£1.155m	£1.155m	£1.155m
Forest of Dean	£1.437m	£1.781m	£1.798m	£1.737m	£1.750m	£1.641m	£1.641m	£1.641m
South Gloucestershire	£1.362m	£1.591m	£1.600m	£1.551m	£1.561m	£1.461m	£1.461m	£1.461m
West Gloucestershire	£0.829m	£0.851m	£0.862m	£0.813m	£0.824m	£0.728m	£0.728m	£0.728m
GLoucestershire and Dean								
Gloucester	£1.162m	£1.666m	£1.616m	£1.599m	£1.551m	£1.451m	£1.451m	£1.451m
Stroud	£2.069m	£1.454m	£1.373m	£1.455m	£1.378m	£1.339m	£1.339m	£1.339m
Wotton Bassett	£0.853m	£1.180m	£1.161m	£1.124m	£1.136m	£1.030m	£1.030m	£1.030m
Forest of Dean	£1.791m	£1.625m	£1.598m	£1.584m	£1.558m	£1.494m	£1.494m	£1.494m
South Gloucestershire	£1.850m	£1.914m	£1.899m	£1.881m	£1.866m	£1.806m	£1.806m	£1.806m
West Gloucestershire	£0.876m	£0.969m	£0.927m	£0.936m	£0.894m	£0.861m	£0.861m	£0.861m
Forest of Dean	£2.678m	£2.352m	£2.361m	£2.300m	£2.310m	£2.195m	£2.195m	£2.195m
South Gloucestershire	£2.201m	£2.258m	£2.193m	£2.178m	£2.115m	£1.998m	£1.998m	£1.998m
West Gloucestershire	£7.542m	£9.179m	£9.121m	£9.085m	£9.029m	£8.876m	£8.876m	£8.876m
Forest of Dean	£2.286m	£1.840m	£1.699m	£1.799m	£1.659m	£1.708m	£1.708m	£1.708m
South Gloucestershire	£5.891m	£5.692m	£5.450m	£5.584m	£5.344m	£5.241m	£5.241m	£5.241m
West Gloucestershire	£1.351m	£1.276m	£1.244m	£1.232m	£1.201m	£1.133m	£1.133m	£1.133m
Forest of Dean	£1.380m	£1.459m	£1.427m	£1.414m	£1.383m	£1.315m	£1.315m	£1.315m
GLoucestershire								
Gloucester	£0.802m	£0.732m	£0.703m	£0.692m	£0.664m	£0.603m	£0.603m	£0.603m
Stroud	£0.450m	£0.877m	£0.871m	£0.871m	£0.850m	£0.819m	£0.819m	£0.819m
Wotton Bassett	£0.681m	£0.748m	£0.737m	£0.736m	£0.725m	£0.709m	£0.709m	£0.709m
Forest of Dean	£1.318m	£1.394m	£1.374m	£1.361m	£1.341m	£1.286m	£1.286m	£1.286m
South Gloucestershire	£1.143m	£0.861m	£0.732m	£0.826m	£0.698m	£0.748m	£0.748m	£0.748m
West Gloucestershire	£0.827m	£0.816m	£0.813m	£0.799m	£0.797m	£0.762m	£0.762m	£0.762m
Forest of Dean	£0.517m	£1.212m	£1.087m	£1.168m	£1.045m	£1.070m	£1.070m	£1.070m
South Gloucestershire	£1.340m	£1.183m	£1.028m	£1.136m	£0.983m	£1.032m	£1.032m	£1.032m
West Gloucestershire	£1.944m	£1.694m	£1.553m	£1.649m	£1.509m	£1.350m	£1.350m	£1.350m
GLoucestershire								
Gloucester	£2.307m	£1.892m	£1.777m	£1.849m	£1.734m	£1.750m	£1.750m	£1.750m
Stroud	£0.396m	£1.876m	£1.899m	£1.797m	£1.822m	£1.620m	£1.620m	£1.620m
Wotton Bassett	£1.393m	£1.680m	£1.623m	£1.582m	£1.582m	£1.497m	£1.497m	£1.497m
Forest of Dean	£1.100m	£0.857m	£0.564m	£0.801m	£1.064m	£0.924m	£0.924m	£0.924m
South Gloucestershire	£0.353m	£1.137m	£1.129m	£1.072m	£1.285m	£1.152m	£1.152m	£1.152m
West Gloucestershire	£0.659m	£1.399m	£1.359m	£1.322m	£1.407m	£0.593m	£0.593m	£0.593m
Forest of Dean	£0.183m	£0.749m	£0.454m	£0.701m	£1.322m	£1.257m	£1.257m	£1.257m
South Gloucestershire	£0.915m	£1.403m	£1.366m	£1.358m	£0.892m	£1.063m	£1.063m	£1.063m
West Gloucestershire	£1.919m	£1.223m	£0.878m	£1.226m	£0.854m	£0.385m	£0.385m	£0.385m
Forest of Dean	-	£0.596m	£0.209m	£0.531m	£0.145m	-	-	-

TABLE 2
Effect on grant distribution of different grant percentages
with alternative expenditure assumptions which include 2.5% for over-budsets

Authority	1981/82 after holdback Col 1	57% grant		55% grant		50% grant Outturn at target Col 6
		Outturn at 5% above target Col 2	Outturn 1981/82 Col 3	Outturn at 5% above target Col 4	Outturn 1981/82 Col 5	
HUMBERSIDE						
Beverley	£1.557m	£1.822m	£1.736m	£1.780m	£1.695m	£1.687m
Boatherry	£1.947m	£2.212m	£2.246m	£2.193m	£2.223m	£2.150m
Cleethorpes	£1.834m	£1.849m	£1.777m	£1.819m	£1.747m	£1.751m
Glanford	£1.016m	£1.751m	£1.727m	£1.722m	£1.699m	£1.657m
Great Gribesby	£2.806m	£2.742m	£2.699m	£2.703m	£2.660m	£2.615m
Holderness	£0.906m	£1.001m	£0.975m	£0.985m	£0.959m	£0.949m
Kinston upon Hull	£10.320m	£12.297m	£12.496m	£12.196m	£12.399m	£11.976m
East Yorkshire	£2.162m	£2.314m	£2.343m	£2.290m	£2.320m	£2.270m
Scunthorpe	£2.154m	£2.803m	£2.609m	£2.765m	£2.572m	£2.680m
ISLE OF WIGHT						
Medina	£1.528m	£1.425m	£1.401m	£1.399m	£1.375m	£1.359m
South Wight	£1.270m	£1.180m	£1.148m	£1.160m	£1.128m	£1.115m
KENT						
Ashford	£1.890m	£1.569m	£1.543m	£1.530m	£1.505m	£1.442m
Canterbury	£3.215m	£3.077m	£3.035m	£3.027m	£2.986m	£2.916m
Dartford	£2.707m	£2.420m	£2.364m	£2.422m	£2.372m	£2.316m
Dover	£3.509m	£2.782m	£2.766m	£2.784m	£2.774m	£2.670m
Billingsham	£1.546m	£1.688m	£1.696m	£1.651m	£1.662m	£1.568m
Gravesham	£2.196m	£1.950m	£1.855m	£1.905m	£1.812m	£1.864m
Maidstone	£2.902m	£2.597m	£2.563m	£2.541m	£2.508m	£2.415m
Rochester upon Medway	£3.592m	£2.925m	£2.866m	£2.847m	£2.790m	£2.672m
Sevenoaks	£1.986m	£2.248m	£2.222m	£2.202m	£2.177m	£2.099m
Shepway	£2.378m	£2.261m	£2.183m	£2.221m	£2.143m	£2.129m
Swale	£2.966m	£2.588m	£2.598m	£2.544m	£2.555m	£2.446m
Thanet	£4.719m	£4.050m	£3.950m	£3.998m	£3.899m	£3.830m
Tonbridge and Malling	£1.407m	£1.532m	£1.453m	£1.488m	£1.410m	£1.390m
Tunbridge Wells	£1.798m	£1.926m	£1.901m	£1.885m	£1.861m	£1.794m
LANCASHIRE						
Blackburn	£7.715m	£8.201m	£8.332m	£8.154m	£8.286m	£8.046m
Blackpool	£5.266m	£5.101m	£5.017m	£5.036m	£4.953m	£4.891m
Burnley	£4.437m	£4.349m	£4.442m	£4.320m	£4.413m	£4.255m
Chorley	£2.163m	£1.925m	£1.943m	£1.895m	£1.914m	£1.828m
Fylde	£1.575m	£1.533m	£1.515m	£1.503m	£1.486m	£1.438m
Hrindburn	£3.315m	£3.163m	£3.237m	£3.141m	£3.215m	£3.089m
Lancaster	£3.931m	£3.863m	£3.839m	£3.817m	£3.794m	£3.532m
Pendle	£3.824m	£3.603m	£3.719m	£3.581m	£3.698m	£4.220m
Preston	£4.237m	£4.390m	£4.365m	£4.337m	£4.313m	£1.175m
Ribble Valley	£1.316m	£1.237m	£1.250m	£1.219m	£1.232m	£2.423m
Rossendale	£2.761m	£2.480m	£2.561m	£2.462m	£2.544m	£1.791m
South Ribble	£1.999m	£1.904m	£1.890m	£1.869m	£1.856m	£2.284m
West Lancashire	£2.569m	£2.465m	£2.441m	£2.421m	£2.399m	£2.718m
Wyre	£2.394m	£2.842m	£2.811m	£2.804m	£2.774m	

TABLE 2
Effect on grant distribution of different grant percentages
with alternative expenditure assumptions which include 2.5% for over-budsets

Authority	1981/82 after holdback Col 1	57% grant		55% grant		50% grant Outturn at target Col 6
		Outturn at 5% above target Col 2	Outturn 1981/82 Col 3	Outturn at 5% above target Col 4	Outturn 1981/82 Col 5	
HUMBERSIDE						
Beverley	£0.927m	£0.991m	£0.999m	£0.999m	£0.966m	£0.966m
Boatherry	£1.875m	£1.765m	£1.763m	£1.700m	£1.699m	£0.889m
Cleethorpes	£0.991m	£0.841m	£0.782m	£0.814m	£0.756m	£1.554m
Glanford	£1.083m	£1.014m	£0.975m	£0.976m	£0.936m	£0.754m
Great Gribesby	£9.231m	£9.860m	£9.443m	£9.719m	£9.305m	£0.891m
Holderness	£0.627m	£0.606m	£0.575m	£0.588m	£0.557m	£0.854m
Kinston upon Hull	£1.044m	£1.141m	£1.080m	£1.106m	£1.047m	£0.946m
East Yorkshire	£0.502m	£0.609m	£0.616m	£0.586m	£0.593m	£0.516m
Scunthorpe	£0.331m	£0.373m	£0.348m	£0.360m	£0.335m	£0.970m
ISLE OF WIGHT						
Medina	£1.004m	£1.266m	£1.271m	£1.244m	£1.250m	£0.331m
South Wight	£2.292m	£2.143m	£2.061m	£2.020m	£1.956m	£1.201m
KENT						
Ashford	£1.528m	£1.909m	£1.857m	£1.875m	£1.824m	£2.006m
Canterbury	£1.531m	£1.339m	£1.337m	£1.311m	£1.296m	£1.927m
Dartford	£1.915m	£1.793m	£1.757m	£1.771m	£1.720m	£1.749m
Dover	£1.735m	£1.791m	£1.768m	£1.752m	£1.700m	£1.254m
Billingsham	£1.639m	£1.581m	£1.586m	£1.554m	£1.561m	£1.686m
Gravesham						
Maidstone						
Rochester upon Medway	£1.538m	£1.419m	£1.428m	£1.381m	£1.391m	£1.296m
Sevenoaks	£1.293m	£1.376m	£1.384m	£1.341m	£1.350m	£1.262m
Shepway	£1.981m	£1.849m	£1.719m	£1.810m	£1.680m	£1.272m
Swale	£1.727m	£1.726m	£1.704m	£1.691m	£1.669m	£1.594m
Thanet	£3.303m	£2.904m	£2.435m	£2.825m	£2.359m	£1.722m
Tonbridge and Malling	£1.736m	£1.409m	£1.417m	£1.375m	£1.384m	£1.611m
Tunbridge Wells	£2.620m	£2.454m	£2.417m	£2.398m	£2.362m	£2.450m
LANCASHIRE						
Blackburn	£0.271m	£1.663m	£1.601m	£1.637m	£1.575m	£1.578m
Blackpool	£0.344m	£0.513m	£0.491m	£0.484m	£0.463m	£1.518m
Burnley	£0.720m	£0.965m	£0.971m	£0.942m	£0.948m	£0.400m
Chorley	£2.266m	£1.867m	£1.844m	£1.839m	£1.817m	£0.891m
Fylde	£4.560m	£4.058m	£3.987m	£3.969m	£3.901m	£0.899m
Hrindburn	£0.695m	£0.927m	£0.932m	£0.902m	£0.907m	£0.852m
Lancaster	£1.254m	£1.146m	£1.090m	£1.117m	£1.062m	£0.998m
Pendle						
Preston						
Ribble Valley	£0.953m	£0.906m	£0.903m	£0.897m	£0.894m	£0.875m
Rossendale	£0.479m	£0.575m	£0.577m	£0.565m	£0.563m	£0.546m
South Ribble	£3.133m	£3.214m	£3.280m	£3.189m	£3.256m	£3.134m
West Lancashire	£0.827m	£0.812m	£0.791m	£0.791m	£0.771m	£0.755m
Wyre	£1.567m	£1.202m	£1.194m	£1.182m	£1.174m	£1.137m
	£1.654m	£1.469m	£1.411m	£1.442m	£1.384m	£1.325m

TABLE 2

Effect on grant distribution of different grant percentages
with alternative expenditure assumptions which include 2.5% for over-budgets

Authority	57% grant			54% grant		50% grant
	1981/82 after holdback Col 1	Outturn at target Col 2	Outturn at 5% above 1981/82 Col 3	Outturn at target Col 4	Outturn at 5% above 1981/82 Col 5	
NORTH YORKSHIRE						
Craven	£1.169m	£1.167m	£1.161m	£1.151m	£1.145m	
Hambleton	£1.085m	£1.261m	£1.262m	£1.234m	£1.145m	
Harrogate	£3.408m	£3.375m	£3.291m	£3.319m	£1.235m	£1.114m
Richmondshire	£1.136m	£1.094m	£1.104m	£1.079m	£3.237m	£1.171m
Ryedale	£1.443m	£1.565m	£1.564m	£1.079m	£1.089m	£3.195m
Scarborough	£2.853m	£2.966m	£2.949m	£1.538m	£1.536m	£1.046m
Selby	£0.976m	£0.935m	£0.900m	£0.891m	£2.927m	£1.476m
York	£2.789m	£3.369m	£3.351m	£3.330m	£0.856m	£0.792m
NOTTINGHAMSHIRE						
Ashfield	£2.663m	£2.437m	£2.473m	£2.402m	£2.438m	£2.322m
Bassetlaw	£1.293m	£0.853m	£0.594m	£0.796m	£0.538m	£0.566m
Broxtope	£2.294m	£2.091m	£2.068m	£2.050m	£2.026m	£1.956m
Gedling	£1.697m	£2.002m	£1.982m	£1.964m	£1.944m	£1.877m
Mansfield	£3.568m	£3.482m	£3.550m	£3.483m	£3.557m	£3.383m
Newark	£2.096m	£1.948m	£1.927m	£1.909m	£1.889m	£1.822m
Nottingham	£7.700m	£8.646m	£8.544m	£8.503m	£8.404m	£8.182m
Rushcliffe	£1.137m	£1.070m	£0.973m	£1.026m	£0.930m	£0.928m
OXFORDSHIRE						
Cherwell	£1.315m	£1.310m	£1.319m	£1.255m	£1.265m	£1.132m
Oxford	£2.750m	£2.497m	£2.517m	£2.425m	£2.444m	£2.283m
South Oxfordshire	£1.584m	£1.557m	£1.604m	£1.525m	£1.543m	£1.387m
Vale of White Horse	£0.368m	£0.438m	£0.456m	£0.379m	£0.396m	£0.247m
West Oxfordshire	£1.059m	£1.023m	£1.031m	£0.989m	£0.999m	£0.914m
SHROPSHIRE						
Bridgnorth	£0.570m	£0.662m	£0.660m	£0.641m	£0.640m	£0.596m
North Shropshire	£0.900m	£0.997m	£0.990m	£0.981m	£0.974m	£0.945m
Uswestry	£0.612m	£0.714m	£0.710m	£0.704m	£0.700m	£0.681m
Shrewsbury and Atcham	£1.042m	£1.290m	£1.250m	£1.248m	£1.210m	£1.155m
South Shropshire	£0.806m	£0.819m	£0.814m	£0.807m	£0.804m	£0.782m
The Wrekin	£2.932m	£2.806m	£2.701m	£2.752m	£2.649m	£2.634m
SOMERSET						
Mendips	£1.269m	£1.371m	£1.379m	£1.337m	£1.346m	£1.261m
Sedgemoor	£1.531m	£1.769m	£1.768m	£1.733m	£1.733m	£1.653m
Taunton Deane	£1.781m	£1.825m	£1.804m	£1.789m	£1.769m	£1.710m
West Somerset	£0.634m	£0.425m	£0.432m	£0.405m	£0.412m	£0.360m
Yeovil	£1.690m	£2.063m	£2.075m	£2.012m	£2.026m	£1.899m

TABLE 2

Effect on grant distribution of different grant percentages
with alternative expenditure assumptions which include 2.5% for over-budgets

Authority	57% grant			54% grant		50% grant
	1981/82 after holdback Col 1	Outturn at target Col 2	Outturn at 5% above 1981/82 Col 3	Outturn at target Col 4	Outturn at 5% above 1981/82 Col 5	
WILTSHIRE						
Chippenham	£1.829m	£1.597m	£1.550m	£1.559m	£1.513m	£1.475m
Devon	£1.197m	£1.749m	£1.760m	£1.705m	£1.718m	£1.608m
Marlborough	£0.635m	£0.849m	£0.840m	£0.800m	£0.799m	£0.758m
Salisbury	£2.313m	£2.522m	£2.494m	£2.477m	£2.450m	£2.377m
Swindon	£0.989m	£1.275m	£1.285m	£1.234m	£1.246m	£1.144m
Wiltshire-Lime	£1.402m	£1.618m	£1.589m	£1.562m	£1.535m	£1.439m
Wiltshire	£1.209m	£1.511m	£1.518m	£1.478m	£1.486m	£1.404m
Wiltshire Moorlands	£5.690m	£6.496m	£6.431m	£6.385m	£6.323m	£6.138m
Wootton Bassett	£1.919m	£1.402m	£1.371m	£1.378m	£1.346m	£1.292m
WILTSHIRE						
Chippenham	£1.517m	£1.332m	£1.312m	£1.301m	£1.282m	£1.212m
Devon	£0.874m	£1.097m	£1.098m	£1.097m	£1.098m	£1.036m
Marlborough	£2.218m	£2.738m	£2.483m	£2.673m	£2.419m	£2.038m
Salisbury	£1.201m	£1.237m	£2.483m	£2.673m	£2.419m	£2.277m
Swindon	£1.019m	£1.266m	£1.276m	£1.226m	£1.180m	£1.147m
Wiltshire	£1.570m	£1.595m	£1.598m	£1.548m	£1.532m	£1.444m
Wootton Bassett	£2.865m	£2.582m	£2.536m	£2.543m	£2.498m	£2.412m
WILTSHIRE						
Chippenham	£1.283m	£0.530m	£0.458m	£0.454m	£0.394m	£0.283m
Devon	£1.397m	£0.855m	£0.749m	£0.816m	£0.711m	£0.727m
Marlborough	£1.294m	£0.890m	£0.822m	£0.813m	£0.746m	£0.641m
Salisbury	£0.908m	£0.599m	£0.599m	£0.588m	£0.558m	£0.492m
Swindon	£1.115m	£1.053m	£1.000m	£0.988m	£0.936m	£0.842m
Wiltshire	£1.106m	£0.658m	£0.626m	£0.618m	£0.587m	£0.500m
Wootton Bassett	£0.685m	-	-	-	-	-
Wiltshire	£0.487m	£0.683m	£0.646m	£0.639m	£0.602m	£0.540m
Wiltshire	£0.814m	£0.836m	£0.819m	£0.802m	£0.785m	£0.768m
Wiltshire	£1.393m	£1.124m	£1.080m	£1.067m	£0.939m	£0.899m
Wiltshire	£1.659m	£1.412m	£1.367m	£1.361m	£1.318m	£1.247m
WILTSHIRE						
Chippenham	£1.072m	£0.888m	£0.827m	£0.859m	£0.798m	£0.794m
Devon	£3.336m	£2.826m	£2.768m	£2.827m	£2.777m	£2.632m
Marlborough	£1.286m	£1.342m	£1.312m	£1.300m	£1.271m	£1.180m
Salisbury	£0.399m	£1.252m	£1.167m	£1.199m	£1.115m	£1.081m
Swindon	£1.842m	£1.656m	£1.529m	£1.591m	£1.466m	£1.447m
WILTSHIRE						
Chippenham	£1.261m	£1.346m	£1.337m	£1.337m	£1.337m	£1.337m
Devon	£1.653m	£1.733m	£1.733m	£1.733m	£1.733m	£1.733m
Marlborough	£1.710m	£1.769m	£1.769m	£1.769m	£1.769m	£1.769m
Salisbury	£0.360m	£0.412m	£0.412m	£0.412m	£0.412m	£0.412m
Swindon	£1.899m	£2.026m	£2.026m	£2.026m	£2.026m	£2.026m

TABLE 2
Effect on grant distribution of different grant percentages
with alternative expenditure assumptions which include 2.5% for over-budgeting

Authority	57% grant					56% grant				
	1981/82 after holdback Col 1	Outturn at target Col 2	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 3	Outturn at target Col 4	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 5	Outturn at target Col 6	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 7	Outturn at target Col 8	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 9	
WEST SUSSEX										
Adur	£0.928m	£0.956m	£0.854m	£0.927m	£0.826m	£0.836m	£0.826m	£0.836m	£0.826m	
Arun	£3.354m	£2.620m	£2.581m	£2.602m	£2.566m	£2.439m	£2.439m	£2.439m	£2.439m	
Chichester	£1.990m	£1.885m	£1.828m	£1.838m	£1.782m	£1.740m	£1.740m	£1.740m	£1.740m	
Crawley	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Horsham	£1.467m	£1.449m	£1.461m	£1.401m	£1.414m	£1.295m	£1.295m	£1.295m	£1.295m	
Mid Sussex	£1.815m	£1.622m	£1.581m	£1.566m	£1.526m	£1.432m	£1.432m	£1.432m	£1.432m	
Worthing	£2.386m	£2.767m	£2.728m	£2.717m	£2.679m	£2.605m	£2.605m	£2.605m	£2.605m	
WILTSHIRE										
Kennet	£1.156m	£1.467m	£1.457m	£1.444m	£1.435m	£1.393m	£1.393m	£1.393m	£1.393m	
North Wiltshire	£1.953m	£1.898m	£1.889m	£1.862m	£1.835m	£1.794m	£1.794m	£1.794m	£1.794m	
Salisbury	£1.467m	£1.505m	£1.482m	£1.461m	£1.439m	£1.363m	£1.363m	£1.363m	£1.363m	
Thamesdown	£4.338m	£3.231m	£3.050m	£3.164m	£2.994m	£3.044m	£3.044m	£3.044m	£3.044m	
West Wiltshire	£1.621m	£1.500m	£1.422m	£1.462m	£1.385m	£1.376m	£1.376m	£1.376m	£1.376m	

Table 3 (Summary)
Effect on grant distribution of different grant percentages
with alternative expenditure assumptions which include 2.5% for over-budgeting

Authority	57% grant					56% grant				
	1981/82 after holdback Col 1	1982/83 base position Col 2	Outturn at target Col 3	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 4	Outturn at target Col 5	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 6	Outturn at target Col 7	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 8	Outturn at target Col 9	
West Sussex	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Adur	7.3%	6.9%	7.0%	7.1%	7.0%	7.1%	7.0%	7.0%	7.2%	
Arun	48.5%	47.5%	47.0%	47.6%	46.8%	47.4%	46.5%	47.2%	47.2%	
Chichester	25.1%	24.0%	24.2%	24.6%	24.5%	24.9%	24.8%	25.3%	25.3%	
Crawley	5.7%	5.7%	5.8%	5.9%	5.8%	6.0%	5.9%	6.1%	6.1%	
Horsham	55.8%	54.4%	54.0%	54.8%	53.8%	54.6%	53.5%	54.3%	54.3%	
Mid Sussex	30.8%	29.7%	30.0%	30.6%	30.3%	30.9%	30.8%	31.4%	31.4%	
Worthing	-0.7%	-0.7%	-0.8%	-0.8%	-0.8%	-0.8%	-0.8%	-0.8%	-0.8%	
Wiltshire	4.3%	4.1%	4.5%	4.4%	4.5%	4.5%	4.6%	4.6%	4.6%	
Kennet	3.5%	3.9%	3.7%	3.7%	3.7%	3.7%	3.7%	3.7%	3.7%	
North Wiltshire	8.5%	8.8%	8.6%	8.5%	8.6%	8.4%	8.5%	8.3%	8.3%	
Salisbury	1.4%	3.2%	3.6%	2.5%	3.6%	2.4%	3.4%	2.2%	2.2%	
Thamesdown	13.4%	15.9%	16.0%	14.7%	15.9%	14.5%	15.7%	14.2%	14.2%	
West Wiltshire	18.8%	18.2%	18.6%	18.7%	18.8%	18.9%	19.1%	19.3%	19.3%	
REQUIREMENTS										
Adur	113.8p	131.2p	-12.2p	-16.7p	-15.1p	-19.7p	-20.9p	-25.5p	-25.5p	
Arun	15.6p	17.0p	-1.3p	-1.6p	-1.6p	-2.0p	-2.4p	-2.7p	-2.7p	
Chichester	104.0p	117.5p	-12.0p	-14.7p	-15.1p	-17.8p	-20.9p	-23.6p	-23.6p	
Crawley	145.1p	159.4p	-13.4p	-16.4p	-15.5p	-18.5p	-20.7p	-23.7p	-23.7p	
Horsham	32.8p	38.0p	-3.1p	-3.6p	-3.7p	-4.3p	-4.7p	-5.3p	-5.3p	
Mid Sussex	119.6p	134.5p	-13.3p	-16.4p	-16.7p	-19.8p	-23.3p	-26.3p	-26.3p	
Worthing	177.9p	197.4p	-16.5p	-20.1p	-19.2p	-22.8p	-25.5p	-29.0p	-29.0p	
Wiltshire	-11.0p	-11.5p	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Kennet	61.5p	67.8p	-0.6p	-3.8p	-1.6p	-4.8p	-3.5p	-6.8p	-6.8p	
North Wiltshire	25.6p	32.8p	-4.6p	-6.2p	-5.1p	-6.7p	-6.0p	-7.7p	-7.7p	
Salisbury	83.7p	100.4p	-11.3p	-15.7p	-14.1p	-18.6p	-19.4p	-23.9p	-23.9p	
Thamesdown	5.8p	15.3p	0.5p	-4.8p	-0.1p	-5.4p	-1.4p	-6.9p	-6.9p	
West Wiltshire	56.0p	76.7p	-6.9p	-15.0p	-9.0p	-17.2p	-13.1p	-21.5p	-21.5p	
REQUIREMENTS	117.0p	130.2p	-9.5p	-13.1p	-11.3p	-14.9p	-15.4p	-19.1p	-19.1p	
West Sussex	£8,053.7m	£9,281.0m	£-862.0m	£-1,182.1m	£-1,069.1m	£-1,390.8m	£-1,481.5m	£-1,805.1m	£-1,805.1m	
Adur	£87.4m	£637.7m	£-47.6m	£-61.7m	£-60.2m	£-74.0m	£-89.5m	£-102.8m	£-102.8m	
Arun	£3,903.9m	£4,411.0m	£-451.8m	£-552.1m	£-567.9m	£-668.3m	£-785.0m	£-894.4m	£-894.4m	
Chichester	£2,025.1m	£2,224.7m	£-187.2m	£-189.2m	£-216.7m	£-258.2m	£-289.2m	£-330.5m	£-330.5m	
Crawley	£458.3m	£530.1m	£-42.7m	£-50.7m	£-51.4m	£-59.7m	£-66.1m	£-74.2m	£-74.2m	
Horsham	£4,491.3m	£5,048.7m	£-499.4m	£-613.8m	£-628.2m	£-742.3m	£-874.5m	£-987.2m	£-987.2m	
Mid Sussex	£2,483.4m	£2,754.8m	£-229.9m	£-280.0m	£-268.1m	£-317.9m	£-355.3m	£-404.7m	£-404.7m	
Worthing	£-60.3m	£-63.4m	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Wiltshire	£344.7m	£380.2m	£-3.4m	£-21.2m	£-8.9m	£-27.1m	£-19.5m	£-38.2m	£-38.2m	
Kennet	£284.4m	£364.3m	£-50.8m	£-68.6m	£-56.3m	£-67.0m	£-85.8m	£-104.4m	£-104.4m	
North Wiltshire	£681.5m	£817.4m	£-92.3m	£-127.8m	£-115.2m	£-151.1m	£-178.8m	£-214.4m	£-214.4m	
Salisbury	£112.5m	£294.8m	£10.4m	£-91.9m	£-1.3m	£-104.9m	£-26.8m	£-133.0m	£-133.0m	
Thamesdown	£1,078.4m	£1,476.5m	£-132.7m	£-288.3m	£-172.8m	£-330.6m	£-413.1m	£-511.1m	£-511.1m	
West Wiltshire	£1,515.6m	£1,686.1m	£-123.6m	£-170.3m	£-146.1m	£-192.9m	£-246.9m	£-296.9m	£-296.9m	

TABLE 4
1981/82 local rate and estimated percentage rate increases in 1982/83
with different grant percentages and alternative expenditure assumptions

rate	1981/82 local poundage Col 1	-----57% grant-----			+++++56% grant+++++		-----54% grant-----	
		Outturn at target Col 2	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 3	Outturn at target Col 4	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 5	Outturn at target Col 6	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 7	
England	147.3p	1.6%	13.0%	3.6%	15.0%	7.5%	19.0%	
Metropolitan districts	22.4p	-6.4%	1.0%	-4.9%	2.4%	-1.5%	5.8%	
Metropolitan counties	120.1p	5.9%	12.3%	8.4%	14.9%	13.3%	19.7%	
Non-metropolitan districts	127.6p	4.1%	14.8%	5.8%	16.4%	9.9%	20.5%	
Non-metropolitan counties	36.7p	-1.8%	29.0%	-0.2%	30.8%	2.7%	33.6%	
Metropolitan total	142.5p	3.9%	10.5%	6.3%	12.9%	10.9%	17.5%	
Non-metropolitan total	164.3p	2.8%	18.0%	4.5%	19.6%	8.3%	23.4%	
City of Westminster	34.7p	-5.9%	-3.0%	-5.9%	-3.0%	-5.9%	-3.0%	
City of Inner London	73.0p	-11.6%	6.5%	-10.2%	7.9%	-7.6%	10.7%	
Greater London inc ILEA	117.0p	-4.6%	5.6%	-4.2%	6.0%	-3.4%	6.9%	
Greater London	117.5p	-2.2%	8.0%	0.2%	10.5%	4.6%	15.0%	
Greater London Police	27.0p	-5.6%	44.0%	-3.3%	46.5%	1.6%	51.9%	
Greater London total	144.2p	-4.0%	13.6%	-2.5%	15.1%	0.3%	18.1%	
Metropolitan authorities	114.2p	-1.7%	14.3%	-0.1%	15.9%	3.7%	19.7%	
Non-metropolitan authorities	101.7p	0.3%	10.7%	2.0%	12.3%	5.8%	16.1%	
Metropolitan & programme	108.9p	-0.9%	12.9%	0.7%	14.5%	4.5%	18.3%	

TABLE 4

1981/82 local rate and estimated percentage rate increases in 1982/83 with different grant percentages and alternative expenditure assumptions

Authority	1981/82 local rate poucentage Col 1	-----57% grant-----		+++++56% grant+++++		-----54% grant----- Outturn at target Col 6
		Outturn at target Col 2	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 3	Outturn at target Col 4	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 5	
ISLES OF SCILLY	158.70	-53.0%	-49.6%	-51.0%	-47.6%	-46.4%
SHIRE COUNTIES						
Avon	120.00	6.9%	18.2%	9.6%	21.0%	14.7%
Bedfordshire	138.20	1.6%	10.6%	3.2%	12.2%	6.9%
Berkshire	116.90	6.9%	16.7%	9.6%	19.5%	14.8%
Buckinghamshire	130.70	-3.4%	4.9%	-1.6%	6.6%	2.2%
Cambridgeshire	121.60	4.0%	12.6%	5.8%	14.4%	10.0%
Cheshire	130.40	6.7%	18.3%	9.2%	20.8%	13.3%
Cleveland	130.60	7.2%	23.7%	9.7%	26.3%	14.4%
Cornwall	115.90	3.4%	5.9%	6.1%	8.7%	11.4%
Cumbria	120.00	8.8%	19.9%	11.5%	22.6%	16.6%
Derbyshire	121.40	2.8%	8.3%	5.4%	11.0%	10.4%
Devon	118.80	2.0%	3.3%	4.7%	5.9%	9.8%
Dorset	120.30	13.3%	21.1%	16.0%	23.7%	21.1%
Durham	126.40	5.3%	16.3%	7.8%	18.9%	12.7%
East Sussex	118.70	7.0%	12.8%	9.7%	15.5%	14.9%
Essex	116.80	2.9%	6.4%	5.6%	9.1%	10.8%
Gloucestershire	123.10	3.9%	8.4%	6.5%	11.0%	11.4%
Hampshire	119.00	10.8%	15.7%	13.5%	18.4%	18.1%
Hereford and Worcester	118.80	7.7%	13.0%	10.4%	15.7%	14.6%
Hertfordshire	124.00	8.3%	11.2%	10.9%	13.8%	15.6%
Humberside	114.20	9.5%	23.0%	12.3%	25.9%	17.7%
Isle of Wight	120.30	5.5%	12.6%	7.4%	14.4%	11.6%
Kent	118.90	5.1%	7.3%	7.7%	9.8%	12.0%
Lancashire	113.50	8.0%	14.9%	10.8%	17.7%	14.0%
Leicestershire	112.00	5.6%	11.8%	8.5%	14.7%	12.9%
Lincolnshire	118.00	5.0%	8.9%	7.7%	11.7%	15.9%
Norfolk	112.30	7.6%	11.7%	10.5%	14.5%	12.1%
Northamptonshire	112.20	5.7%	16.6%	7.7%	17.9%	14.4%
Northumberland	127.70	7.1%	15.4%	9.6%	15.2%	13.3%
North Yorkshire	121.80	5.6%	12.6%	8.2%	12.9%	21.9%
Nottinghamshire	110.50	13.4%	27.1%	16.3%	30.1%	15.0%
Oxfordshire	125.30	7.6%	13.5%	10.1%	16.0%	9.1%
Shropshire	118.70	1.3%	4.1%	4.0%	6.8%	11.4%
Somerset	122.00	3.7%	8.7%	6.4%	14.6%	12.1%
Staffordshire	125.80	5.5%	12.0%	8.0%	16.2%	7.3%
Suffolk	126.00	1.5%	4.8%	3.3%	12.0%	10.1%
Surrey	116.00	5.2%	9.3%	7.9%	6.8%	10.4%
Warwickshire	121.50	3.6%	4.4%	6.0%	8.5%	13.7%
West Sussex	119.30	2.6%	5.9%	5.3%	12.0%	
Wiltshire	117.60	5.7%	9.3%	8.5%		

TABLE 4
1981/82 local rate and estimated percentage rate increases in 1982/83 with different grant percentages and alternative expenditure assumptions

Authority	1981/82 local rate poucentage Col 1	-----57% grant-----		+++++56% grant+++++		-----54% grant----- Outturn at target Col 6	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 7
		Outturn at target Col 2	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 3	Outturn at target Col 4	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 5		
London	41.20	-5.4%	-1.0%	-5.4%	-1.0%	-5.4%	-1.0%
Greater London	87.70	-6.6%	2.1%	-6.6%	2.1%	-6.6%	2.1%
Greater London	64.20	4.8%	25.2%	6.6%	27.1%	10.4%	31.1%
Greater London	74.50	-19.8%	3.8%	-18.4%	5.4%	-15.2%	8.8%
Greater London	63.60	-29.2%	2.9%	-27.6%	-1.1%	-24.2%	2.6%
Greater London	89.80	-19.5%	3.1%	-18.2%	4.5%	-15.4%	7.5%
Greater London	35.50	-0.8%	12.9%	1.9%	15.7%	7.8%	21.7%
Greater London	85.40	-13.2%	9.1%	-11.8%	10.6%	-6.9%	15.8%
Greater London	86.10	-3.6%	14.9%	-2.2%	16.5%	0.9%	19.7%
Greater London	86.00	-16.9%	6.1%	-15.5%	7.6%	-12.6%	10.7%
Greater London	82.70	-10.0%	6.7%	-7.3%	9.7%	-4.8%	12.4%
Greater London	37.00	-17.8%	-1.8%	-15.2%	0.8%	-9.7%	6.4%
Greater London	29.60	-6.4%	-5.2%	-6.4%	-5.2%	-6.4%	-5.2%
Greater London	138.50	-4.8%	10.3%	-2.4%	12.8%	1.3%	16.6%
Greater London	97.90	6.7%	9.2%	9.2%	11.6%	14.4%	16.9%
Greater London	120.50	-	11.9%	2.0%	14.0%	6.5%	16.5%
Greater London	149.40	-18.3%	-2.2%	-16.6%	-0.5%	-13.0%	3.2%
Greater London	100.00	8.8%	11.2%	11.9%	14.3%	17.1%	19.5%
Greater London	85.20	9.7%	12.5%	13.5%	16.3%	19.6%	22.3%
Greater London	110.60	-3.9%	-1.5%	-1.8%	0.6%	2.9%	5.3%
Greater London	111.40	0.9%	10.2%	3.1%	12.4%	7.8%	17.2%
Greater London	172.50	-10.8%	5.8%	-9.2%	7.4%	-5.9%	11.0%
Greater London	123.80	-5.2%	4.6%	-3.3%	6.6%	1.0%	10.9%
Greater London	116.60	3.3%	15.5%	5.4%	17.7%	10.0%	23.0%
Greater London	112.30	3.4%	15.3%	6.3%	18.4%	10.9%	22.3%
Greater London	137.10	-9.0%	8.0%	-6.6%	10.5%	-2.9%	13.5%
Greater London	100.60	6.5%	15.1%	9.7%	18.4%	14.8%	24.3%
Greater London	97.00	13.2%	15.7%	16.5%	19.0%	21.8%	24.3%
Greater London	157.30	-20.7%	-3.2%	-19.1%	-1.5%	-15.7%	14.0%
Greater London	101.50	4.2%	6.6%	6.6%	9.4%	11.7%	14.0%
Greater London	93.60	10.9%	20.9%	11.8%	24.5%	19.8%	30.0%
Greater London	99.10	-1.0%	8.5%	4.2%	11.8%	9.4%	17.0%
Greater London	161.20	-10.4%	6.1%	-8.8%	7.8%	-5.3%	11.5%

TABLE 4
1981/82 local rate and estimated percentage rate increases in 1982/83
with different grant percentages and alternative expenditure assumptions

Authority	-----5% grant-----			+++++5% grant++++		-----5% grant----- Outturn at target Col 6
	1981/82 local rate poundage Col 1	Outturn at target Col 2	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 3	Outturn at target Col 4	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 5	
GREAT MANCHESTER						
Bolton	115.50	2.2%	7.6%	4.0%		
Bury	130.50	1.3%	11.5%	2.9%	9.4%	8.1%
Manchester	180.10	2.8%	20.8%	3.9%	13.1%	6.6%
Oldham	111.10	11.1%	19.3%	13.0%	21.9%	7.4%
Rochdale	139.20	-	17.2%	1.5%	21.2%	17.2%
Salford	102.50	14.7%	25.2%	16.7%	18.7%	5.4%
Stockport	113.30	8.0%	15.7%	9.9%	27.3%	22.8%
Tameside	125.00	7.5%	17.8%	9.2%	17.5%	14.1%
Trafford	106.30	12.2%	15.8%	14.2%	19.4%	13.0%
Wigan	127.70	4.8%	15.3%	6.4%	17.8%	19.9%
					16.9%	10.1%
MERSEYSIDE						
Knowsley	116.90	8.5%	19.6%	10.3%	21.4%	14.3%
Liverpool	122.40	7.6%	22.9%	9.4%	24.6%	14.4%
St Helens	134.10	2.8%	13.2%	4.4%	14.7%	7.9%
Sefton	98.90	16.2%	17.5%	18.4%	19.6%	23.4%
Wirral	114.50	11.3%	14.8%	13.2%	16.6%	17.4%
SOUTH YORKSHIRE						
Barnsley	132.30	6.3%	20.5%	8.0%	22.1%	12.6%
Doncaster	140.40	5.6%	18.8%	7.1%	20.3%	11.2%
Rotherham	129.90	6.9%	18.5%	8.5%	20.1%	13.3%
Sheffield	164.30	-2.2%	13.6%	-0.9%	14.9%	2.8%
TYNE AND WEAR						
Gateshead	127.30	7.7%	18.3%	9.4%	19.9%	14.2%
Newcastle upon Tyne	182.00	-2.9%	12.4%	-1.8%	13.5%	1.6%
North Tyneside	141.40	4.0%	16.4%	5.5%	17.8%	8.8%
South Tyneside	126.40	9.2%	22.1%	10.9%	23.8%	15.7%
Sunderland	130.50	6.6%	20.3%	8.2%	21.9%	11.8%
WEST MIDLANDS						
Birmingham	115.30	8.2%	17.3%	10.0%	19.1%	14.1%
Coventry	119.60	-0.3%	7.4%	1.5%	9.2%	5.4%
Dudley	108.30	2.9%	9.5%	4.8%	11.4%	9.2%
Sandwell	129.80	-	12.2%	1.6%	13.8%	5.3%
Southall	112.10	4.2%	5.2%	6.1%	7.1%	10.3%
Walsall	139.50	-8.9%	4.2%	-7.4%	5.6%	-4.0%
Wolverhampton	135.00	-7.4%	5.7%	-5.9%	7.2%	-2.4%
WEST YORKSHIRE						
Bradford	115.60	8.1%	11.7%	9.9%	13.5%	14.0%
Calderdale	120.30	7.2%	16.7%	9.0%	18.4%	14.1%
Kirklees	121.00	-0.4%	10.5%	1.4%	12.2%	5.3%
Leeds	113.50	3.4%	8.9%	5.2%	10.8%	9.4%
Wakefield	128.60	5.6%	14.8%	7.3%	16.4%	11.0%

TABLE 4
1981/82 local rate and estimated percentage rate increases in 1982/83
with different grant percentages and alternative expenditure assumptions

Authority	-----5% grant-----			+++++5% grant++++		-----5% grant-----	
	1981/82 local rate poundage Col 1	Outturn at target Col 2	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 3	Outturn at target Col 4	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 5	Outturn at target Col 6	Outturn 5% above 1981/82 Col 7
WEST YORKSHIRE							
Bradford	115.60	8.1%	11.7%	9.9%	13.5%	14.0%	14.1%
Calderdale	120.30	7.2%	16.7%	9.0%	18.4%	14.1%	14.1%
Kirklees	121.00	-0.4%	10.5%	1.4%	12.2%	9.4%	5.3%
Leeds	113.50	3.4%	8.9%	5.2%	10.8%	9.4%	11.0%
Wakefield	128.60	5.6%	14.8%	7.3%	16.4%	11.0%	11.0%
WEST YORKSHIRE POLICE							
	18.00	-14.5%	59.8%	-12.5%	62.2%	-8.0%	67.5%
	62.90	-0.2%	7.4%	-0.2%	7.4%	-0.2%	7.4%
WEST YORKSHIRE FIRE							
	9.80	-1.0%	-1.2%	1.3%	1.1%	6.3%	6.0%

TABLE 5
 Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outturn and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budgeting) 57% grant

	1981/82		Spendings at target			Spendings 5% above 1981/82		
	revised budget	grant after holdback	1982/83 budget	Grant	Rate increase	1982/83 spend	Grant	Rate increase
	Col 1	Col 2	Col 3	Col 4	Col 5	Col 6	Col 7	Col 8
England	£18,426.929m	£8,053.698m	£19,003.750m	£8,418.990m	1.6%	£19,873.536m	£8,096.922m	13.0%
Metropolitan districts	£1,323.223m	£587.358m	£1,376.062m	£590.049m				
Metropolitan counties	£8,291.775m	£3,903.934m	£8,732.293m	£3,959.243m	-6.4%	£1,424.119m	£575.979m	1.0%
Metropolitan districts	£3,753.958m	£2,025.124m	£3,892.208m	£2,037.563m	5.9%	£8,923.549m	£3,858.947m	12.3%
Metropolitan counties	£1,066.911m	£458.302m	£990.706m	£487.390m	4.1%	£4,040.197m	£1,995.491m	14.8%
Metropolitan total	£9,614.998m	£4,491.292m	£10,108.355m	£4,549.292m	-1.8%	£1,140.944m	£479.346m	29.0%
Metropolitan total	£4,820.869m	£2,483.426m	£4,882.914m	£2,524.952m	3.9%	£10,347.668m	£4,434.926m	10.5%
Metropolitan total					2.8%	£5,181.142m	£2,474.836m	18.0%
Metropolitan	£113.451m	£-60.336m	£116.603m	£-63.353m	-5.9%	£122.102m	£-63.353m	-3.0%
Metropolitan London	£738.895m	£344.740m	£739.126m	£376.791m	-11.6%	£795.221m	£359.002m	6.5%
Metropolitan inc ILEA	£1,549.799m	£284.403m	£1,553.553m	£313.438m	-4.6%	£1,667.956m	£295.650m	5.6%
Metropolitan London	£1,601.558m	£681.465m	£1,661.260m	£725.120m	-2.2%	£1,723.677m	£689.667m	8.0%
Metropolitan Police	£838.638m	£112.545m	£796.531m	£305.276m	-5.6%	£951.944m	£202.938m	44.0%
Metropolitan total	£3,989.995m	£1,078.413m	£4,011.344m	£1,343.835m	-4.0%	£4,343.577m	£1,188.255m	13.6%
Metropolitan authorities	£1,657.544m	£820.324m	£1,684.816m	£848.673m	-1.7%	£1,783.917m	£812.190m	14.3%
Metropolitan authorities	£1,230.078m	£695.263m	£1,276.059m	£713.867m	0.3%	£1,323.871m	£703.660m	10.7%
Metropolitan programme	£2,887.622m	£1,515.597m	£2,960.874m	£1,562.540m	-0.9%	£3,107.788m	£1,515.849m	12.9%

TABLE 5
Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outturn and spend 5% above 1981/82
with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budgeting)

Authority	Spending at target					Spending 5% above 1981/82								
	1981/82 revised budget Col 1	1981/82 grant after holdback Col 2	1982/83 budget Col 3	Grant Col 4	Rate increase Col 5	1982/83 revised budget Col 6	Grant Col 7	Rate increase Col 8	1982/83 revised budget Col 9	Grant Col 10	Rate increase Col 11	1982/83 revised budget Col 12	Grant Col 13	Rate increase Col 14
ISLES OF SCILLY	£1.067m	£0.566m	£1.138m	£0.911m	-53.0%	£1.140m			£0.956m					
SHIRE COUNTIES														
Avon	£274.297m	£123.897m	£282.945m	£129.796m	6.9%	£295.212m	£125.794m							
Bedfordshire	£167.165m	£56.285m	£174.910m	£60.476m	1.6%	£179.911m	£58.369m							
Berkshire	£196.690m	£55.115m	£205.891m	£55.318m	6.9%	£211.900m	£57.500m							
Buckinghamshire	£164.735m	£47.243m	£172.942m	£55.228m	-3.4%	£177.296m	£49.494m							
Cambridgeshire	£166.748m	£65.966m	£175.070m	£71.459m	4.0%	£177.296m	£69.494m							
Cheshire	£305.431m	£128.185m	£315.114m	£131.864m	6.7%	£328.720m	£128.560m							
Cleveland	£211.995m	£103.576m	£213.927m	£108.340m	7.2%	£228.160m	£106.320m							
Cornwall	£116.271m	£68.245m	£124.194m	£69.781m	3.4%	£125.137m	£69.710m							
Cumbria	£150.627m	£90.660m	£156.003m	£94.274m	8.8%	£162.112m	£94.076m							
Derbyshire	£262.000m	£146.686m	£277.319m	£148.999m	2.8%	£281.970m	£146.690m							
Devon	£256.987m	£138.852m	£275.459m	£140.927m	2.0%	£276.582m	£140.394m							
Dorset	£170.753m	£69.235m	£179.617m	£66.785m	13.3%	£183.773m	£63.327m							
Durham	£193.315m	£119.014m	£199.057m	£123.265m	5.3%	£208.055m	£124.116m							
East Sussex	£179.946m	£67.326m	£190.225m	£66.599m	7.0%	£193.667m	£63.310m							
Essex	£401.448m	£155.057m	£427.575m	£150.394m	2.9%	£432.050m	£155.460m							
Gloucestershire	£143.070m	£70.048m	£151.892m	£70.768m	3.9%	£153.979m	£63.327m							
Hampshire	£425.639m	£185.208m	£451.677m	£181.330m	10.8%	£458.094m	£175.629m							
Hereford and Worcester	£179.609m	£86.113m	£190.398m	£83.444m	7.7%	£193.304m	£81.055m							
Hertfordshire	£284.895m	£90.277m	£303.928m	£78.742m	8.3%	£306.618m	£78.360m							
Humbly Grove	£277.931m	£161.491m	£285.057m	£165.858m	9.5%	£299.123m	£165.225m							
Isle of Wight	£33.950m	£17.711m	£35.824m	£19.021m	5.5%	£36.539m	£19.010m							
Kent	£407.884m	£208.483m	£436.192m	£207.272m	5.1%	£438.985m	£205.400m							
Lancashire	£416.250m	£253.882m	£439.479m	£267.411m	8.0%	£447.989m	£264.940m							
Leicestershire	£244.329m	£126.156m	£258.642m	£127.255m	5.6%	£262.959m	£123.510m							
Lincolnshire	£158.133m	£92.259m	£168.240m	£93.739m	5.0%	£170.191m	£92.380m							
Norfolk	£189.070m	£91.796m	£201.102m	£92.783m	7.6%	£203.487m	£91.110m							
Northamptonshire	£135.050m	£73.873m	£161.757m	£78.140m	5.7%	£166.873m	£74.360m							
Northumberland	£94.539m	£54.517m	£99.303m	£55.804m	7.1%	£101.748m	£54.300m							
North Yorkshire	£196.410m	£109.692m	£207.091m	£111.173m	5.6%	£236.266m	£109.920m							
Notts	£313.440m	£165.978m	£321.180m	£167.513m	13.4%	£311.286m	£169.920m							
Oxfordshire	£152.689m	£57.231m	£161.412m	£53.902m	7.6%	£164.332m	£53.920m							
Shropshire	£108.574m	£62.122m	£115.885m	£63.942m	1.3%	£116.833m	£63.430m							
Somerset	£120.373m	£62.545m	£127.671m	£64.576m	3.7%	£129.851m	£62.820m							
Staffordshire	£204.903m	£151.686m	£212.924m	£159.685m	5.5%	£228.132m	£149.040m							
Suffolk	£163.019m	£75.726m	£173.665m	£75.452m	1.5%	£175.449m	£74.670m							
Surrey	£257.502m	£70.401m	£273.629m	£66.246m	5.2%	£277.137m	£69.290m							
Warwickshire	£133.404m	£60.779m	£143.176m	£59.535m	3.6%	£143.576m	£59.520m							
West Sussex	£164.838m	£60.568m	£175.615m	£57.800m	2.6%	£177.407m	£58.820m							
Wiltshire	£147.666m	£83.051m	£157.307m	£84.346m	5.7%	£158.266m								

TABLE 5
Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outturn and spend 5% above 1981/82
with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budgeting)

Authority	Spending at target					Spending 5% above 1981/82								
	1981/82 revised budget Col 1	1981/82 grant after holdback Col 2	1982/83 budget Col 3	Grant Col 4	Rate increase Col 5	1982/83 revised budget Col 6	Grant Col 7	Rate increase Col 8	1982/83 revised budget Col 9	Grant Col 10	Rate increase Col 11	1982/83 revised budget Col 12	Grant Col 13	Rate increase Col 14
London	£54.575m	£-38.707m	£54.274m	£-40.642m	-5.4%	£58.736m	£-40.642m							
Greater London	£99.377m	£11.378m	£98.829m	£11.981m	-6.6%	£106.954m	£11.981m							
Greater London Authority	£49.889m	£29.359m	£49.614m	£28.029m	4.2%	£53.693m	£29.900m							
Greater London Council	£65.129m	£-9.991m	£65.164m	£-10.662m	-19.0%	£70.055m	£-10.662m							
Greater London Council (London)	£51.015m	£31.352m	£50.734m	£35.793m	-29.2%	£54.905m	£34.399m							
Greater London Council (Greater London)	£67.660m	£-24.293m	£67.287m	£-30.025m	-19.5%	£72.819m	£-25.121m							
Greater London Council (Greater London)	£35.587m	£11.804m	£37.377m	£12.811m	-0.6%	£38.301m	£12.512m							
Greater London Council (Greater London)	£97.831m	£46.639m	£97.275m	£44.650m	-13.2%	£105.276m	£44.729m							
Greater London Council (Greater London)	£72.666m	£43.463m	£72.265m	£44.229m	-3.6%	£78.270m	£44.672m							
Greater London Council (Greater London)	£85.032m	£40.156m	£84.563m	£44.756m	-16.9%	£91.516m	£40.729m							
Greater London Council (Greater London)	£57.010m	£22.627m	£56.696m	£24.064m	-10.0%	£61.357m	£22.677m							
Greater London Council (Greater London)	£57.699m	£44.504m	£59.712m	£46.690m	-17.0%	£62.099m	£46.779m							
Greater London Council (Greater London)	£58.876m	£-21.629m	£62.329m	£-22.710m	-6.4%	£63.365m	£-22.710m							
Greater London Council (Greater London)	£57.347m	£23.746m	£57.871m	£22.757m	-4.2%	£61.720m	£21.036m							
Greater London Council (Greater London)	£98.536m	£32.472m	£94.618m	£33.025m	6.7%	£95.287m	£32.244m							
Greater London Council (Greater London)	£73.693m	£39.092m	£76.132m	£39.381m	-	£79.316m	£38.729m							
Greater London Council (Greater London)	£121.121m	£41.836m	£123.151m	£61.344m	-18.3%	£130.352m	£55.462m							
Greater London Council (Greater London)	£26.169m	£45.171m	£28.088m	£39.916m	8.2%	£32.799m	£39.414m							
Greater London Council (Greater London)	£97.096m	£42.174m	£103.702m	£40.767m	9.7%	£104.435m	£39.914m							
Greater London Council (Greater London)	£102.148m	£45.874m	£109.165m	£50.966m	-3.9%	£109.937m	£50.272m							
Greater London Council (Greater London)	£86.767m	£36.405m	£90.771m	£36.847m	0.9%	£93.383m	£34.456m							
Greater London Council (Greater London)	£109.289m	£48.437m	£109.718m	£56.019m	-10.2%	£117.622m	£53.947m							
Greater London Council (Greater London)	£67.653m	£27.128m	£67.653m	£27.687m	-5.2%	£70.181m	£26.273m							
Greater London Council (Greater London)	£91.991m	£38.215m	£92.550m	£37.494m	3.2%	£96.090m	£36.659m							
Greater London Council (Greater London)	£81.391m	£21.354m	£84.267m	£18.254m	3.4%	£87.597m	£18.990m							
Greater London Council (Greater London)	£31.457m	£17.966m	£31.777m	£17.866m	-9.0%	£37.668m	£16.591m							
Greater London Council (Greater London)	£42.778m	£15.847m	£44.876m	£14.851m	6.5%	£46.040m	£13.579m							
Greater London Council (Greater London)	£53.685m	£25.853m	£57.373m	£24.398m	13.2%	£57.778m	£24.058m							
Greater London Council (Greater London)	£110.658m	£53.526m	£111.519m	£67.395m	-20.7%	£119.096m	£65.248m							
Greater London Council (Greater London)	£68.268m	£34.628m	£72.944m	£35.026m	4.2%	£73.459m	£34.666m							
Greater London Council (Greater London)	£47.544m	£20.609m	£49.594m	£17.706m	10.9%	£51.166m	£16.396m							
Greater London Council (Greater London)	£50.399m	£24.345m	£53.087m	£25.101m	1.0%	£54.242m	£24.168m							
Greater London Council (Greater London)	£98.085m	£46.485m	£98.404m	£53.681m	-10.4%	£105.564m	£52.563m							

TABLE 5

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outturn and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budgeting)

Authority	Spending at target					Spending 5% above 1981/82	
	1981/82 revised budget	1981/82 grant after holdback	1982/83 budget	Grant	Rate increase	1982/83 revised budget	Grant
	Col 1	Col 2	Col 3	Col 4	Col 5	Col 6	Col 7
GREATER MANCHESTER							
Bolton	£78.291m	£48.860m	£83.002m	£50.254m	2.2%	£84.261m	£49.762m
Bury	£52.953m	£29.141m	£54.839m	£29.795m	1.3%	£56.991m	£29.464m
Manchester	£235.951m	£109.582m	£236.236m	£100.495m	2.8%	£253.942m	£94.462m
Oldham	£72.444m	£49.225m	£76.341m	£48.315m	11.1%	£77.968m	£47.866m
Rochdale	£75.858m	£46.507m	£76.072m	£47.437m	-	£81.642m	£48.266m
Salford	£86.298m	£54.768m	£90.101m	£53.324m	14.7%	£92.878m	£52.738m
Stockport	£80.835m	£40.704m	£85.160m	£38.552m	8.0%	£86.999m	£37.111m
Tameside	£71.356m	£45.550m	£74.358m	£45.498m	7.5%	£76.797m	£45.152m
Trafford	£64.108m	£25.221m	£68.326m	£22.727m	12.2%	£68.996m	£21.966m
Wigan	£98.206m	£59.679m	£101.882m	£60.698m	4.8%	£105.694m	£60.268m
MERSEYSIDE							
Knowsley	£63.109m	£37.577m	£65.880m	£38.484m	8.5%	£67.921m	£37.712m
Liverpool	£203.869m	£113.520m	£208.782m	£117.480m	7.6%	£219.414m	£115.162m
St Helens	£63.564m	£34.095m	£65.964m	£34.788m	2.6%	£68.411m	£34.976m
Sefton	£80.764m	£47.501m	£86.611m	£45.379m	16.2%	£86.922m	£45.275m
Mirral	£101.401m	£55.280m	£108.073m	£54.738m	11.3%	£109.133m	£54.124m
SOUTH YORKSHIRE							
Barnsley	£72.837m	£48.021m	£74.284m	£47.489m	6.3%	£78.391m	£48.022m
Doncaster	£97.705m	£57.763m	£100.356m	£56.800m	5.6%	£105.155m	£56.173m
Rotherham	£81.346m	£53.846m	£84.079m	£52.413m	6.9%	£87.549m	£52.457m
Sheffield	£196.344m	£88.273m	£196.581m	£90.868m	-2.2%	£211.315m	£88.536m
TYNE AND WEAR							
Gateshead	£67.799m	£41.962m	£70.311m	£41.174m	7.7%	£72.969m	£40.966m
Newcastle upon Tyne	£113.990m	£43.208m	£114.128m	£40.764m	-2.9%	£122.682m	£37.766m
North Tyneside	£65.446m	£38.912m	£67.458m	£37.152m	4.0%	£70.436m	£38.778m
South Tyneside	£58.441m	£39.405m	£60.349m	£38.798m	9.2%	£62.897m	£38.299m
Sunderland	£97.616m	£61.672m	£99.450m	£61.642m	6.6%	£105.059m	£62.299m
WEST MIDLANDS							
Birmingham	£343.762m	£158.057m	£361.265m	£158.715m	8.2%	£369.374m	£159.555m
Coventry	£103.912m	£52.150m	£109.423m	£57.258m	-0.2%	£111.835m	£58.215m
Dudley	£73.320m	£30.702m	£77.452m	£29.826m	2.9%	£78.911m	£28.816m
Sandwell	£103.234m	£36.351m	£106.167m	£41.726m	-	£111.106m	£38.816m
Solihull	£54.661m	£24.024m	£58.641m	£24.515m	4.2%	£58.829m	£24.967m
Halsall	£87.010m	£35.173m	£88.327m	£38.870m	-8.9%	£93.645m	£37.888m
Wolverhampton	£92.536m	£35.507m	£94.617m	£42.809m	-7.4%	£99.398m	£40.438m
WEST YORKSHIRE							
Bradford	£145.355m	£98.997m	£154.920m	£101.171m	8.1%	£156.438m	£100.829m
Calderdale	£59.009m	£40.329m	£61.695m	£40.683m	7.2%	£63.508m	£40.349m
Kirklees	£114.440m	£75.256m	£118.672m	£80.069m	-0.4%	£123.166m	£111.409m
Leeds	£202.757m	£112.339m	£214.828m	£113.480m	3.4%	£218.217m	£121.477m
Wakefield	£93.431m	£55.160m	£97.576m	£53.360m	5.6%	£100.555m	£52.679m

TABLE 5

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outturn and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budgeting)

Authority	Spending at target					Spending 5% above 1981/82	
	1981/82 revised budget	1981/82 grant after holdback	1982/83 budget	Grant	Rate increase	1982/83 revised budget	Grant
	Col 1	Col 2	Col 3	Col 4	Col 5	Col 6	Col 7
WEST YORKSHIRE							
Bradford	£145.355m	£98.997m	£154.920m	£101.171m	8.1%	£156.438m	£100.829m
Calderdale	£59.009m	£40.329m	£61.695m	£40.683m	7.2%	£63.508m	£40.349m
Kirklees	£114.440m	£75.256m	£118.672m	£80.069m	-0.4%	£123.166m	£111.409m
Leeds	£202.757m	£112.339m	£214.828m	£113.480m	3.4%	£218.217m	£121.477m
Wakefield	£93.431m	£55.160m	£97.576m	£53.360m	5.6%	£100.555m	£52.679m
WEST YORKSHIRE							
Bradford	£145.355m	£98.997m	£154.920m	£101.171m	8.1%	£156.438m	£100.829m
Calderdale	£59.009m	£40.329m	£61.695m	£40.683m	7.2%	£63.508m	£40.349m
Kirklees	£114.440m	£75.256m	£118.672m	£80.069m	-0.4%	£123.166m	£111.409m
Leeds	£202.757m	£112.339m	£214.828m	£113.480m	3.4%	£218.217m	£121.477m
Wakefield	£93.431m	£55.160m	£97.576m	£53.360m	5.6%	£100.555m	£52.679m
WEST YORKSHIRE							
Bradford	£145.355m	£98.997m	£154.920m	£101.171m	8.1%	£156.438m	£100.829m
Calderdale	£59.009m	£40.329m	£61.695m	£40.683m	7.2%	£63.508m	£40.349m
Kirklees	£114.440m	£75.256m	£118.672m	£80.069m	-0.4%	£123.166m	£111.409m
Leeds	£202.757m	£112.339m	£214.828m	£113.480m	3.4%	£218.217m	£121.477m
Wakefield	£93.431m	£55.160m	£97.576m	£53.360m	5.6%	£100.555m	£52.679m
WEST YORKSHIRE							
Bradford	£145.355m	£98.997m	£154.920m	£101.171m	8.1%	£156.438m	£100.829m
Calderdale	£59.009m	£40.329m	£61.695m	£40.683m	7.2%	£63.508m	£40.349m
Kirklees	£114.440m	£75.256m	£118.672m	£80.069m	-0.4%	£123.166m	£111.409m
Leeds	£202.757m	£112.339m	£214.828m	£113.480m	3.4%	£218.217m	£121.477m
Wakefield	£93.431m	£55.160m	£97.576m	£53.360m	5.6%	£100.555m	£52.679m
WEST YORKSHIRE							
Bradford	£145.355m	£98.997m	£154.920m	£101.171m	8.1%	£156.438m	£100.829m
Calderdale	£59.009m	£40.329m	£61.695m	£40.683m	7.2%	£63.508m	£40.349m
Kirklees	£114.440m	£75.256m	£118.672m	£80.069m	-0.4%	£123.166m	£111.409m
Leeds	£202.757m	£112.339m	£214.828m	£113.480m	3.4%	£218.217m	£121.477m
Wakefield	£93.431m	£55.160m	£97.576m	£53.360m	5.6%	£100.555m	£52.679m
WEST YORKSHIRE							
Bradford	£145.355m	£98.997m	£154.920m	£101.171m	8.1%	£156.438m	£100.829m
Calderdale	£59.009m	£40.329m	£61.695m	£40.683m	7.2%	£63.508m	£40.349m
Kirklees	£114.440m	£75.256m	£118.672m	£80.069m	-0.4%	£123.166m	£111.409m
Leeds	£202.757m	£112.339m	£214.828m	£113.480m	3.4%	£218.217m	£121.477m
Wakefield	£93.431m	£55.160m	£97.576m	£53.360m	5.6%	£100.555m	£52.679m
WEST YORKSHIRE							
Bradford	£145.355m	£98.997m	£154.920m	£101.171m	8.1%	£156.438m	£100.829m
Calderdale	£59.009m	£40.329m	£61.695m	£40.683m	7.2%	£63.508m	£40.349m
Kirklees	£114.440m	£75.256m	£118.672m	£80.069m	-0.4%	£123.166m	£111.409m
Leeds	£202.757m	£112.339m	£214.828m	£113.480m	3.4%	£218.217m	£121.477m
Wakefield	£93.431m	£55.160m	£97.576m	£53.360m	5.6%	£100.555m	£52.679m
WEST YORKSHIRE							
Bradford	£145.355m	£98.997m	£154.920m	£101.171m	8.1%	£156.438m	£100.829m
Calderdale	£59.009m	£40.329m	£61.695m	£40.683m	7.2%	£63.508m	£40.349m
Kirklees	£114.440m	£75.256m	£118.672m	£80.069m	-0.4%	£123.166m	£111.409m
Leeds	£202.757m	£112.339m	£214.828m	£113.480m	3.4%	£218.217m	£121.477m
Wakefield	£93.431m	£55.160m	£97.576m	£53.360m	5.6%	£100.555m	£52.679m
WEST YORKSHIRE							
Bradford	£145.355m	£98.997m	£154.920m	£101.171m	8.1%	£156.438m	£100.829m
Calderdale	£59.009m	£40.329m	£61.695m	£40.683m	7.2%	£63.508m	£40.349m
Kirklees	£114.440m	£75.256m	£118.672m	£80.069m	-0.4%	£123.166m	£111.409m
Leeds	£202.757m	£112.339m	£214.828m	£113.480m	3.4%	£218.217m	£121.477m
Wakefield	£93.431m	£55.160m	£97.576m	£53.360m	5.6%	£100.555m	£52.679m
WEST YORKSHIRE							
Bradford	£145.355m	£98.997m	£154.920m	£101.171m	8.1%	£156.438m	£100.829m
Calderdale	£59.009m	£40.329m	£61.695m	£40.683m	7.2%	£63.508m	£40.349m
Kirklees	£114.440m	£75.256m	£118.672m	£80.069m	-0.4%	£123.166m	£111.409m
Leeds	£202.757m	£112.339m	£214.828m	£113.480m	3.4%	£218.217m	£121.477m
Wakefield	£93.431m	£55.160m	£97.576m	£53.360m	5.6%	£100.555m	£52.679m
WEST YORKSHIRE							
Bradford	£145.355m	£98.997m	£154.920m	£101.171m	8.1%	£156.438m	£100.829m
Calderdale	£59.009m	£40.329m	£61.695m	£40.683m	7.2%	£63.508m	£40.349m
Kirklees	£114.440m	£75.256m	£118.672m	£80.069m	-0.4%	£123.166m	£111.409m
Leeds	£202.757m	£112.339m	£214.828m	£113.480m	3.4%	£218.217m	£121.477m
Wakefield	£93.431m	£55.160m	£97.576m	£53.360m	5.6%	£100.555m	£52.679m
WEST YORKSHIRE							
Bradford	£145.355m	£98.997m	£154.920m	£101.171m	8.1%	£156.438m	£100.829m
Calderdale	£59.009m	£40.329m	£61.695m	£40.683m	7.2%	£63.508m	£40.349m
Kirklees	£114.440m	£75.256m	£118.672m	£80.069m	-0.4%	£123.166m	£111.409m
Leeds	£202.757m	£112.339m	£214.828m	£113.480m	3.4%	£218.217m	£121.477m
Wakefield	£93.431m	£55.160m	£97.576m	£53.360m	5.6%	£100.555m	£52.679m
WEST YORKSHIRE							
Bradford	£145.355m	£98.997m	£154.920m	£101.171m	8.1%	£156.438m	£100.829m
Calderdale	£59.009m	£40.329m	£61.695m	£40.683m	7.2%	£63.508m	£40.349m
Kirklees	£114.440m	£75.256m	£118.672m	£80.069m	-0.4%	£123.166m	£111.409m
Leeds	£202.757m	£112.339m	£214.828m	£113.480m	3.4%	£218.217m	£121.477m
Wakefield	£93.431m	£55.160m	£97.576m	£53.360m	5.6%	£100.555m	£52.679m
WEST YORKSHIRE							
Bradford	£145.355m	£98.997m	£154.920m	£101.171m	8.1%	£156.438m	£100.829m
Calderdale	£59.009m	£40.329m	£61.695m	£40.683m	7.2%	£63.508m	£40.349m
Kirklees	£114.440m	£75.256m	£118.672m	£80.069m	-0.4%	£123.166m	£111.409m
Leeds	£202.757m	£112.339m	£214.828m	£113.480m	3.4%	£218.217m	£121.477m
Wakefield	£93.431m	£55.160m	£97.576m	£53.360m	5.6%	£100.555m	£52.679m
WEST YORKSHIRE							
Bradford	£145.355m	£98.997m	£154.920m	£101.171m	8.1%	£156.438m	£100.829m
Calderdale	£59.009m	£40.329m	£61.695m	£40.683m	7.2%	£63.508m	£40.349m
Kirklees	£114.440m	£75.256m	£118.672m	£80.069m	-0.4%	£123.166m	£111.409m
Leeds	£202.757m	£112.339m	£214.828m	£113.480m	3.4%	£218.217m	£121.477m
Wakefield							

TABLE 5

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outturn and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budgetings)

Authority	Spendings at target					****Spendings 5% above 1981/82****	
	1981/82 revised budget Col 1	1981/82 grant after holdback Col 2	1982/83 budget Col 3	Grant Col 4	Rate increase Col 5	1982/83 spend Col 6	Grant Col 7
DORSET							
Bournemouth	£8,545m	£4,050m	£8,892m	£3,947m	-11.6%	£9,197m	£3,717m
Christchurch	£1,486m	£0,533m	£1,596m	£0,655m	-23.4%	£1,599m	£0,719m
North Dorset	£1,195m	£0,583m	£1,288m	£0,785m	-35.8%	£1,298m	£0,850m
Poole	£5,303m	£2,290m	£5,688m	£2,066m	-1.1%	£5,707m	£2,044m
Purbeck	£1,232m	£0,623m	£1,328m	£0,653m	-7.8%	£1,326m	£0,650m
West Dorset	£2,315m	£1,519m	£2,496m	£1,715m	-21.4%	£2,492m	£1,729m
Weymouth and Portland	£2,662m	£1,626m	£2,842m	£1,632m	-4.9%	£2,855m	£1,622m
Wimbourne	£2,069m	£1,086m	£2,231m	£1,067m	-8.0%	£2,227m	£1,076m
DURHAM							
Chester-le-Street	£2,836m	£1,605m	£2,844m	£1,653m	-6.1%	£3,052m	£1,676m
Darlington	£7,759m	£2,915m	£7,780m	£2,757m	-8.2%	£8,351m	£2,637m
Derwentside	£6,021m	£2,795m	£6,038m	£3,471m	-7.6%	£6,480m	£3,574m
Durham	£5,361m	£2,681m	£5,376m	£2,418m	3.9%	£5,770m	£2,421m
Easington	£5,494m	£4,120m	£5,714m	£4,387m	-11.5%	£5,913m	£4,426m
Sedgefield	£7,845m	£4,490m	£7,867m	£3,816m	30.1%	£8,443m	£3,899m
Teesdale	£0,847m	£0,507m	£0,903m	£0,563m	-15.5%	£0,912m	£0,569m
Wear Valley	£4,917m	£2,885m	£4,931m	£2,866m	-0.6%	£5,292m	£2,929m
EAST SUSSEX							
Brighton	£11,861m	£5,235m	£12,058m	£5,920m	-20.6%	£12,765m	£5,529m
Eastbourne	£5,496m	£2,196m	£5,511m	£1,688m	-9.0%	£5,915m	£1,424m
Hastings	£4,009m	£2,619m	£4,279m	£2,475m	-9.0%	£4,315m	£2,059m
Hove	£4,911m	£2,407m	£4,509m	£3,137m	-40.4%	£4,511m	£1,463m
Lewes	£3,613m	£1,723m	£3,857m	£1,513m	-1.3%	£3,888m	£1,546m
Rother	£3,657m	£1,811m	£3,904m	£1,574m	-15.7%	£3,936m	£1,546m
Wealden	£3,746m	£1,701m	£4,021m	£2,006m	-23.5%	£4,032m	£1,999m
ESSEX							
Basilston	£10,709m	£3,326m	£10,738m	£2,973m	-0.8%	£11,526m	£2,666m
Braintree	£3,895m	£1,711m	£4,113m	£1,635m	-2.4%	£4,192m	£1,549m
Brentwood	£2,225m	£0,608m	£2,399m	£0,704m	-14.0%	£2,395m	£0,718m
Castle Point	£3,472m	£1,292m	£3,706m	£1,283m	1.5%	£3,737m	£1,259m
Chelmsford	£3,722m	£0,514m	£4,013m	£1,804m	-47.5%	£4,006m	£1,826m
Colchester	£3,892m	£1,448m	£4,182m	£2,077m	-35.9%	£4,189m	£2,078m
Erins Forest	£3,597m	£0,676m	£3,878m	£1,081m	-26.2%	£3,871m	£0,874m
Harlow	£6,085m	£0,065m	£6,102m	£0,502m	-11.7%	£6,549m	£0,496m
Malden	£1,609m	£0,591m	£1,718m	£0,516m	11.8%	£1,732m	£1,126m
Rochford	£2,793m	£1,344m	£2,981m	£1,135m	10.5%	£3,006m	£4,078m
Southend-on-Sea	£9,500m	£4,715m	£10,141m	£4,152m	-4.6%	£10,224m	£2,812m
Tendons	£9,325m	£2,452m	£5,684m	£2,657m	-4.8%	£5,731m	£0,757m
Thurrock	£6,763m	£1,622m	£6,782m	-	20.2%	£7,279m	£0,767m
Uttlesford	£1,840m	£1,070m	£1,984m	£0,759m	11.6%	£1,980m	-

TABLE 5

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outturn and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budgetings)

Authority	Spendings at target					****Spendings 5% above 1981/82****	
	1981/82 revised budget Col 1	1981/82 grant after holdback Col 2	1982/83 budget Col 3	Grant Col 4	Rate increase Col 5	1982/83 spend Col 6	Grant Col 7
GLoucestershire							
Gloucester	£3,804m	£1,652m	£4,102m	£1,754m	-6.1%	£4,094m	£1,762m
Stroud	£2,447m	£1,255m	£2,612m	£1,096m	16.2%	£2,634m	£1,077m
Windsor	£2,043m	£1,454m	£2,203m	£1,231m	19.2%	£2,199m	£1,236m
Wotton Bassett	£2,767m	£1,437m	£2,983m	£1,781m	-25.9%	£2,978m	£1,782m
Wotton Underwood	£3,141m	£1,362m	£3,387m	£1,591m	-13.9%	£3,381m	£1,600m
Wotton Bassett	£2,943m	£0,829m	£2,526m	£0,651m	4.3%	£2,522m	£0,862m
GLoucestershire and Deane							
Gloucester	£5,242m	£1,162m	£5,596m	£1,666m	-10.2%	£5,642m	£1,616m
Stroud	£3,264m	£2,069m	£3,417m	£1,454m	3.5%	£3,513m	£1,373m
Windsor	£3,270m	£0,853m	£3,517m	£1,180m	-13.2%	£3,519m	£1,181m
Wotton Bassett	£3,993m	£1,791m	£4,262m	£1,625m	-6.5%	£4,297m	£1,599m
Wotton Underwood	£3,202m	£1,850m	£3,424m	£1,914m	1.5%	£3,446m	£1,899m
Wotton Bassett	£2,794m	£0,876m	£2,802m	£0,965m	-9.1%	£3,007m	£0,927m
Wotton Bassett	£4,514m	£2,678m	£4,863m	£2,352m	10.4%	£4,858m	£2,316m
Wotton Bassett	£5,749m	£2,201m	£6,137m	£2,259m	-5.1%	£6,187m	£2,193m
Wotton Bassett	£14,606m	£7,542m	£15,591m	£9,179m	-32.2%	£15,720m	£9,121m
Wotton Bassett	£4,806m	£2,286m	£4,818m	£1,840m	1.7%	£5,172m	£1,899m
Wotton Bassett	£12,306m	£5,891m	£12,585m	£5,692m	-4.6%	£13,244m	£5,490m
Wotton Bassett	£3,164m	£3,377m	£1,276m	-6.3%	£3,405m	£1,244m	
Wotton Bassett	£3,786m	£1,380m	£4,041m	£1,459m	11.4%	£4,078m	£1,427m
GLoucestershire and Wiltshire							
Gloucester	£2,549m	£0,802m	£2,721m	£0,732m	3.2%	£2,743m	£0,703m
Stroud	£1,920m	£0,450m	£2,049m	£0,894m	-32.1%	£2,066m	£0,877m
Windsor	£1,162m	£0,681m	£1,232m	£0,748m	-22.4%	£1,251m	£0,737m
Wotton Bassett	£2,827m	£1,318m	£3,018m	£1,394m	-1.0%	£3,043m	£1,374m
Wotton Bassett	£3,357m	£1,143m	£3,366m	£0,861m	-17.9%	£3,616m	£0,732m
Wotton Bassett	£1,472m	£0,827m	£1,579m	£0,816m	-8.9%	£1,584m	£0,813m
Wotton Bassett	£3,768m	£0,517m	£3,778m	£1,212m	-22.7%	£4,058m	£1,087m
Wotton Bassett	£4,416m	£1,340m	£4,493m	£1,183m	-	£4,753m	£1,028m
Wotton Bassett	£6,078m	£1,944m	£6,096m	£1,694m	5.3%	£6,541m	£1,553m
GLoucestershire and Wiltshire							
Gloucester	£3,808m	£2,307m	£3,914m	£1,892m	-6.1%	£4,098m	£1,777m
Stroud	£4,869m	£0,396m	£5,250m	£1,876m	-32.2%	£5,240m	£1,899m
Windsor	£1,680m	£1,393m	£4,841m	£1,680m	-17.0%	£4,881m	£1,637m
Wotton Bassett	£5,094m	£1,100m	£5,108m	£0,857m	-5.9%	£5,482m	£0,564m
Wotton Bassett	£3,771m	£0,353m	£4,051m	£1,197m	-25.5%	£4,059m	£1,129m
Wotton Bassett	£4,517m	£0,659m	£4,836m	£1,399m	-18.7%	£4,836m	£1,356m
Wotton Bassett	£5,610m	£0,183m	£5,625m	£0,749m	-18.3%	£6,038m	£0,454m
Wotton Bassett	£3,911m	£0,915m	£4,175m	£1,403m	-14.1%	£4,209m	£0,978m
Wotton Bassett	£5,341m	£1,919m	£5,356m	£0,912m	-0.5%	£5,748m	£0,879m
Wotton Bassett	£5,293m	-	£5,287m	£0,596m	-11.9%	£5,697m	£0,596m

TABLE 5

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outturn and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budgeting)

Authority	Spendings at target					Spendings 5% above 1981/82		
	1981/82 revised budget	1981/82 grant after holdback	1982/83 budget	Grant	Rate increase	1982/83 revised spend	Grant	Rate
	Col 1	Col 2	Col 3	Col 4	Col 5	Col 6	Col 7	Col 8
HUMBERSIDE								
Beverly	£3.435m	£1.557m	£3.601m	£1.822m	-12.2%	£3.697m	£1.752m	
Boothferry	£3.517m	£1.947m	£3.527m	£2.212m	-17.6%	£3.765m	£1.752m	
Cleethorpes	£4.207m	£1.834m	£4.219m	£1.849m	-7.9%	£4.528m	£2.246m	
Glanford	£3.416m	£1.016m	£3.425m	£1.751m	-29.9%	£3.676m	£1.772m	
Great Grimsby	£4.711m	£2.806m	£4.815m	£2.742m	-8.3%	£5.070m	£2.826m	
Holderness	£1.628m	£0.906m	£1.708m	£1.001m	-11.8%	£1.752m	£0.970m	
Kinston upon Hull	£18.497m	£10.320m	£18.548m	£12.297m	-28.0%	£19.907m	£12.456m	
East Yorkshire	£3.711m	£2.162m	£3.775m	£2.314m	-12.6%	£3.994m	£2.342m	
Scunthorpe	£5.747m	£2.154m	£5.763m	£2.803m	-21.3%	£6.185m	£2.882m	
ISLE OF WIGHT								
Medina	£2.971m	£1.528m	£3.036m	£1.425m	-1.7%	£3.196m	£1.461m	
South Wight	£2.588m	£1.270m	£2.595m	£1.180m	-9.6%	£2.785m	£1.148m	
KENT								
Ashford	£3.619m	£1.890m	£3.863m	£1.569m	16.1%	£3.895m	£1.562m	
Canterbury	£6.065m	£3.215m	£6.188m	£3.077m	-16.7%	£6.527m	£3.052m	
Dartford	£5.326m	£2.707m	£5.341m	£2.420m	-6.9%	£5.732m	£2.344m	
Dover	£5.314m	£3.505m	£5.361m	£2.782m	4.1%	£5.719m	£2.762m	
Billingsham	£2.228m	£1.548m	£2.402m	£1.683m	-29.0%	£2.399m	£1.692m	
Gravesend	£4.245m	£2.198m	£4.419m	£1.950m	-0.8%	£4.565m	£1.925m	
Maidstone	£5.522m	£2.902m	£5.894m	£2.597m	8.8%	£5.943m	£2.552m	
Rochester upon Medway	£6.683m	£3.592m	£7.104m	£2.925m	26.9%	£7.193m	£2.968m	
Sevenoaks	£4.962m	£1.986m	£5.297m	£2.248m	-4.2%	£5.340m	£2.222m	
Sherway	£4.849m	£2.378m	£4.926m	£2.261m	-5.5%	£5.219m	£2.182m	
Swale	£4.582m	£2.966m	£4.940m	£2.588m	7.2%	£4.931m	£2.592m	
Thanet	£8.356m	£4.719m	£8.379m	£4.050m	15.5%	£8.993m	£4.352m	
Tonbridge and Malling	£4.429m	£1.407m	£4.433m	£1.532m	-5.4%	£4.767m	£1.493m	
Tunbridge Wells	£3.825m	£1.798m	£4.083m	£1.926m	-13.5%	£4.117m	£1.981m	
LANCASHIRE								
Blackburn	£12.313m	£7.715m	£12.347m	£8.201m	-12.2%	£13.252m	£8.332m	
Blackpool	£9.232m	£5.268m	£9.320m	£5.101m	-9.2%	£9.936m	£4.442m	
Burnley	£7.404m	£4.437m	£7.421m	£4.349m	-3.3%	£7.964m	£4.362m	
Chorley	£3.228m	£2.163m	£3.238m	£1.925m	-15.6%	£3.474m	£1.913m	
Fylde	£3.127m	£1.575m	£3.338m	£1.533m	-8.0%	£3.365m	£1.523m	
Hyndburn	£4.970m	£3.315m	£4.994m	£3.163m	1.5%	£5.249m	£3.892m	
Lancaster	£7.005m	£3.931m	£7.057m	£3.863m	-11.2%	£7.539m	£4.719m	
Pendle	£5.341m	£3.824m	£5.356m	£3.603m	-3.1%	£5.748m	£4.362m	
Preston	£7.207m	£4.237m	£7.699m	£4.390m	-7.6%	£7.757m	£4.250m	
Ribble Valley	£2.360m	£1.316m	£2.386m	£1.237m	2.0%	£4.599m	£1.892m	
Rossendale	£4.236m	£2.761m	£4.248m	£2.480m	2.2%	£3.567m	£2.441m	
South Ribble	£3.314m	£1.999m	£3.543m	£1.904m	3.2%	£3.800m	£2.810m	
West Lancashire	£4.720m	£2.569m	£5.036m	£2.465m	13.3%	£4.562m	£2.810m	
Wre	£4.239m	£2.394m	£4.507m	£2.842m	-26.0%			

TABLE 5

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outturn and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budgeting)

Authority	Spendings at target					Spendings 5% above 1981/82		
	1981/82 revised budget	1981/82 grant after holdback	1982/83 budget	Grant	Rate increase	1982/83 revised spend	Grant	Rate
	Col 1	Col 2	Col 3	Col 4	Col 5	Col 6	Col 7	Col 8
LEICESTERSHIRE								
Leicester	£2.244m	£0.927m	£2.420m	£0.991m	0.4%	£2.415m	£0.999m	-0.5%
Leicestershire	£4.443m	£1.875m	£4.776m	£1.765m	8.8%	£4.782m	£1.763m	9.0%
Leicestershire	£2.051m	£0.991m	£2.136m	£0.941m	7.2%	£2.207m	£0.782m	17.9%
Leicestershire	£2.509m	£1.083m	£2.668m	£1.014m	-1.6%	£2.700m	£0.972m	2.7%
Leicestershire	£19.235m	£9.231m	£19.289m	£9.860m	-17.6%	£20.702m	£9.974m	-1.6%
Leicestershire	£1.491m	£0.627m	£1.522m	£0.606m	1.7%	£1.655m	£0.445m	13.6%
Leicestershire	£3.005m	£1.044m	£3.070m	£1.141m	-4.6%	£3.234m	£1.080m	6.5%
Leicestershire	£1.398m	£0.502m	£1.507m	£0.609m	-14.2%	£1.555m	£0.616m	-15.1%
Leicestershire	£0.868m	£0.331m	£0.913m	£0.378m	-12.1%	£0.934m	£0.346m	-4.6%
LEICESTERSHIRE								
Leicester	£1.964m	£1.004m	£2.118m	£1.266m	-24.0%	£2.114m	£1.271m	-24.9%
Leicestershire	£4.180m	£2.292m	£4.366m	£2.143m	7.2%	£4.495m	£2.061m	17.5%
Leicestershire	£4.027m	£1.528m	£4.095m	£1.909m	-14.3%	£4.334m	£1.857m	-2.9%
Leicestershire	£2.388m	£1.531m	£2.564m	£1.539m	5.4%	£2.570m	£1.337m	6.1%
Leicestershire	£2.814m	£1.915m	£2.946m	£1.793m	17.5%	£3.025m	£1.757m	29.3%
Leicestershire	£3.482m	£1.735m	£3.717m	£1.791m	-4.0%	£3.748m	£1.768m	-1.4%
Leicestershire	£2.987m	£1.839m	£3.019m	£1.581m	9.2%	£3.215m	£1.586m	23.6%
LEICESTERSHIRE								
Leicester	£2.763m	£1.538m	£2.979m	£1.419m	4.8%	£2.974m	£1.428m	3.8%
Leicestershire	£2.689m	£1.293m	£2.897m	£1.376m	-8.1%	£2.894m	£1.384m	-9.0%
Leicestershire	£5.107m	£1.981m	£5.121m	£1.849m	-7.3%	£5.496m	£1.719m	7.0%
Leicestershire	£1.727m	£3.433m	£1.726m	£3.216m	2.9%	£3.461m	£1.705m	5.1%
Leicestershire	£9.079m	£3.303m	£9.104m	£3.204m	-5.3%	£9.771m	£2.435m	12.0%
Leicestershire	£2.616m	£1.499m	£2.821m	£1.611m	11.6%	£2.815m	£1.479m	10.5%
Leicestershire	£5.278m	£2.620m	£5.634m	£2.454m	6.3%	£5.680m	£2.417m	9.1%
LEICESTERSHIRE								
Leicester	£2.765m	£0.271m	£2.873m	£1.663m	-43.9%	£2.976m	£1.601m	-36.3%
Leicestershire	£1.781m	£0.344m	£1.901m	£0.513m	-10.8%	£1.917m	£0.491m	-8.4%
Leicestershire	£1.635m	£0.720m	£1.763m	£0.965m	-22.8%	£1.971m	£0.971m	-23.6%
Leicestershire	£3.423m	£2.862m	£3.504m	£1.867m	26.2%	£3.684m	£1.844m	41.8%
Leicestershire	£9.407m	£4.560m	£10.041m	£4.058m	10.0%	£10.124m	£3.987m	12.3%
Leicestershire	£1.826m	£1.695m	£1.966m	£0.927m	-17.5%	£1.965m	£0.932m	-18.1%
Leicestershire	£2.497m	£1.254m	£2.570m	£1.146m	-5.5%	£2.687m	£1.090m	6.0%
LEICESTERSHIRE								
Leicester	£1.434m	£0.953m	£1.531m	£0.906m	15.0%	£1.543m	£0.903m	17.5%
Leicestershire	£0.956m	£0.479m	£1.031m	£0.575m	-32.7%	£1.025m	£0.577m	-33.3%
Leicestershire	£4.801m	£3.133m	£4.825m	£3.214m	-5.3%	£5.167m	£3.280m	10.5%
Leicestershire	£1.735m	£0.827m	£1.735m	£0.812m	-1.9%	£1.867m	£0.791m	2.2%
Leicestershire	£2.434m	£1.567m	£2.475m	£1.202m	16.2%	£2.620m	£1.194m	30.1%
Leicestershire	£1.654m	£4.541m	£1.469m	£1.469m	3.0%	£4.874m	£1.411m	16.2%

TABLE 5

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outturn and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budsetting)

Authority	Spending at target					****Spending 5% above 1981/82 revised budget	
	1981/82 revised budget	1981/82 grant after holdback	1982/83 budget	Grant	Rate increase	1982/83 revised budget	Grant
	Col 1	Col 2	Col 3	Col 4	Col 5	Col 6	Col 7
NORTH YORKSHIRE							
Craven	£2.054m	£1.169m	£2.193m	£1.167m	-2.5%	£2.211m	£1.167m
Hambleton	£2.398m	£1.065m	£2.579m	£1.261m	-6.2%	£2.581m	£1.261m
Harrogate	£8.294m	£3.408m	£8.317m	£3.375m	2.3%	£8.326m	£3.375m
Richmondshire	£2.008m	£1.136m	£2.040m	£1.094m	3.7%	£2.052m	£1.094m
Redale	£2.596m	£1.443m	£2.788m	£1.565m	-16.3%	£2.794m	£1.565m
Scarborough	£5.050m	£2.853m	£3.391m	£2.966m	-8.6%	£3.400m	£2.966m
Selby	£3.159m	£0.976m	£3.372m	£0.935m	-5.2%	£3.400m	£0.935m
York	£5.041m	£2.789m	£5.381m	£3.369m	-12.3%	£5.425m	£3.369m
NOTTINGHAMSHIRE							
Ashfield	£4.627m	£2.663m	£4.655m	£2.437m	7.5%	£4.980m	£2.437m
Bassellaw	£5.686m	£1.293m	£5.702m	£0.853m	-1.8%	£6.120m	£0.853m
Broxtope	£4.042m	£2.294m	£4.315m	£2.091m	6.2%	£4.350m	£2.091m
Gedins	£3.706m	£1.697m	£3.956m	£2.002m	-13.9%	£3.989m	£2.002m
Mansfield	£5.659m	£3.568m	£5.675m	£3.482m	8.0%	£6.090m	£3.568m
Newark	£3.877m	£2.096m	£4.138m	£1.948m	-4.6%	£4.173m	£1.948m
Nottingham	£16.777m	£7.700m	£17.909m	£8.646m	-14.4%	£18.056m	£8.646m
Rushcliffe	£3.816m	£1.137m	£3.912m	£1.070m	-5.3%	£4.107m	£1.070m
OXFORDSHIRE							
Cherwell	£3.482m	£1.315m	£3.750m	£1.310m	-10.7%	£3.748m	£1.310m
Oxford	£5.306m	£2.750m	£5.720m	£2.497m	5.1%	£5.711m	£2.510m
South Oxfordshire	£4.114m	£1.584m	£4.436m	£1.587m	-4.0%	£4.428m	£1.604m
Valley of White Horse	£2.862m	£0.368m	£3.087m	£0.438m	-5.8%	£3.081m	£0.456m
West Oxfordshire	£2.277m	£1.059m	£2.455m	£1.023m	-8.9%	£2.451m	£1.023m
SHROPSHIRE							
Bridgnorth	£1.499m	£0.570m	£1.610m	£0.662m	-11.8%	£1.613m	£0.662m
North Shropshire	£1.698m	£0.900m	£1.813m	£0.997m	-6.1%	£1.827m	£0.997m
Osvestry	£1.122m	£0.612m	£1.198m	£0.714m	-11.2%	£1.208m	£0.714m
Shrewsbury and Atcham	£3.212m	£1.042m	£3.422m	£1.290m	-5.7%	£3.458m	£1.290m
South Shropshire	£1.366m	£0.808m	£1.458m	£0.819m	-5.2%	£1.470m	£0.819m
The Wrekin	£7.067m	£2.932m	£7.068m	£2.806m	-4.5%	£7.606m	£2.806m
SOMERSET							
Mendips	£2.523m	£1.269m	£2.720m	£1.371m	-7.0%	£2.715m	£1.371m
Sedgemoor	£3.382m	£1.531m	£3.634m	£1.769m	-9.2%	£3.640m	£1.769m
Taunton Deane	£3.409m	£1.781m	£3.639m	£1.825m	-0.5%	£3.669m	£1.825m
West Somerset	£0.930m	£0.634m	£1.003m	£0.465m	12.1%	£1.001m	£0.465m
Yeovil	£3.588m	£1.690m	£3.869m	£2.063m	-29.6%	£3.862m	£2.063m

TABLE 5

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outturn and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budsetting)

Authority	Spending at target					****Spending 5% above 1981/82 revised budget		
	1981/82 revised budget	1981/82 grant after holdback	1982/83 budget	Grant	Rate increase	1982/83 revised budget	Grant	Rate increase
	Col 1	Col 2	Col 3	Col 4	Col 5	Col 6	Col 7	Col 8
WILTSHIRE								
Chippenham	£3.487m	£1.829m	£3.497m	£1.597m	5.1%	£3.753m	£1.550m	21.9%
Devon	£3.243m	£1.197m	£3.497m	£1.749m	-30.2%	£3.490m	£1.760m	-30.3%
Marlborough	£2.596m	£0.635m	£2.785m	£0.849m	-7.1%	£2.794m	£0.840m	-6.2%
Salisbury	£5.244m	£2.313m	£5.347m	£2.522m	-21.0%	£5.444m	£2.494m	-11.9%
Wiltshire-Lyme	£2.598m	£0.989m	£2.801m	£1.275m	-26.4%	£2.796m	£1.255m	-27.1%
Wiltshire	£3.724m	£1.402m	£3.984m	£1.610m	-22.6%	£4.008m	£1.589m	-21.0%
Wiltshire Moorlands	£11.557m	£1.209m	£2.934m	£1.511m	-19.1%	£2.928m	£1.518m	-19.6%
Wiltshire-Prent	£11.557m	£5.690m	£6.496m	£1.402m	-16.1%	£12.438m	£6.431m	-13.7%
Wiltshire	£3.115m	£1.919m	£3.130m	£1.402m	33.3%	£3.256m	£1.371m	82.9%
GLoucestershire								
Gloucester	£2.773m	£1.517m	£2.960m	£1.332m	-12.5%	£2.984m	£1.312m	-10.1%
Gloucestershire	£1.992m	£0.674m	£2.143m	£1.097m	-19.6%	£2.144m	£1.096m	-19.6%
Gloucestershire	£7.187m	£2.218m	£7.207m	£2.738m	-15.4%	£7.735m	£2.468m	-0.5%
Gloucestershire	£2.417m	£1.201m	£2.564m	£1.237m	-9.9%	£2.601m	£1.266m	-5.4%
Gloucestershire	£2.779m	£1.019m	£2.996m	£1.266m	-20.5%	£2.991m	£1.276m	-21.3%
Gloucestershire	£3.366m	£1.570m	£3.622m	£1.595m	-15.9%	£3.622m	£1.598m	-16.0%
Gloucestershire	£4.598m	£2.865m	£4.746m	£2.882m	5.7%	£4.949m	£2.856m	17.9%
GLoucestershire								
Gloucestershire	£4.793m	£1.283m	£5.116m	£0.530m	14.5%	£5.158m	£0.458m	17.4%
Gloucestershire	£3.309m	£1.387m	£3.389m	£0.853m	23.3%	£3.561m	£0.749m	37.0%
Gloucestershire	£4.314m	£1.294m	£4.605m	£0.890m	3.8%	£4.643m	£0.822m	6.8%
Gloucestershire	£2.658m	£0.908m	£2.840m	£0.630m	0.4%	£2.861m	£0.599m	2.7%
Gloucestershire	£4.335m	£1.115m	£4.627m	£1.053m	-3.1%	£4.666m	£1.000m	-0.6%
Gloucestershire	£2.620m	£1.106m	£2.797m	£0.638m	27.0%	£2.820m	£0.626m	30.3%
Gloucestershire	£3.655m	£0.685m	£3.916m	-	-22.6%	£3.934m	-	23.2%
Gloucestershire	£2.690m	£0.487m	£2.871m	£0.683m	-16.2%	£2.895m	£0.646m	-13.8%
Gloucestershire	£2.342m	£0.814m	£2.505m	£0.836m	-3.8%	£2.521m	£0.819m	-1.9%
Gloucestershire	£4.429m	£1.393m	£4.728m	£1.124m	12.9%	£4.767m	£1.080m	15.3%
Gloucestershire	£3.497m	£1.659m	£3.733m	£1.412m	13.8%	£3.764m	£1.367m	17.5%
GLoucestershire								
Gloucestershire	£2.549m	£1.072m	£2.612m	£0.888m	-15.8%	£2.743m	£0.827m	-6.4%
Gloucestershire	£7.253m	£3.336m	£7.273m	£2.826m	2.4%	£7.806m	£2.768m	16.0%
Gloucestershire	£3.453m	£1.286m	£3.686m	£1.342m	-2.6%	£3.716m	£1.312m	-0.1%
Gloucestershire	£4.089m	£0.399m	£4.330m	£1.252m	-29.9%	£4.401m	£1.167m	-26.3%
Gloucestershire	£4.428m	£1.842m	£4.675m	£1.656m	-8.3%	£4.766m	£1.529m	-1.0%

TABLE 5

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outset and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budsetting)

Authority	Spending at target					Spending 5% above 1981/82		Spending 5% above 1981/82 with 2.5% prudential allowance	
	1981/82 revised budget	1981/82 grant after holdback	1982/83 budget	Grant	Rate increase	1982/83 revised budget	Grant	Rate increase	
	Col 1	Col 2	Col 3	Col 4	Col 5	Col 6	Col 7	Col 8	
WEST SUSSEX									
Adur	£3,388m	£0,928m	£3,397m	£0,956m	-6.1%	£3,646m	£1,054m		
Arun	£5,609m	£3,354m	£5,987m	£2,620m	23.3%	£6,037m	£2,593m		
Chichester	£3,813m	£1,990m	£4,048m	£1,885m	-1.6%	£4,104m	£1,828m		
Crawley	£5,390m	-	£5,405m	-	-7.7%	£5,801m	£1,828m		
Horsham	£3,252m	£1,467m	£3,506m	£1,449m	-6.3%	£3,500m	£1,461m		
Mid Sussex	£4,382m	£1,815m	£4,678m	£1,622m	-1.5%	£4,716m	£1,703m		
Worthing	£5,324m	£2,386m	£5,683m	£2,767m	-17.2%	£5,730m	£2,728m		
WILTSHIRE									
Kennet	£2,405m	£1,156m	£2,567m	£1,467m	-17.8%	£2,588m	£1,453m		
North Wiltshire	£3,363m	£1,953m	£3,572m	£1,898m	4.0%	£3,619m	£1,869m		
Salisbury	£3,407m	£1,467m	£3,642m	£1,505m	4.2%	£3,667m	£1,482m		
Thamesdown	£11,861m	£4,338m	£11,894m	£3,231m	8.7%	£12,765m	£3,808m		
West Wiltshire	£2,848m	£1,621m	£2,988m	£1,500m	12.8%	£3,065m	£1,428m		

TABLE 6

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outset and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budsetting)

Authority	Spending at target					Spending 5% above 1981/82		Spending 5% above 1981/82 with 2.5% prudential allowance	
	1981/82 revised budget	1981/82 grant after holdback	1982/83 budget	Grant	Rate increase	1982/83 revised budget	Grant	Rate increase	
	Col 1	Col 2	Col 3	Col 4	Col 5	Col 6	Col 7	Col 8	
West Sussex									
	£18,426,922m	£8,053,665m	£19,003,753m	£8,211,656m	3.6%	£19,678,540m	£7,690,202m	15.0%	
West Midlands									
West Midlands districts	£1,323,223m	£587,349m	£1,376,065m	£577,421m	8.4%	£1,424,122m	£553,682m	2.4%	
West Midlands counties	£8,291,775m	£3,903,935m	£8,732,294m	£3,843,062m	-4.9%	£8,923,351m	£3,742,742m	14.9%	
West Midlands districts	£3,753,958m	£2,025,123m	£3,892,206m	£2,007,992m	5.8%	£4,040,198m	£1,966,365m	16.4%	
West Midlands counties	£1,066,911m	£458,301m	£990,706m	£478,708m	-0.2%	£1,140,945m	£470,330m	30.6%	
West Midlands total	£9,614,998m	£4,491,294m	£10,108,359m	£4,480,503m	6.2%	£10,347,673m	£4,306,424m	12.9%	
West Midlands total	£4,820,369m	£2,483,424m	£4,882,912m	£2,486,701m	6.2%	£5,181,148m	£2,436,395m	19.6%	
West Yorkshire									
West Yorkshire	£113,451m	£-60,336m	£116,603m	£-63,252m	-5.9%	£122,101m	£-63,352m	-3.0%	
West Yorkshire	£738,895m	£344,739m	£739,125m	£371,268m	-10.2%	£795,222m	£353,081m	7.5%	
West Yorkshire	£1,549,795m	£284,403m	£1,553,552m	£307,916m	-4.2%	£1,667,957m	£329,729m	6.0%	
West Yorkshire	£1,601,358m	£681,463m	£1,661,251m	£702,279m	0.2%	£1,723,676m	£666,323m	10.5%	
West Yorkshire	£838,638m	£112,545m	£796,531m	£293,856m	-3.2%	£851,944m	£189,393m	46.5%	
West Yorkshire total	£3,989,995m	£1,078,411m	£4,011,344m	£1,303,753m	-2.5%	£4,243,376m	£1,145,367m	15.1%	
West Yorkshire	£1,657,544m	£820,324m	£1,684,815m	£825,212m	-0.1%	£1,783,918m	£798,484m	15.9%	
West Yorkshire	£1,230,078m	£695,262m	£1,276,059m	£704,780m	2.0%	£1,323,371m	£694,746m	12.3%	
West Yorkshire	£2,887,622m	£1,515,586m	£2,960,374m	£1,539,992m	0.7%	£3,107,789m	£1,493,230m	14.5%	

TABLE 6
Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outcome and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budgetings)

Authority	Spendings at target					Spendings 5% above 1981/82				
	1981/82 revised budget Col 1	1981/82 grant after holdback Col 2	1982/83 budget Col 3	Grant Col 4	Rate increase Col 5	1982/83 spend Col 6	Grant Col 7	Rate increase Col 8	Grant Col 9	Rate increase Col 10
	****Spendings 5% above 1981/82****									
ISLES OF SCILLY	£1.067m	£0.566m	£1.130m	£0.901m	-51.0%	£1.140m	£0.956m			
SHIRE COUNTIES										
Avon	£274.297m	£123.897m	£282.945m	£125.960m	9.6%	£295.212m	£121.894m			
Bedfordshire	£167.165m	£56.285m	£174.910m	£58.652m	3.2%	£179.911m	£53.302m			
Berkshire	£196.890m	£55.115m	£205.891m	£51.448m	9.6%	£211.903m	£43.590m			
Buckinghamshire	£164.735m	£47.243m	£172.942m	£53.141m	-1.6%	£177.296m	£47.459m			
Cambridgeshire	£166.748m	£65.966m	£175.070m	£69.625m	5.0%	£179.463m	£67.549m			
Cheshire	£205.431m	£128.185m	£215.114m	£127.634m	9.2%	£228.720m	£121.036m			
Cleveland	£211.995m	£103.576m	£213.927m	£105.915m	9.7%	£228.160m	£103.819m			
Cornwall	£116.271m	£68.245m	£124.194m	£68.323m	6.1%	£125.137m	£67.325m			
Cumbria	£150.627m	£90.660m	£156.003m	£92.753m	11.5%	£162.112m	£92.822m			
Derbyshire	£262.000m	£146.686m	£277.319m	£145.693m	5.4%	£281.970m	£143.079m			
Devon	£256.987m	£138.852m	£275.459m	£137.361m	4.7%	£276.582m	£136.849m			
Dorset	£170.753m	£69.235m	£179.617m	£64.128m	16.0%	£183.773m	£60.359m			
Durham	£193.315m	£119.014m	£199.057m	£121.433m	7.8%	£208.055m	£122.444m			
East Sussex	£179.946m	£67.326m	£190.225m	£63.473m	9.7%	£193.667m	£60.109m			
Essex	£401.448m	£155.057m	£427.575m	£142.981m	5.6%	£432.056m	£137.064m			
Gloucestershire	£143.070m	£70.048m	£151.392m	£68.731m	6.3%	£153.979m	£67.253m			
Hampshire	£425.639m	£185.208m	£451.677m	£174.742m	13.5%	£458.094m	£163.253m			
Hereford and Worcester	£129.609m	£86.112m	£190.396m	£80.760m	10.4%	£193.304m	£78.252m			
Hertfordshire	£284.856m	£90.277m	£303.928m	£73.357m	10.3%	£306.618m	£70.803m			
Humberstone	£277.931m	£161.491m	£285.057m	£162.791m	12.3%	£299.122m	£162.079m			
Isle of Wight	£33.950m	£17.711m	£35.824m	£18.725m	7.4%	£36.539m	£18.292m			
Kent	£407.884m	£208.483m	£436.192m	£201.593m	7.7%	£438.965m	£199.794m			
Lancashire	£416.250m	£253.882m	£439.479m	£262.893m	10.6%	£447.985m	£260.393m			
Leicestershire	£244.329m	£126.156m	£258.642m	£123.686m	8.5%	£262.959m	£120.349m			
Lincolnshire	£158.133m	£92.259m	£168.240m	£91.806m	7.7%	£170.191m	£90.363m			
Norfolk	£189.070m	£91.796m	£201.102m	£89.903m	10.5%	£203.487m	£88.244m			
Northamptonshire	£155.050m	£73.873m	£161.757m	£76.561m	7.7%	£166.872m	£73.813m			
Northumberland	£94.593m	£54.517m	£99.303m	£54.781m	9.6%	£101.746m	£49.303m			
Nottinghamshire	£196.410m	£109.692m	£207.091m	£108.778m	8.2%	£211.386m	£106.644m			
Oxfordshire	£213.440m	£165.978m	£221.180m	£163.569m	16.3%	£236.866m	£160.343m			
Shropshire	£152.689m	£57.231m	£161.412m	£51.342m	10.1%	£164.332m	£49.303m			
Somerset	£108.574m	£62.122m	£115.885m	£62.532m	4.0%	£129.351m	£61.838m			
Staffordshire	£120.373m	£62.545m	£127.671m	£62.975m	6.4%	£128.152m	£62.071m			
Suffolk	£304.903m	£151.686m	£321.924m	£155.760m	8.0%	£317.449m	£156.223m			
Surrey	£163.019m	£72.726m	£173.665m	£73.733m	3.3%	£177.137m	£72.323m			
Warwickshire	£257.502m	£70.401m	£273.629m	£60.786m	7.9%	£283.576m	£62.789m			
West Sussex	£133.404m	£60.779m	£143.176m	£57.565m	6.0%	£147.407m	£57.289m			
Wiltshire	£164.828m	£60.568m	£175.615m	£54.711m	5.3%	£177.407m	£51.633m			
	£147.666m	£83.051m	£157.307m	£82.462m	8.5%	£158.266m	£81.633m			

TABLE 6
Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outcome and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budgetings)

Authority	Spendings at target					Spendings 5% above 1981/82				
	1981/82 revised budget Col 1	1981/82 grant after holdback Col 2	1982/83 budget Col 3	Grant Col 4	Rate increase Col 5	1982/83 spend Col 6	Grant Col 7	Rate increase Col 8	Grant Col 9	Rate increase Col 10
	****Spendings 5% above 1981/82****									
Greater London	£54.575m	£-38.707m	£54.274m	£-40.642m	-5.4%	£58.726m	£-40.642m	-1.0%		
Greater London	£99.377m	£11.378m	£98.029m	£11.981m	-6.6%	£106.954m	£11.981m	2.1%		
Greater London	£49.889m	£29.359m	£49.614m	£27.656m	6.6%	£53.693m	£27.510m	27.1%		
Greater London	£65.129m	£39.164m	£64.770m	£43.167m	-18.4%	£70.955m	£42.207m	5.4%		
Greater London	£51.015m	£31.356m	£50.794m	£35.452m	-27.6%	£54.908m	£34.051m	-1.1%		
Greater London	£67.660m	£24.293m	£67.287m	£29.414m	-18.2%	£72.819m	£24.457m	4.5%		
Greater London	£35.587m	£11.804m	£37.377m	£12.137m	1.9%	£38.301m	£9.623m	15.7%		
Greater London	£97.831m	£46.639m	£97.278m	£53.961m	-11.0%	£105.276m	£50.380m	10.6%		
Greater London	£72.036m	£43.463m	£72.265m	£43.807m	-2.2%	£78.207m	£44.325m	16.5%		
Greater London	£85.032m	£40.156m	£84.563m	£44.097m	-15.3%	£91.516m	£40.016m	7.6%		
Greater London	£57.010m	£22.627m	£56.696m	£23.101m	-7.3%	£61.257m	£21.598m	9.7%		
Greater London	£57.699m	£44.504m	£59.712m	£46.493m	-15.2%	£62.099m	£46.375m	0.8%		
Greater London	£58.876m	£-21.629m	£62.363m	£-22.710m	-6.4%	£63.365m	£-22.710m	-5.2%		
Greater London	£57.347m	£23.746m	£57.811m	£21.892m	-2.4%	£61.720m	£20.116m	12.8%		
Greater London	£88.536m	£32.472m	£94.618m	£31.622m	9.2%	£95.287m	£30.838m	11.6%		
Greater London	£73.693m	£39.092m	£76.133m	£39.136m	2.0%	£79.312m	£37.982m	14.0%		
Greater London	£121.121m	£41.836m	£123.151m	£60.088m	-16.6%	£130.356m	£55.115m	-0.5%		
Greater London	£86.169m	£42.471m	£92.088m	£38.489m	11.9%	£92.739m	£37.933m	14.3%		
Greater London	£97.036m	£45.174m	£103.702m	£38.525m	13.5%	£104.435m	£37.794m	16.2%		
Greater London	£102.148m	£45.874m	£109.165m	£49.657m	-1.8%	£109.937m	£48.378m	0.6%		
Greater London	£86.767m	£36.405m	£90.771m	£35.683m	3.1%	£93.323m	£32.224m	12.4%		
Greater London	£109.289m	£48.437m	£109.718m	£53.087m	-9.2%	£117.622m	£52.971m	7.4%		
Greater London	£65.209m	£27.128m	£67.653m	£27.055m	-3.3%	£70.112m	£25.511m	6.6%		
Greater London	£79.991m	£38.215m	£82.550m	£36.501m	5.4%	£86.090m	£34.833m	17.7%		
Greater London	£81.391m	£21.354m	£84.267m	£16.407m	6.3%	£87.597m	£14.307m	10.5%		
Greater London	£81.457m	£31.776m	£81.776m	£20.302m	-6.2%	£87.668m	£12.664m	18.4%		
Greater London	£42.778m	£15.847m	£44.876m	£13.943m	9.7%	£46.040m	£22.087m	19.0%		
Greater London	£53.685m	£25.833m	£57.373m	£23.426m	16.5%	£57.778m	£12.059m	18.4%		
Greater London	£110.658m	£53.526m	£111.519m	£66.512m	-19.1%	£119.096m	£53.820m	9.0%		
Greater London	£68.252m	£77.949m	£72.949m	£64.170m	6.6%	£73.493m	£15.070m	24.5%		
Greater London	£47.544m	£20.609m	£49.594m	£16.712m	14.3%	£51.169m	£23.244m	11.8%		
Greater London	£50.399m	£24.345m	£53.087m	£24.196m	4.2%	£54.242m	£23.244m	11.8%		
Greater London	£98.085m	£46.485m	£98.404m	£52.872m	-8.8%	£105.564m	£51.718m	7.8%		

TABLE 6

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at autumn and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budgetings)

Authority	Spendings at target					++++Spendings 5% above 1981/82 revised budget	
	1981/82 revised budget	1981/82 grant after holdback	1982/83 budget	Grant	Rate increase	1982/83 spend	Grant
	Col 1	Col 2	Col 3	Col 4	Col 5	Col 6	Col 7
GREATER MANCHESTER							
Bolton	£78,291m	£48,860m	£83,002m	£19,667m	4.0%	£84,261m	£19,102m
Bury	£52,953m	£29,141m	£54,839m	£9,394m	2.9%	£56,991m	£9,052m
Manchester	£235,951m	£109,582m	£236,236m	£98,936m	3.9%	£253,942m	£96,962m
Oldham	£72,444m	£49,225m	£76,341m	£47,835m	13.0%	£77,968m	£47,991m
Rochdale	£75,858m	£46,507m	£76,072m	£47,001m	1.5%	£81,642m	£47,662m
Salford	£86,298m	£54,768m	£90,101m	£52,666m	16.7%	£92,870m	£52,114m
Stockport	£30,835m	£40,704m	£35,160m	£37,751m	9.9%	£36,999m	£38,312m
Tameside	£71,356m	£45,350m	£74,358m	£45,044m	9.2%	£76,797m	£44,762m
Trafford	£64,108m	£25,221m	£68,326m	£21,915m	14.2%	£68,996m	£21,111m
Wigan	£98,206m	£59,679m	£101,882m	£60,047m	6.4%	£105,694m	£59,782m
MERSEYSIDE							
Knowsley	£63,109m	£37,577m	£65,880m	£39,027m	10.3%	£67,921m	£37,266m
Liverpool	£203,869m	£113,520m	£208,782m	£116,005m	9.4%	£219,414m	£113,762m
St Helens	£63,564m	£34,095m	£65,964m	£34,310m	4.4%	£68,411m	£33,822m
Sutton	£30,764m	£47,501m	£36,611m	£44,619m	18.4%	£36,922m	£44,452m
Mirral	£101,401m	£59,280m	£108,073m	£53,851m	13.2%	£109,133m	£53,261m
SOUTH YORKSHIRE							
Barnsley	£72,837m	£48,021m	£74,284m	£47,085m	8.0%	£78,291m	£47,822m
Doncaster	£97,705m	£57,769m	£100,356m	£56,177m	7.1%	£105,155m	£55,544m
Rotherham	£81,346m	£53,846m	£84,079m	£51,929m	8.5%	£87,549m	£51,962m
Sheffield	£196,344m	£88,273m	£196,581m	£89,472m	-0.9%	£211,315m	£87,141m
TYNE AND WEAR							
Gateshead	£67,799m	£41,962m	£70,311m	£40,723m	9.4%	£72,969m	£40,519m
Newcastle upon Tyne	£113,990m	£43,208m	£114,128m	£39,882m	-1.8%	£122,682m	£38,594m
North Tyneside	£65,446m	£38,912m	£67,458m	£36,717m	5.5%	£70,436m	£36,462m
South Tyneside	£58,441m	£39,405m	£60,349m	£38,466m	10.9%	£62,897m	£38,462m
Sunderland	£97,616m	£61,672m	£99,450m	£61,067m	8.2%	£105,059m	£61,862m
WEST MIDLANDS							
Birmingham	£343,762m	£158,057m	£361,265m	£155,282m	10.0%	£369,974m	£147,104m
Coventry	£103,912m	£52,150m	£109,423m	£56,333m	1.5%	£111,855m	£54,724m
Dudley	£73,320m	£30,702m	£77,452m	£28,922m	4.8%	£78,911m	£27,782m
Sandwell	£103,234m	£36,551m	£106,167m	£40,677m	1.6%	£111,106m	£37,782m
Solihull	£54,661m	£24,024m	£58,641m	£23,897m	6.1%	£58,829m	£23,744m
Walsall	£87,010m	£35,173m	£88,327m	£38,047m	-7.4%	£93,645m	£38,282m
Wolverhampton	£92,536m	£35,507m	£94,617m	£41,932m	-5.9%	£99,592m	£39,582m
WEST YORKSHIRE							
Bradford	£145,355m	£98,997m	£154,920m	£100,261m	9.9%	£156,428m	£100,059m
Calderdale	£59,009m	£40,929m	£61,695m	£40,337m	9.0%	£63,588m	£40,301m
Kirklees	£114,440m	£75,256m	£118,672m	£79,392m	1.4%	£123,166m	£79,682m
Leeds	£202,757m	£112,339m	£214,822m	£111,654m	5.2%	£218,217m	£119,619m
Wakefield	£93,431m	£55,160m	£97,576m	£52,672m	7.5%	£100,555m	£51,816m

TABLE 6

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at autumn and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budgetings)

Authority	Spendings at target					++++Spendings 5% above 1981/82 revised budget	
	1981/82 revised budget	1981/82 grant after holdback	1982/83 budget	Grant	Rate increase	1982/83 spend	Grant
	Col 1	Col 2	Col 3	Col 4	Col 5	Col 6	Col 7
GREATER MANCHESTER							
Bolton	£127,336m	£98,732m	£127,887m	£104,643m	-1.7%	£223,908m	£101,522m
Bury	£157,660m	£68,396m	£140,422m	£68,495m	4.4%	£162,362m	£67,072m
Manchester	£148,166m	£67,192m	£148,541m	£66,485m	5.7%	£159,464m	£67,222m
Oldham	£123,278m	£64,207m	£123,590m	£73,662m	-17.4%	£132,678m	£72,574m
Rochdale	£237,288m	£68,902m	£198,050m	£73,957m	3.7%	£255,381m	£63,942m
Salford	£183,183m	£90,870m	£162,216m	£91,462m	-0.2%	£197,151m	£96,962m
Stockport	£157,660m	£68,396m	£140,422m	£68,495m	4.4%	£162,362m	£67,072m
Tameside	£148,166m	£67,192m	£148,541m	£66,485m	5.7%	£159,464m	£67,222m
Trafford	£123,278m	£64,207m	£123,590m	£73,662m	-17.4%	£132,678m	£72,574m
Wigan	£183,183m	£90,870m	£162,216m	£91,462m	-0.2%	£197,151m	£96,962m
MERSEYSIDE							
Knowsley	£570,144m	£13,323m	£158,204m	£154,247m	-12.5%	£613,617m	£50,246m
Liverpool	£697,453m	-	£697,824m	-	-0.2%	£750,634m	62.2%
St Helens	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sutton	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mirral	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH YORKSHIRE							
Barnsley	£268,494m	£99,222m	£338,327m	£139,311m	1.2%	£338,327m	£139,687m
Doncaster	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rotherham	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sheffield	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TYNE AND WEAR							
Gateshead	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newcastle upon Tyne	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
North Tyneside	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Tyneside	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sunderland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST MIDLANDS							
Birmingham	£1,147,014m	£440,724m	£1,211,855m	£440,724m	1.5%	£1,211,855m	£440,724m
Coventry	£1,147,014m	£440,724m	£1,211,855m	£440,724m	1.5%	£1,211,855m	£440,724m
Dudley	£1,147,014m	£440,724m	£1,211,855m	£440,724m	1.5%	£1,211,855m	£440,724m
Sandwell	£1,147,014m	£440,724m	£1,211,855m	£440,724m	1.5%	£1,211,855m	£440,724m
Solihull	£1,147,014m	£440,724m	£1,211,855m	£440,724m	1.5%	£1,211,855m	£440,724m
Walsall	£1,147,014m	£440,724m	£1,211,855m	£440,724m	1.5%	£1,211,855m	£440,724m
Wolverhampton	£1,147,014m	£440,724m	£1,211,855m	£440,724m	1.5%	£1,211,855m	£440,724m
WEST YORKSHIRE							
Bradford	£1,147,014m	£440,724m	£1,211,855m	£440,724m	1.5%	£1,211,855m	£440,724m
Calderdale	£1,147,014m	£440,724m	£1,211,855m	£440,724m	1.5%	£1,211,855m	£440,724m
Kirklees	£1,147,014m	£440,724m	£1,211,855m	£440,724m	1.5%	£1,211,855m	£440,724m
Leeds	£1,147,014m	£440,724m	£1,211,855m	£440,724m	1.5%	£1,211,855m	£440,724m
Wakefield	£1,147,014m	£440,724m	£1,211,855m	£440,724m	1.5%	£1,211,855m	£440,724m

TABLE 6

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at output and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budgetings)

Authority	Spendings at target					Spendings 5% above 1981/82		Spendings 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance	
	1981/82 revised budget	1981/82 grant after holdback	1982/83 budget	Grant	Rate increase	1982/83 spend	Grant	Rate increase	
	Col 1	Col 2	Col 3	Col 4	Col 5	Col 6	Col 7	Col 8	
AVON									
Bath	£4.122m	£2.991m	£4.413m	£2.674m	-5.0%	£4.436m	£2.661m		
Bristol	£33.401m	£13.599m	£33.493m	£11.797m	9.2%	£33.946m	£11.416m		
Kingswood	£2.568m	£1.657m	£2.704m	£1.568m	-6.8%	£2.784m	£1.528m		
Northavon	£3.558m	£1.537m	£3.726m	£1.218m	-0.1%	£3.822m	£1.067m		
Wansdyke	£2.503m	£1.444m	£2.674m	£1.259m	7.9%	£2.694m	£1.244m		
Woodspring	£6.362m	£2.957m	£6.714m	£2.995m	-0.8%	£6.847m	£2.856m		
BEDFORDSHIRE									
North Bedfordshire	£5.264m	£1.682m	£5.619m	£1.547m	-2.9%	£5.665m	£1.464m		
Luton	£7.806m	£2.856m	£8.332m	£2.052m	6.2%	£8.401m	£1.947m		
Mid Bedfordshire	£2.723m	£0.915m	£2.902m	£0.624m	17.7%	£2.931m	£0.552m		
South Bedfordshire	£4.452m	£1.177m	£4.752m	£0.388m	13.2%	£4.751m	£0.322m		
BERKSHIRE									
Bracknell	£2.978m	£0.401m	£3.137m	£0.665m	-19.9%	£3.205m	£0.552m		
Newbury	£4.483m	£1.990m	£4.724m	£1.563m	3.6%	£4.825m	£1.475m		
Reading	£10.355m	£1.432m	£10.354m	£1.370m	3.9%	£11.145m	£0.822m		
Slough	£5.537m	£1.549m	£5.910m	£0.705m	24.5%	£5.959m	£0.619m		
Windsor and Maidenhead	£4.548m	£1.654m	£4.904m	£1.413m	-4.7%	£4.895m	£1.444m		
Wokingham	£4.498m	£1.937m	£4.801m	£1.447m	21.2%	£4.841m	£1.464m		
BUCKINGHAMSHIRE									
Aylesbury Vale	£3.867m	£1.152m	£4.130m	£1.221m	-18.6%	£4.162m	£1.116m		
South Bucks	£1.890m	£0.280m	£2.038m	-	13.8%	£2.034m	-		
Chiltern	£3.047m	£0.308m	£3.253m	£0.252m	-4.6%	£3.275m	£0.282m		
Milton Keynes	£6.024m	£1.167m	£6.430m	£2.124m	-28.0%	£6.483m	£0.995m		
Wycombe	£3.654m	-	£3.940m	£0.963m	-32.9%	£3.933m	£0.925m		
CAMBRIDGESHIRE									
Cambridge	£4.517m	£1.413m	£4.832m	£1.596m	-12.3%	£4.861m	£1.548m		
East Cambridgeshire	£1.565m	£0.682m	£1.687m	£0.790m	-10.8%	£1.684m	£0.775m		
Fenland	£2.520m	£1.389m	£2.690m	£1.296m	19.1%	£2.712m	£1.283m		
Huntingdon	£4.027m	£1.791m	£4.299m	£1.633m	8.3%	£4.334m	£1.638m		
Peterborough	£8.574m	£2.326m	£8.598m	£1.897m	4.3%	£9.228m	£0.725m		
South Cambridgeshire	£2.225m	£0.688m	£2.399m	£0.709m	-17.3%	£2.395m	£0.725m		
CHESHIRE									
Chester	£6.090m	£1.702m	£6.097m	£1.972m	-8.4%	£6.544m	£1.790m		
Conisley	£2.968m	£0.985m	£3.168m	£1.186m	-16.1%	£3.194m	£1.144m		
Crewe and Nantwich	£5.786m	£3.285m	£5.802m	£2.653m	19.1%	£6.227m	£2.563m		
Ellesmere Port and Neston	£4.064m	£1.008m	£4.211m	£0.977m	-13.5%	£4.374m	£0.795m		
Halton	£6.334m	£2.837m	£6.449m	£2.874m	-16.9%	£6.157m	£2.850m		
Macclesfield	£5.721m	£2.248m	£6.107m	£2.440m	-8.9%	£6.157m	£2.850m		
Vale Royal	£4.834m	£1.875m	£5.160m	£1.732m	-0.3%	£5.203m	£1.688m		
Warrington	£8.101m	£2.652m	£8.647m	£3.052m	-12.8%	£8.719m	£2.895m		

TABLE 6

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at output and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budgetings)

Authority	Spendings at target					Spendings 5% above 1981/82		Spendings 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance	
	1981/82 revised budget	1981/82 grant after holdback	1982/83 budget	Grant	Rate increase	1982/83 spend	Grant	Rate increase	
	Col 1	Col 2	Col 3	Col 4	Col 5	Col 6	Col 7	Col 8	
AVON									
Bath	£5.872m	£3.462m	£5.888m	£3.275m	-4.8%	£6.320m	£3.268m	11.2%	
Bristol	£12.632m	£3.179m	£12.667m	£3.655m	-6.2%	£13.595m	£3.320m	6.9%	
Kingswood	£13.923m	£8.189m	£13.961m	£7.986m	-12.6%	£14.985m	£7.988m	2.4%	
Northavon	£10.813m	£3.008m	£10.843m	£2.366m	9.1%	£11.637m	£1.980m	24.3%	
Wansdyke									
Woodspring									
BEDFORDSHIRE									
North Bedfordshire	£2.513m	£1.461m	£2.682m	£1.579m	-18.6%	£2.705m	£1.570m	-16.3%	
Luton	£3.754m	£2.194m	£3.821m	£1.856m	11.1%	£4.040m	£1.875m	24.2%	
Mid Bedfordshire	£2.931m	£1.914m	£2.931m	£1.789m	18.0%	£3.154m	£1.796m	16.9%	
South Bedfordshire	£2.315m	£1.467m	£2.396m	£1.434m	-26.7%	£2.492m	£1.402m	-16.9%	
	£2.816m	£1.909m	£2.868m	£1.546m	15.4%	£3.031m	£1.546m	29.7%	
	£2.813m	£1.413m	£3.008m	£1.330m	2.1%	£3.027m	£1.314m	4.3%	
BERKSHIRE									
Bracknell	£4.675m	£2.801m	£4.990m	£2.918m	2.9%	£5.031m	£2.911m	5.3%	
Newbury	£3.983m	£2.639m	£4.034m	£2.355m	3.6%	£4.287m	£2.596m	18.3%	
Reading	£5.795m	£2.293m	£5.811m	£2.778m	-13.2%	£6.237m	£2.738m	-0.1%	
Slough	£4.096m	£2.140m	£4.107m	£2.263m	-13.5%	£4.408m	£2.270m	0.3%	
Windsor and Maidenhead	£1.537m	£0.932m	£1.631m	£0.903m	14.0%	£1.654m	£0.890m	19.6%	
Wokingham	£4.381m	£2.586m	£4.676m	£2.539m	13.3%	£4.715m	£2.523m	16.1%	
BUCKINGHAMSHIRE									
Aylesbury Vale	£4.388m	£2.717m	£4.684m	£2.310m	27.8%	£4.723m	£2.291m	30.9%	
South Bucks	£3.402m	£2.124m	£3.411m	£1.940m	11.6%	£3.661m	£1.974m	27.5%	
Chiltern	£5.610m	£2.487m	£5.625m	£2.324m	-8.2%	£6.038m	£2.257m	5.1%	
Milton Keynes	£11.145m	£4.213m	£11.897m	£5.257m	-27.8%	£11.995m	£5.293m	-26.0%	
Wycombe	£4.561m	£2.519m	£4.865m	£2.576m	7.3%	£4.903m	£2.558m	10.1%	
CAMBRIDGESHIRE									
Cambridge	£3.875m	£1.967m	£3.877m	£1.768m	11.8%	£4.170m	£1.733m	28.4%	
East Cambridgeshire	£4.454m	£2.335m	£4.466m	£2.347m	-5.2%	£4.794m	£2.377m	8.1%	
Fenland	£1.984m	£0.674m	£2.138m	£0.663m	-0.8%	£2.135m	£0.673m	-1.5%	
Huntingdon	£2.619m	£1.647m	£2.752m	£1.468m	5.2%	£2.815m	£1.431m	13.7%	
Peterborough									
South Cambridgeshire	£4.021m	£2.343m	£4.320m	£2.383m	-10.4%	£4.328m	£2.381m	-10.0%	
	£3.710m	£1.747m	£3.849m	£2.346m	-41.3%	£3.992m	£2.226m	-31.0%	
	£3.327m	£2.161m	£3.422m	£1.833m	5.5%	£3.581m	£1.818m	17.0%	
	£9.515m	£6.612m	£10.259m	£6.631m	-15.3%	£10.241m	£6.658m	-16.3%	
	£2.766m	£1.848m	£2.953m	£1.570m	30.8%	£2.977m	£1.557m	34.3%	
	£4.191m	£2.560m	£4.474m	£2.402m	6.4%	£4.511m	£1.214m	-10.3%	
	£1.837m	£1.039m	£1.977m	£1.123m	-10.1%	£1.977m	£2.212m	2.0%	
	£5.325m	£2.662m	£5.421m	£2.347m	-10.9%	£5.731m	£1.522m	23.0%	
	£2.235m	£1.595m	£2.303m	£1.508m	10.8%	£2.405m	£0.799m	44.7%	
	£1.359m	£1.001m	£1.413m	£0.815m	30.5%	£1.463m	£0.799m	44.7%	

TABLE 6

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at turnout and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budsettings)

Authority	Spending at target					****Spending 5% above 1981/82	
	1981/82 revised budget Col 1	1981/82 grant after holdback Col 2	1982/83 budget Col 3	Grant Col 4	Rate increase Col 5	1982/83 revised budget Col 6	Grant Col 7
DORSET							
Bournemouth	£8,545m	£4,050m	£8,892m	£3,864m	-10.1%	£9,197m	£3,556m
Christchurch	£1,486m	£0,533m	£1,596m	£0,633m	-21.6%	£1,599m	£0,533m
North Dorset	£1,195m	£0,583m	£1,288m	£0,768m	-33.6%	£1,288m	£0,768m
Poole	£5,303m	£2,290m	£5,688m	£2,000m	0.7%	£5,707m	£1,981m
Purbeck	£1,232m	£0,623m	£1,328m	£0,635m	-5.3%	£1,328m	£0,635m
West Dorset	£2,315m	£1,519m	£2,496m	£1,684m	-18.3%	£2,492m	£1,684m
Weymouth and Portland	£2,662m	£1,626m	£2,842m	£1,610m	-3.2%	£2,855m	£1,610m
Wimbourne	£2,069m	£1,086m	£2,231m	£1,035m	-5.4%	£2,227m	£1,044m
DURHAM							
Chester-le-Street	£2,836m	£1,605m	£2,844m	£1,637m	-4.9%	£3,052m	£1,666m
Darlington	£7,759m	£2,915m	£7,780m	£2,713m	-1.4%	£8,351m	£2,594m
Derwentside	£6,021m	£2,795m	£6,038m	£3,446m	-6.7%	£6,480m	£3,570m
Durham	£5,361m	£2,681m	£5,376m	£2,386m	5.0%	£5,770m	£2,375m
Easington	£5,494m	£4,120m	£5,714m	£4,362m	-9.8%	£5,913m	£4,465m
Sedburgh	£4,490m	£4,490m	£4,490m	£3,817m	30.1%	£8,443m	£3,939m
Teesdale	£0,847m	£0,507m	£0,903m	£0,556m	-13.6%	£0,912m	£0,553m
Wear Valley	£4,917m	£2,885m	£4,931m	£2,846m	0.4%	£5,292m	£2,958m
EAST SUSSEX							
Brighton	£11,861m	£5,235m	£12,058m	£5,834m	-19.5%	£12,765m	£5,465m
Eastbourne	£5,496m	£2,196m	£5,511m	£1,642m	-7.9%	£5,915m	£1,380m
Hastings	£4,009m	£2,619m	£4,279m	£2,441m	-7.3%	£4,315m	£2,423m
Hove	£4,191m	£2,407m	£4,509m	£3,085m	-38.1%	£4,511m	£3,085m
Lewes	£3,613m	£1,723m	£3,857m	£1,472m	0.4%	£3,888m	£1,468m
Rother	£3,657m	£1,811m	£3,904m	£1,536m	17.6%	£3,938m	£1,536m
Wealden	£3,746m	£1,701m	£4,021m	£1,958m	-21.7%	£4,032m	£1,953m
ESSEX							
Basildon	£10,709m	£3,326m	£10,738m	£2,890m	0.2%	£11,526m	£2,555m
Braintree	£3,895m	£1,711m	£4,113m	£1,584m	-0.3%	£4,192m	£1,495m
Brentwood	£2,625m	£0,608m	£2,999m	£0,660m	-11.7%	£1,214m	£0,676m
Castle Point	£3,472m	£1,292m	£3,706m	£1,242m	3.2%	£3,737m	£1,259m
Chelmsford	£3,722m	£0,514m	£1,727m	£1,727m	-45.7%	£4,006m	£2,013m
Colchester	£3,892m	£1,448m	£4,182m	£2,014m	-34.0%	£4,189m	£1,036m
Epping Forest	£3,597m	£0,676m	£3,878m	£1,015m	-24.5%	£4,080m	£1,080m
Harlow	£6,085m	£0,065m	£6,102m	£0,454m	-10.9%	£6,549m	£0,478m
Malden	£1,609m	£0,591m	£1,718m	£0,492m	14.7%	£1,732m	£1,066m
Rochford	£2,795m	£1,344m	£2,981m	£1,120m	12.1%	£3,006m	£3,810m
Southend-on-Sea	£9,500m	£4,715m	£10,141m	£4,059m	-3.1%	£10,224m	£2,958m
Tendris	£5,325m	£2,452m	£5,684m	£2,604m	-3.1%	£5,721m	£2,709m
Thurrock	£6,763m	£1,622m	£6,782m	-	20.2%	£1,900m	£1,789m
Uttlesford	£1,840m	£1,070m	£1,984m	£0,728m	14.4%		

TABLE 6

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at turnout and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budsettings)

Authority	Spending at target					****Spending 5% above 1981/82****	
	1981/82 revised budget Col 1	1981/82 grant after holdback Col 2	1982/83 budget Col 3	Grant Col 4	Rate increase Col 5	1982/83 revised budget Col 6	Grant Col 7
GLoucestershire							
Gloucester	£3,804m	£1,658m	£4,102m	£1,705m	-4.1%	£4,094m	£1,720m
Stroud	£2,447m	£1,255m	£2,612m	£1,067m	18.7%	£2,434m	£1,047m
Forest of Dean	£2,043m	£1,454m	£2,203m	£1,207m	22.1%	£2,199m	£1,213m
South Gloucestershire	£2,767m	£1,437m	£2,983m	£1,737m	-23.2%	£2,978m	£1,700m
West Gloucestershire	£3,141m	£1,362m	£3,387m	£1,551m	-12.0%	£3,381m	£1,561m
Wiltshire	£2,343m	£0,829m	£2,526m	£0,813m	6.7%	£2,322m	£0,824m
Northamptonshire							
Northampton	£5,242m	£1,162m	£5,596m	£1,599m	-8.7%	£5,642m	£1,551m
Wellingborough	£3,264m	£2,069m	£3,417m	£1,455m	3.4%	£3,513m	£1,378m
Willesden	£3,270m	£0,853m	£3,517m	£1,134m	-11.6%	£3,519m	£1,136m
Wellingborough	£3,993m	£1,791m	£4,262m	£1,504m	-5.1%	£4,297m	£1,358m
Wellingborough	£3,202m	£1,850m	£3,424m	£1,881m	3.7%	£3,446m	£1,866m
Wellingborough	£2,794m	£0,876m	£2,802m	£0,936m	-7.4%	£3,007m	£0,894m
Wellingborough	£4,514m	£2,678m	£4,863m	£2,300m	12.7%	£4,858m	£2,310m
Wellingborough	£5,749m	£2,201m	£6,197m	£2,178m	-3.1%	£6,187m	£2,115m
Wellingborough	£14,606m	£7,542m	£15,591m	£9,085m	-31.3%	£15,720m	£9,029m
Wellingborough	£4,806m	£2,286m	£4,818m	£1,799m	3.1%	£5,172m	£1,639m
Wellingborough	£12,306m	£5,891m	£12,555m	£5,584m	-3.1%	£13,244m	£5,349m
Wellingborough	£3,164m	£1,351m	£3,377m	£1,232m	-4.3%	£3,405m	£1,201m
Wellingborough	£3,786m	£1,380m	£4,041m	£1,414m	13.4%	£4,075m	£1,383m
West Midlands							
Stafford	£2,549m	£0,802m	£2,721m	£0,692m	5.3%	£2,742m	£0,664m
Stafford	£1,920m	£0,450m	£2,049m	£0,871m	-30.8%	£2,066m	£0,855m
Stafford	£1,162m	£0,681m	£1,232m	£0,736m	-20.5%	£1,251m	£0,725m
Stafford	£2,827m	£1,318m	£3,018m	£1,361m	1.0%	£3,043m	£1,341m
Stafford	£3,357m	£1,143m	£3,366m	£0,826m	-16.7%	£3,613m	£0,683m
Stafford	£1,472m	£0,827m	£1,579m	£0,799m	-6.9%	£1,584m	£0,797m
Stafford	£3,768m	£0,517m	£3,778m	£1,168m	-21.4%	£4,055m	£0,945m
Stafford	£4,416m	£1,340m	£4,433m	£1,136m	1.5%	£4,753m	£1,082m
Stafford	£6,078m	£1,944m	£6,095m	£1,649m	6.4%	£6,541m	£1,509m
West Yorkshire							
Leeds	£3,808m	£2,307m	£3,914m	£1,849m	-4.1%	£4,098m	£1,794m
Leeds	£4,869m	£0,396m	£5,250m	£1,797m	-30.6%	£5,240m	£1,823m
Leeds	£4,535m	£1,393m	£4,841m	£1,623m	-15.5%	£4,881m	£1,582m
Leeds	£5,094m	£1,100m	£5,108m	£0,801m	-4.6%	£5,463m	£0,509m
Leeds	£3,771m	£0,353m	£4,051m	£1,072m	-23.3%	£4,881m	£1,064m
Leeds	£4,517m	£0,659m	£4,836m	£1,322m	-16.3%	£4,881m	£1,285m
Leeds	£5,610m	£0,183m	£5,625m	£0,701m	-17.5%	£6,038m	£0,407m
Leeds	£3,911m	£0,915m	£4,175m	£1,358m	-12.7%	£4,209m	£1,322m
Leeds	£5,341m	£1,919m	£5,356m	£1,226m	-0.6%	£5,749m	£0,892m
Leeds	£5,293m	-	£5,287m	£0,531m	-10.6%	£5,678m	£0,454m

TABLE 6

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outturn and spend 5X above 1981/82 with a 2.5X prudential allowance (over-budgetting)

Authority	Spending at target					++++Spending 5X above 1981/82	
	1981/82 revised budget Col 1	1981/82 grant after holdback Col 2	1982/83 budget Col 3	Grant Col 4	Rate increase Col 5	1982/83 spend Col 6	Grant Col 7
HUMBERSIDE							
Beverley	£3.435m	£1.557m	£3.601m	£1.780m	-10.2%	£3.697m	£1.675m
Boothferry	£3.517m	£1.947m	£3.527m	£2.193m	-16.4%	£3.785m	£2.222m
Cleethorpes	£4.207m	£1.834m	£4.219m	£1.819m	-6.8%	£4.528m	£1.747m
Glanford	£3.416m	£1.016m	£3.425m	£1.722m	-23.7%	£3.676m	£1.699m
Great Grimsby	£4.711m	£2.806m	£4.815m	£2.703m	-6.6%	£5.070m	£2.668m
Holderness	£1.628m	£0.906m	£1.708m	£0.955m	-9.8%	£1.752m	£0.955m
Kinston upon Hull	£18.497m	£10.320m	£18.549m	£12.198m	-26.3%	£19.907m	£12.299m
East Yorkshire	£3.711m	£2.162m	£3.775m	£2.290m	-11.1%	£3.994m	£2.328m
Scunthorpe	£5.747m	£2.154m	£5.763m	£2.765m	-20.3%	£6.185m	£2.879m
ISLE OF WIGHT							
Medina	£2.971m	£1.528m	£3.036m	£1.399m	-	£3.196m	£1.375m
South Wight	£2.588m	£1.270m	£2.595m	£1.160m	-8.3%	£2.785m	£1.123m
KENT							
Ashford	£3.619m	£1.690m	£3.863m	£1.530m	18.1%	£3.895m	£1.556m
Canterbury	£6.065m	£3.215m	£6.188m	£3.027m	-15.4%	£6.527m	£2.388m
Canterbury	£5.326m	£2.707m	£5.341m	£2.422m	-7.0%	£5.732m	£2.379m
Dover	£5.314m	£3.509m	£5.361m	£2.784m	4.0%	£5.719m	£2.774m
Gillingham	£2.228m	£1.548m	£2.402m	£1.651m	-25.3%	£2.399m	£1.663m
Gravesend	£4.245m	£2.198m	£4.419m	£1.905m	1.0%	£4.569m	£1.813m
Maidstone	£5.522m	£2.902m	£5.894m	£2.541m	10.7%	£5.943m	£2.550m
Rochester upon Medway	£6.683m	£3.592m	£7.134m	£2.847m	29.3%	£7.193m	£1.293m
Sevenoaks	£4.962m	£1.986m	£5.297m	£2.202m	-2.7%	£5.340m	£2.177m
Sheerness	£4.849m	£2.378m	£4.986m	£2.221m	-4.0%	£5.219m	£2.149m
Swale	£4.582m	£2.966m	£4.940m	£2.544m	9.2%	£4.931m	£2.355m
Thanet	£8.256m	£4.719m	£8.379m	£3.998m	16.9%	£8.999m	£3.899m
Tonbridge and Malling	£4.442m	£1.407m	£4.433m	£1.488m	-3.9%	£4.767m	£1.410m
Tunbridge Wells	£3.825m	£1.798m	£4.083m	£1.685m	-11.9%	£4.117m	£1.831m
LANCASHIRE							
Blackburn	£12.313m	£7.715m	£12.347m	£8.154m	-11.2%	£13.252m	£8.258m
Blackpool	£9.232m	£5.268m	£9.320m	£5.036m	-7.3%	£9.926m	£4.953m
Burnley	£7.404m	£4.437m	£7.424m	£4.320m	-2.4%	£7.967m	£4.413m
Chorley	£3.228m	£2.162m	£3.238m	£1.895m	-13.7%	£3.474m	£1.684m
Fylde	£3.127m	£1.575m	£3.338m	£1.502m	-6.5%	£3.365m	£3.216m
Hyndburn	£4.970m	£3.315m	£4.984m	£3.141m	2.8%	£5.349m	£3.794m
Lancaster	£7.005m	£3.931m	£7.057m	£3.817m	-9.9%	£7.539m	£3.686m
Pendle	£5.341m	£3.824m	£5.356m	£3.581m	-1.9%	£5.748m	£4.313m
Preston	£7.207m	£4.237m	£7.693m	£4.337m	-6.2%	£7.757m	£4.233m
Ribble Valley	£2.360m	£1.316m	£2.386m	£1.219m	3.6%	£4.559m	£1.254m
Rosendale	£4.236m	£2.761m	£4.218m	£2.462m	3.2%	£3.567m	£2.299m
South Ribbles	£3.314m	£1.999m	£3.543m	£1.869m	5.4%	£5.060m	£2.774m
West Lancashire	£4.720m	£2.569m	£5.038m	£2.421m	15.2%	£4.562m	£2.774m
Wre	£4.239m	£2.394m	£4.507m	£2.804m	-24.3%		

TABLE 6

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outturn and spend 5X above 1981/82 with a 2.5X prudential allowance (over-budgetting)

Authority	Spending at target					++++Spending 5X above 1981/82	
	1981/82 revised budget Col 1	1981/82 grant after holdback Col 2	1982/83 budget Col 3	Grant Col 4	Rate increase Col 5	1982/83 spend Col 6	Grant Col 7
LEICESTERSHIRE							
Leicester	£2.244m	£0.927m	£2.420m	£0.959m	2.6%	£2.415m	£0.962m
Leicester	£4.443m	£1.875m	£4.776m	£1.700m	11.1%	£4.782m	£1.699m
Leicester	£2.051m	£0.991m	£2.136m	£0.814m	9.4%	£2.207m	£0.756m
Leicester and Bowthorpe	£2.509m	£1.083m	£2.668m	£0.976m	0.7%	£2.700m	£0.958m
Leicester	£19.235m	£9.231m	£19.288m	£9.715m	-16.4%	£20.702m	£4.991m
Leicester	£1.491m	£0.627m	£1.528m	£0.588m	3.2%	£1.615m	£0.356m
Leicester	£3.005m	£1.044m	£3.070m	£1.106m	-2.9%	£3.224m	£1.047m
Leicester	£1.396m	£0.502m	£1.507m	£0.586m	-12.0%	£1.505m	£0.393m
Leicester	£0.868m	£0.331m	£0.913m	£0.360m	-10.0%	£0.944m	£0.355m
LEICESTERSHIRE							
Leicester	£1.964m	£1.004m	£2.118m	£1.244m	-22.1%	£2.114m	£1.250m
Leicester	£4.180m	£2.292m	£4.366m	£2.101m	9.2%	£4.499m	£2.020m
Leicester	£4.027m	£1.528m	£4.095m	£1.675m	-13.0%	£4.394m	£1.824m
Leicester	£2.388m	£1.531m	£2.564m	£1.313m	7.7%	£2.570m	£1.311m
Leicester	£2.614m	£1.915m	£2.946m	£1.771m	19.8%	£3.025m	£1.755m
Leicester	£3.482m	£1.735m	£3.717m	£1.752m	-2.1%	£3.748m	£1.730m
Leicester	£2.987m	£1.839m	£3.019m	£1.554m	11.2%	£3.215m	£1.561m
LEICESTERSHIRE							
Leicester	£2.763m	£1.538m	£2.979m	£1.381m	7.4%	£2.974m	£1.391m
Leicester	£2.689m	£1.294m	£2.899m	£1.341m	-6.0%	£2.894m	£1.350m
Leicester	£5.107m	£1.981m	£5.121m	£1.810m	-6.2%	£5.496m	£1.680m
Leicester	£3.216m	£1.727m	£3.433m	£1.691m	5.0%	£3.461m	£1.669m
Leicester	£9.079m	£3.303m	£9.104m	£2.825m	-4.1%	£9.771m	£2.959m
Leicester	£2.616m	£1.736m	£2.821m	£1.375m	14.3%	£2.815m	£1.394m
Leicester and West Norfolk	£5.278m	£2.620m	£5.634m	£2.398m	8.2%	£5.680m	£2.362m
LEICESTERSHIRE							
Leicester	£2.765m	£0.271m	£2.873m	£1.637m	-42.7%	£2.976m	£1.575m
Leicester	£1.781m	£0.344m	£1.901m	£0.484m	-9.0%	£1.917m	£0.463m
Leicester	£1.635m	£0.720m	£1.763m	£0.942m	-20.5%	£1.760m	£0.948m
Leicester	£2.423m	£2.066m	£3.504m	£1.839m	28.4%	£2.684m	£1.817m
Leicester	£9.407m	£4.560m	£10.041m	£3.969m	11.6%	£10.124m	£4.404m
Leicester	£1.826m	£0.695m	£1.968m	£0.902m	-15.5%	£1.965m	£0.907m
Leicester	£2.497m	£1.254m	£2.570m	£1.117m	-3.6%	£2.687m	£1.062m
LEICESTERSHIRE							
Leicester	£1.434m	£0.953m	£1.531m	£0.897m	16.3%	£1.543m	£0.948m
Leicester	£0.956m	£0.479m	£1.031m	£0.563m	-31.2%	£1.029m	£0.568m
Leicester	£4.801m	£3.133m	£4.825m	£3.189m	-3.3%	£1.887m	£0.771m
Leicester	£1.735m	£0.827m	£1.845m	£0.791m	-	£2.620m	£1.174m
Leicester	£2.434m	£1.567m	£2.475m	£1.182m	18.0%	£4.874m	£1.384m
Leicester	£4.529m	£1.654m	£4.541m	£1.442m	3.9%		

TABLE 6

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outturn and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budsettings)

Authority	1981/82 revised budget		1981/82 grant after holdback		1982/83 budget		Spending at target		Rate increase		1982/83 spend		Spending 5% above 1981/82		Rate increase	
	Col 1	Col 2	Col 3	Col 4	Col 5	Col 6	Col 7	Col 8	Col 9	Col 10	Col 11	Col 12	Col 13	Col 14	Col 15	Col 16
NORTH YORKSHIRE																
Craven	£2,054m	£1,169m	£2,193m	£1,151m	-0.9%	£2,211m	£1,145m	£3,487m	£1,829m	£3,497m	£1,559m	7.2%	£3,753m	£1,513m	23.9%	
Hambleton	£2,398m	£1,085m	£2,579m	£1,234m	-4.2%	£2,581m	£1,197m	£3,243m	£1,197m	£3,497m	£1,700m	-28.5%	£3,490m	£1,718m	-29.2%	
Harrogate	£8,294m	£3,408m	£8,317m	£3,319m	3.4%	£8,926m	£3,270m	£2,596m	£0,635m	£2,785m	£0,808m	-5.1%	£2,794m	£0,799m	-4.2%	
Richmondshire	£2,008m	£1,136m	£2,040m	£1,079m	5.3%	£2,161m	£1,069m	£2,544m	£2,312m	£5,247m	£1,247m	-19.0%	£5,644m	£2,450m	-10.7%	
Ryedale	£2,596m	£1,443m	£2,788m	£1,538m	-14.5%	£2,794m	£1,530m	£2,596m	£0,989m	£2,801m	£2,477m	-24.4%	£2,795m	£1,264m	-25.2%	
Scarborough	£5,050m	£2,853m	£5,391m	£2,927m	-7.2%	£5,435m	£2,912m	£3,724m	£1,402m	£3,984m	£1,562m	-20.9%	£4,008m	£1,555m	-19.3%	
Selby	£3,159m	£0,976m	£3,372m	£0,891m	-3.5%	£3,400m	£0,856m	£2,721m	£1,209m	£2,934m	£1,476m	-17.2%	£2,926m	£1,486m	-18.0%	
York	£5,041m	£2,789m	£5,381m	£3,330m	-10.6%	£5,425m	£3,312m	£11,557m	£5,690m	£12,326m	£6,385m	-14.5%	£12,438m	£6,323m	-12.1%	
								£3,115m	£1,919m	£3,130m	£1,378m	35.2%	£3,353m	£1,346m	54.8%	
NOTTINGHAMSHIRE																
Ashfield	£4,627m	£2,663m	£4,655m	£2,402m	9.2%	£4,900m	£2,436m	£2,773m	£1,517m	£2,960m	£1,301m	-10.8%	£2,964m	£1,282m	-8.5%	
Bassetlaw	£5,686m	£1,293m	£5,702m	£0,796m	-0.7%	£6,120m	£0,550m	£1,992m	£0,874m	£2,143m	£1,097m	-19.6%	£2,144m	£1,098m	-19.7%	
Broxtowe	£4,042m	£2,294m	£4,315m	£2,050m	8.2%	£4,350m	£2,226m	£7,187m	£2,218m	£7,207m	£2,673m	-14.1%	£7,735m	£2,419m	0.7%	
Gedins	£3,706m	£1,697m	£3,956m	£1,964m	-12.2%	£3,989m	£1,944m	£2,417m	£1,201m	£2,564m	£1,209m	-8.1%	£2,601m	£1,180m	-3.6%	
Mansfield	£5,659m	£3,568m	£5,675m	£3,483m	7.9%	£6,090m	£3,350m	£2,779m	£1,019m	£2,996m	£1,226m	-18.7%	£2,991m	£1,237m	-19.5%	
Newark	£3,877m	£2,096m	£4,138m	£1,909m	-2.9%	£4,173m	£1,826m	£3,366m	£1,570m	£3,622m	£1,548m	-14.0%	£3,623m	£1,525m	-14.1%	
Nottingham	£16,777m	£7,700m	£17,909m	£8,503m	-13.1%	£18,056m	£8,404m	£4,598m	£2,865m	£4,746m	£2,543m	7.6%	£4,949m	£2,498m	19.7%	
Rushcliffe	£3,816m	£1,137m	£3,912m	£1,026m	-3.9%	£4,107m	£0,550m									
OXFORDSHIRE																
Cherwell	£3,482m	£1,315m	£3,750m	£1,255m	-8.7%	£3,748m	£1,255m	£4,798m	£1,283m	£5,116m	£0,454m	16.4%	£5,158m	£0,384m	19.2%	
Oxford	£5,306m	£2,750m	£5,720m	£2,425m	7.5%	£5,711m	£1,543m	£3,309m	£1,387m	£3,389m	£1,316m	25.4%	£3,561m	£0,711m	38.9%	
South Oxfordshire	£4,114m	£1,584m	£4,436m	£1,525m	-2.0%	£4,428m	£0,289m	£4,314m	£1,294m	£4,605m	£0,813m	6.0%	£4,643m	£0,746m	8.9%	
Vale of White Horse	£2,863m	£0,368m	£3,087m	£0,379m	-3.5%	£3,081m	£0,399m	£2,658m	£0,900m	£2,840m	£0,588m	2.3%	£2,861m	£0,558m	4.6%	
West Oxfordshire	£2,277m	£1,059m	£2,455m	£0,989m	-6.7%	£2,451m	£0,999m	£4,335m	£1,115m	£4,627m	£0,988m	-1.2%	£4,666m	£0,936m	1.1%	
SHROPSHIRE																
Bridgnorth	£1,499m	£0,570m	£1,610m	£0,641m	-10.0%	£1,613m	£0,649m	£2,620m	£1,106m	£2,797m	£0,618m	29.4%	£2,820m	£0,587m	32.6%	
North Shropshire	£1,698m	£0,900m	£1,813m	£0,981m	-4.3%	£1,827m	£0,974m	£3,655m	£0,685m	£3,916m	-	22.6%	£3,944m	-	23.2%	
Oswestry	£1,122m	£0,612m	£1,198m	£0,704m	-9.3%	£1,208m	£0,700m	£2,690m	£0,487m	£2,871m	£0,639m	-14.3%	£2,895m	£0,628m	-12.2%	
Shrewsbury and Atcham	£3,213m	£1,042m	£3,422m	£1,248m	-3.9%	£3,456m	£1,220m	£2,342m	£0,814m	£2,508m	£0,767m	-1.8%	£2,521m	£0,752m	-	
South Shropshire	£1,366m	£0,808m	£1,459m	£0,807m	-3.5%	£1,470m	£0,804m	£4,429m	£1,393m	£4,728m	£1,067m	14.7%	£4,767m	£1,034m	17.3%	
The Wrekin	£7,067m	£2,932m	£7,086m	£2,752m	-3.3%	£7,666m	£2,649m	£3,497m	£1,659m	£3,733m	£1,361m	16.3%	£3,764m	£1,318m	19.9%	
SOMERSET																
Mendip	£2,523m	£1,269m	£2,720m	£1,337m	-4.7%	£2,715m	£1,364m	£2,549m	£1,072m	£2,612m	£0,859m	-14.4%	£2,743m	£0,798m	-5.0%	
Sedgemoor	£3,382m	£1,531m	£3,634m	£1,733m	-7.5%	£3,640m	£1,723m	£7,253m	£3,336m	£7,273m	£2,827m	2.4%	£7,306m	£2,777m	15.8%	
Taunton Deane	£3,405m	£1,781m	£3,639m	£1,789m	1.5%	£3,669m	£0,413m	£3,453m	£1,286m	£3,686m	£1,300m	-0.9%	£3,716m	£1,271m	1.6%	
West Somerset	£0,930m	£0,634m	£1,003m	£0,405m	16.1%	£1,001m	£0,425m	£4,089m	£0,399m	£4,330m	£1,199m	-28.7%	£4,401m	£1,115m	-25.1%	
Yeovil	£3,588m	£1,690m	£3,869m	£2,012m	-27.7%	£3,862m	£2,056m	£4,488m	£1,842m	£4,675m	£1,591m	-6.3%	£4,766m	£1,466m	0.2%	

TABLE 6

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outturn and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budsettings)

Authority	1981/82 revised budget		1981/82 grant after holdback		1982/83 budget		Spending at target		Rate increase		1982/83 spend		Spending 5% above 1981/82		Rate increase	
	Col 1	Col 2	Col 3	Col 4	Col 5	Col 6	Col 7	Col 8	Col 9	Col 10	Col 11	Col 12	Col 13	Col 14	Col 15	Col 16
WILTSHIRE																
Chippenham	£3,487m	£1,829m	£3,497m	£1,559m	7.2%	£3,753m	£1,513m	£3,243m	£1,197m	£3,497m	£1,700m	-28.5%	£3,490m	£1,718m	-29.2%	
Devon	£2,596m	£0,635m	£2,785m	£0,808m	-5.1%	£2,794m	£0,799m	£2,544m	£2,312m	£5,247m	£1,247m	-19.0%	£5,644m	£2,450m	-10.7%	
Marlborough	£2,596m	£0,989m	£2,801m	£2,477m	-24.4%	£2,795m	£1,264m	£3,724m	£1,402m	£3,984m	£1,562m	-20.9%	£4,008m	£1,555m	-19.3%	
North Wilts	£2,721m	£1,209m	£2,934m	£1,476m	-17.2%	£2,926m	£1,486m	£11,557m	£5,690m	£12,326m	£6,385m	-14.5%	£12,438m	£6,323m	-12.1%	
West Wilts	£3,115m	£1,919m	£3,130m	£1,378m	35.2%	£3,353m	£1,346m									
WILTSHIRE																
Chippenham	£3,487m	£1,829m	£3,497m	£1,559m	7.2%	£3,753m	£1,513m	£2,773m	£1,517m	£2,960m	£1,301m	-10.8%	£2,964m	£1,282m	-8.5%	
Devon	£2,596m	£0,635m	£2,785m	£0,808m	-5.1%	£2,794m	£0,799m	£1,992m	£0,874m	£2,143m	£1,097m	-19.6%	£2,144m	£1,098m	-19.7%	
Marlborough	£2,596m	£0,989m	£2,801m	£2,477m	-24.4%	£2,795m	£1,264m	£7,187m	£2,218m	£7,207m	£2,673m	-14.1%	£7,735m	£2,419m	0.7%	
North Wilts	£2,721m	£1,209m	£2,934m	£1,476m	-17.2%	£2,926m	£1,486m	£2,417m	£1,201m	£2,564m	£1,209m	-8.1%	£2,601m	£1,180m	-3.6%	
West Wilts	£3,115m	£1,919m	£3,130m	£1,378m	35.2%	£3,353m	£1,346m	£2,779m	£1,019m	£2,996m	£1,226m	-18.7%	£2,991m	£1,237m	-19.5%	
WILTSHIRE																
Chippenham	£3,487m	£1,829m	£3,497m	£1,559m	7.2%	£3,753m	£1,513m	£4,798m	£1,283m	£5,116m	£0,454m	16.4%	£5,158m	£0,384m	19.2%	
Devon	£2,596m	£0,635m	£2,785m	£0,808m	-5.1%	£2,794m	£0,799m	£3,309m	£1,387m	£3,389m	£1,316m	25.4%	£3,561m	£0,711m	38.9%	
Marlborough	£2,596m	£0,989m	£2,801m	£2,477m	-24.4%	£2,795m	£1,264m	£4,314m	£1,294m	£4,605m	£0,813m	6.0%	£4,643m	£0,746m	8.9%	
North Wilts	£2,721m	£1,209m	£2,934m	£1,476m	-17.2%	£2,926m	£1,486m	£2,658m	£0,900m	£2,840m	£0,588m	2.3%	£2,861m	£0,558m	4.6%	
West Wilts	£3,115m	£1,919m	£3,130m	£1,378m	35.2%	£3,353m	£1,346m	£4,335m	£1,115m	£4,627m	£0,988m	-1.2%	£4,666m	£0,936m	1.1%	
WILTSHIRE																
Chippenham	£3,487m	£1,829m	£3,497m	£1,559m	7.2%	£3,753m	£1,513m	£2,620m	£1,106m	£2,797m	£0,618m	29.4%	£2,820m	£0,587m	32.6%	
Devon	£2,596m	£0,635m	£2,785m	£0,808m	-5.1%	£2,794m	£0,799m	£3,655m	£0,685m	£3,916m	-	22.6%	£3,944m	-	23.2%	
Marlborough	£2,596m	£0,989m	£2,801m	£2,477m	-24.4%	£2,795m	£1,264m	£2,690m	£0,487m	£2,871m	£0,639m	-14.3%	£2,895m	£0,628m	-12.2%	
North Wilts	£2,721m	£1,209m	£2,934m	£1,476m	-17.2%	£2,926m	£1,486m	£2,342m	£0,814m	£2,508m	£0,767m	-1.8%	£2,521m	£0,752m	-	
West Wilts	£3,115m	£1,919m	£3,130m	£1,378m	35.2%	£3,353m	£1,346m	£4,429m	£1,393m	£4,728m	£1,067m	14.7%	£4,767m	£1,034m	17.3%	
WILTSHIRE																

TABLE 6

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outturn and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budsettings)

Authority	1981/82 revised budget		1981/82 grant after holdback		Spendings at target			++++Spendings 5% above 1981/82 revised budget				
	Col 1	Col 2	1982/83 budget	Grant	Rate increase	1982/83 spend	Grant	Rate increase	1982/83 spend	Grant		
	Col 1	Col 2	Col 3	Col 4	Col 5	Col 6	Col 7	Col 8	Col 9	Col 10		
WEST SUSSEX												
Adur	£3,388m	£0,920m	£3,397m	£0,927m	-5.0%	£3,646m	£0,955m					
Arun	£5,609m	£3,354m	£5,987m	£2,602m	24.0%	£6,037m	£2,566m					
Chichester	£3,813m	£1,990m	£4,048m	£1,838m		£4,104m	£1,782m					
Crawley	£5,390m	-	£5,405m	-	0.3%	£5,801m	-					
Horsham	£3,252m	£1,467m	£3,506m	£1,401m	-7.7%	£3,801m	£1,782m					
Mid Sussex	£4,382m	£1,815m	£4,678m	£1,566m	-4.1%	£3,500m	£1,414m					
Worthing	£5,324m	£2,386m	£5,683m	£2,717m	-15.8%	£4,716m	£1,323m					
WILTSHIRE												
Kennet	£2,405m	£1,156m	£2,567m	£1,444m	-16.1%	£2,588m	£1,452m					
North Wiltshire	£3,363m	£1,953m	£3,572m	£1,862m	6.2%	£3,619m	£1,825m					
Salisbury	£3,407m	£1,467m	£3,642m	£1,461m	6.3%	£3,667m	£1,452m					
Thamesdown	£11,861m	£4,338m	£11,894m	£3,164m	9.6%	£12,785m	£2,384m					
West Wiltshire	£2,948m	£1,621m	£2,988m	£1,462m	15.7%	£3,065m	£1,382m					

13:30

TABLE 7

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outturn and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budsettings)

54% grant

Authority	1981/82 revised budget		1981/82 grant after holdback		Spendings at target			++++Spendings 5% above 1981/82 revised budget				
	Col 1	Col 2	1982/83 budget	Grant	Rate increase	1982/83 spend	Grant	Rate increase	1982/83 spend	Grant		
	Col 1	Col 2	Col 3	Col 4	Col 5	Col 6	Col 7	Col 8	Col 9	Col 10		
WILTSHIRE												
Adur	£18,426,929m	£3,053,685m	£19,003,753m	£7,799,499m	7.5%	£19,673,540m	£7,475,942m					
Arun	£1,323,223m	£587,349m	£1,376,065m	£546,130m		£1,424,122m	£534,837m					
Chichester	£8,291,775m	£3,903,935m	£8,732,294m	£3,626,041m	-1.5%	£9,263,351m	£3,326,676m					
Crawley	£3,753,958m	£2,025,123m	£3,892,206m	£1,935,520m	9.2%	£4,040,199m	£1,894,221m					
Horsham	£1,066,911m	£458,301m	£990,706m	£464,020m	2.7%	£1,140,945m	£455,925m					
Mid Sussex	£9,614,998m	£4,491,284m	£10,108,359m	£4,174,171m	10.3%	£10,947,678m	£4,061,513m					
Worthing	£4,820,869m	£2,483,424m	£4,882,912m	£2,399,540m	8.3%	£5,181,143m	£2,750,146m					
WILTSHIRE												
Kennet	£113,451m	£-60,336m	£116,603m	£-63,352m		£-63,352m	£-63,352m					
North Wiltshire	£738,895m	£344,739m	£739,125m	£360,665m	-7.6%	£795,222m	£341,865m					
Salisbury	£1,549,799m	£284,403m	£1,553,552m	£297,313m	-3.4%	£1,667,957m	£278,513m					
Thamesdown	£1,601,558m	£581,463m	£1,661,261m	£599,598m	4.6%	£1,723,675m	£623,058m					
West Wiltshire	£338,638m	£112,545m	£796,531m	£267,992m	1.6%	£951,944m	£161,840m					
WILTSHIRE												
Local authorities	£1,657,544m	£820,324m	£1,684,815m	£803,491m	3.7%	£1,783,918m	£765,890m					
Local authorities	£1,230,078m	£695,262m	£1,276,059m	£683,334m	5.8%	£1,323,871m	£673,379m					
WILTSHIRE	£2,887,622m	£1,515,586m	£2,960,874m	£1,486,825m	4.5%	£3,107,789m	£1,439,269m					

TABLE 7

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outcome and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budsettings)

Authority	Spendings at target					++++Spendings 5% above 1981/82 revised budget	
	1981/82 revised budget Col 1	1981/82 grant after holdback Col 2	1982/83 budget Col 3	Grant Col 4	Rate increase Col 5	1982/83 spend Col 6	Grant Col 7
	ISLES OF SCILLY	£1.067m	£0.566m	£1.138m	£0.879m	-4.4%	£1.148m
SHIRE COUNTIES							
Avon	£274.297m	£123.897m	£282.945m	£118.661m	14.7%	£295.212m	£114.513m
Bedfordshire	£167.165m	£56.285m	£174.910m	£54.573m	6.9%	£179.911m	£49.575m
Berkshire	£196.890m	£55.115m	£205.891m	£44.097m	14.8%	£211.903m	£36.211m
Buckinghamshire	£164.735m	£47.243m	£172.942m	£48.474m	2.2%	£177.296m	£42.861m
Cambridgeshire	£166.748m	£65.966m	£175.070m	£65.524m	10.0%	£179.463m	£61.423m
Cheshire	£305.431m	£128.185m	£315.114m	£120.635m	13.3%	£328.720m	£114.269m
Cleveland	£211.995m	£103.576m	£213.927m	£101.309m	14.4%	£228.160m	£99.130m
Cornwall	£116.271m	£68.245m	£124.194m	£65.352m	11.4%	£125.137m	£65.170m
Cumbria	£150.627m	£90.660m	£156.003m	£89.858m	16.6%	£162.112m	£89.858m
Derbyshire	£262.000m	£146.686m	£277.319m	£139.407m	10.4%	£281.978m	£137.165m
Devon	£256.987m	£138.852m	£275.459m	£130.588m	9.8%	£276.582m	£130.155m
Dorset	£170.753m	£69.235m	£179.617m	£59.074m	21.1%	£183.773m	£55.457m
Durham	£193.315m	£119.014m	£199.057m	£117.939m	12.7%	£208.055m	£118.966m
East Sussex	£179.946m	£67.326m	£190.225m	£57.517m	14.9%	£193.667m	£54.293m
Essex	£401.448m	£155.057m	£427.575m	£128.882m	10.8%	£432.058m	£124.053m
Gloucestershire	£143.070m	£70.048m	£151.892m	£64.859m	11.4%	£153.975m	£63.444m
Hampshire	£425.639m	£185.208m	£451.677m	£163.499m	18.1%	£458.094m	£157.914m
Hereford and Worcester	£179.609m	£86.113m	£190.398m	£76.524m	14.6%	£193.304m	£74.164m
Hertfordshire	£284.895m	£90.277m	£303.928m	£63.639m	15.6%	£306.618m	£60.667m
Humbeside	£277.931m	£161.491m	£285.057m	£156.957m	17.7%	£299.123m	£158.163m
Isle of Wight	£33.950m	£17.711m	£35.824m	£18.062m	11.6%	£36.539m	£17.677m
Kent	£407.894m	£208.483m	£436.192m	£192.422m	12.0%	£438.965m	£209.772m
Lancashire	£416.250m	£253.882m	£439.479m	£254.296m	16.2%	£447.989m	£251.770m
Leicestershire	£244.329m	£126.156m	£258.642m	£116.910m	14.0%	£262.959m	£113.300m
Lincolnshire	£158.133m	£92.259m	£168.240m	£88.130m	12.9%	£170.191m	£87.303m
Norfolk	£189.070m	£91.796m	£201.102m	£84.420m	15.9%	£203.487m	£82.701m
Northamptonshire	£155.050m	£73.873m	£161.757m	£73.029m	12.1%	£161.748m	£71.907m
Northumberland	£94.539m	£54.517m	£99.303m	£52.839m	14.4%	£101.286m	£51.024m
North Yorkshire	£196.410m	£109.692m	£207.091m	£104.224m	13.3%	£206.366m	£103.000m
Nottinghamshire	£313.440m	£165.978m	£321.180m	£156.063m	21.9%	£326.328m	£149.351m
Oxfordshire	£152.689m	£57.231m	£161.412m	£46.513m	15.0%	£164.328m	£49.450m
Shropshire	£108.574m	£62.122m	£115.885m	£59.913m	9.1%	£116.353m	£58.311m
Somerset	£120.373m	£62.545m	£127.671m	£59.934m	11.4%	£129.351m	£45.702m
Staffordshire	£304.903m	£151.686m	£321.924m	£149.487m	12.1%	£328.133m	£68.580m
Suffolk	£163.019m	£72.726m	£173.665m	£69.890m	7.3%	£175.449m	£45.862m
Surrey	£257.502m	£70.401m	£273.629m	£50.385m	13.2%	£277.137m	£54.966m
Warwickshire	£133.404m	£60.779m	£143.176m	£54.236m	10.1%	£143.576m	£46.566m
West Sussex	£164.832m	£60.569m	£175.615m	£48.836m	10.4%	£177.407m	£46.566m
Wiltshire	£147.666m	£83.051m	£157.307m	£78.884m	13.7%	£158.926m	£78.100m

TABLE 7

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outcome and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budsettings)

Authority	Spendings at target					++++Spendings 5% above 1981/82 revised budget	
	1981/82 revised budget Col 1	1981/82 grant after holdback Col 2	1982/83 budget Col 3	Grant Col 4	Rate increase Col 5	1982/83 spend Col 6	Grant Col 7
	London	£54.575m	£-38.707m	£54.274m	£-40.642m	-5.4%	£58.736m
Greater London	£99.377m	£11.378m	£98.829m	£11.981m	-6.6%	£106.954m	£11.981m
Greater London	£49.889m	£29.359m	£49.614m	£26.873m	10.4%	£50.493m	£26.687m
Greater London	£65.125m	£9.164m	£64.770m	£42.328m	-15.2%	£70.095m	£41.209m
Greater London	£51.015m	£31.252m	£50.734m	£24.722m	-24.2%	£54.905m	£23.246m
Greater London	£67.660m	£24.293m	£67.287m	£29.125m	-15.4%	£72.819m	£22.666m
Greater London	£35.597m	£11.800m	£37.377m	£10.676m	7.3%	£38.201m	£8.176m
Greater London	£97.831m	£46.639m	£97.278m	£52.511m	-8.9%	£105.276m	£49.271m
Greater London	£72.666m	£43.463m	£72.265m	£42.921m	0.9%	£78.207m	£43.389m
Greater London	£85.032m	£40.156m	£84.563m	£42.710m	-12.6%	£91.516m	£38.521m
Greater London	£57.010m	£22.627m	£56.696m	£22.181m	-4.2%	£61.257m	£20.416m
Greater London	£57.699m	£44.508m	£59.712m	£45.634m	-9.7%	£62.099m	£45.499m
Greater London	£58.876m	£-21.629m	£62.329m	£-22.710m	-6.4%	£63.365m	£-22.710m
Greater London	£57.347m	£23.746m	£57.871m	£20.525m	1.0%	£61.720m	£18.710m
Greater London	£88.536m	£32.472m	£94.618m	£28.590m	14.4%	£95.287m	£27.638m
Greater London	£73.693m	£39.092m	£76.133m	£37.330m	6.3%	£79.312m	£36.947m
Greater London	£121.121m	£41.836m	£123.151m	£57.377m	-13.0%	£130.356m	£52.304m
Greater London	£86.169m	£42.471m	£92.088m	£35.928m	17.1%	£92.739m	£35.450m
Greater London	£97.036m	£45.174m	£102.702m	£35.137m	19.6%	£104.453m	£34.303m
Greater London	£102.148m	£45.874m	£109.165m	£46.820m	2.9%	£109.937m	£46.199m
Greater London	£86.767m	£36.405m	£90.771m	£33.167m	7.0%	£93.383m	£30.782m
Greater London	£109.289m	£48.439m	£109.718m	£53.096m	-5.9%	£117.622m	£50.892m
Greater London	£65.200m	£27.128m	£67.653m	£25.250m	1.0%	£70.181m	£23.497m
Greater London	£79.991m	£38.215m	£82.550m	£34.508m	10.0%	£86.090m	£32.808m
Greater London	£81.391m	£31.354m	£84.267m	£17.489m	10.9%	£87.397m	£17.303m
Greater London	£81.457m	£17.966m	£81.777m	£17.835m	-2.9%	£87.668m	£12.355m
Greater London	£42.778m	£15.847m	£44.876m	£12.505m	14.0%	£46.040m	£11.211m
Greater London	£33.685m	£25.853m	£37.373m	£21.887m	2.3%	£37.776m	£21.360m
Greater London	£110.650m	£53.526m	£111.519m	£64.607m	-15.7%	£119.096m	£62.333m
Greater London	£68.255m	£34.628m	£72.944m	£32.313m	11.7%	£73.459m	£31.978m
Greater London	£47.544m	£20.609m	£49.594m	£15.137m	19.6%	£51.169m	£13.774m
Greater London	£50.399m	£24.345m	£53.087m	£22.766m	9.4%	£54.242m	£21.366m
Greater London	£98.085m	£46.485m	£98.404m	£51.136m	-5.2%	£105.564m	£49.911m

TABLE 7

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outcome and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budsettings)

Authority	Spendings at target					Spendings 5% above 1981/82	
	1981/82 revised budget Col 1	1981/82 grant after holdback Col 2	1982/83 budget Col 3	Grant Col 4	Rate increase Col 5	1982/83 revised budget Col 6	Grant Col 7
GREATER MANCHESTER							
Bolton	£78,291m	£48,860m	£83,002m	£48,354m	8.1%	£84,261m	£47,960m
Bury	£52,953m	£29,141m	£54,839m	£28,499m	6.6%	£56,991m	£28,156m
Manchester	£235,951m	£109,582m	£236,236m	£94,411m	7.4%	£253,942m	£92,156m
Oldham	£72,444m	£49,225m	£76,341m	£46,761m	17.2%	£77,968m	£38,254m
Rochdale	£75,855m	£46,507m	£76,072m	£45,877m	5.4%	£81,642m	£46,354m
Salford	£36,298m	£54,768m	£90,101m	£50,729m	22.8%	£92,878m	£50,095m
Stockport	£80,835m	£40,704m	£85,160m	£35,920m	14.1%	£86,999m	£34,502m
Tameside	£71,356m	£45,550m	£74,358m	£44,029m	13.0%	£76,791m	£40,742m
Trafford	£64,108m	£25,221m	£68,326m	£19,605m	19.9%	£68,996m	£18,216m
Wigan	£98,206m	£59,679m	£101,882m	£58,591m	10.1%	£105,694m	£58,302m
MERSEYSIDE							
Knowsley	£63,109m	£37,577m	£65,880m	£37,006m	14.3%	£67,921m	£36,261m
Liverpool	£203,869m	£113,520m	£208,782m	£111,733m	14.4%	£219,414m	£109,517m
St Helens	£63,564m	£34,095m	£65,964m	£33,241m	7.9%	£68,411m	£32,577m
Serton	£80,764m	£47,501m	£86,611m	£42,817m	23.4%	£86,922m	£42,799m
Wirral	£101,401m	£55,280m	£108,073m	£51,850m	17.4%	£109,133m	£51,291m
SOUTH YORKSHIRE							
Barnsley	£72,837m	£48,021m	£74,284m	£45,917m	12.6%	£78,391m	£46,432m
Doncaster	£97,705m	£57,769m	£100,356m	£54,492m	11.2%	£105,135m	£53,861m
Rotherham	£81,346m	£53,846m	£84,079m	£50,529m	13.3%	£87,549m	£50,563m
Sheffield	£196,344m	£88,273m	£196,581m	£85,433m	2.3%	£211,315m	£82,000m
TYNE AND WEAR							
Gateshead	£67,799m	£41,962m	£70,311m	£39,422m	14.2%	£72,969m	£39,255m
Newcastle upon Tyne	£113,990m	£43,208m	£114,128m	£37,333m	1.6%	£122,682m	£34,233m
North Tyneside	£65,446m	£38,912m	£67,458m	£35,742m	8.8%	£70,436m	£32,478m
South Tyneside	£58,441m	£39,405m	£60,349m	£37,506m	15.7%	£62,897m	£36,570m
Sunderland	£97,616m	£61,672m	£99,450m	£59,782m	11.8%	£105,059m	£58,000m
WEST MIDLANDS							
Birmingham	£343,762m	£158,057m	£361,265m	£147,607m	14.1%	£369,974m	£139,463m
C Coventry	£103,912m	£82,150m	£109,423m	£54,265m	5.4%	£111,835m	£52,826m
Dudley	£73,320m	£50,702m	£77,452m	£26,901m	9.2%	£78,911m	£25,340m
Sandwell	£103,234m	£36,551m	£106,167m	£38,390m	5.3%	£111,106m	£32,404m
Solihull	£54,661m	£24,024m	£58,641m	£22,515m	10.3%	£58,329m	£24,474m
Walsall	£87,010m	£35,173m	£88,327m	£36,206m	-4.0%	£93,645m	£34,474m
Wolverhampton	£92,536m	£35,507m	£94,617m	£39,972m	-2.4%	£99,592m	£37,854m
WEST YORKSHIRE							
Bradford	£145,355m	£98,997m	£154,920m	£98,227m	14.0%	£156,438m	£99,291m
Calderdale	£59,009m	£40,929m	£61,695m	£39,337m	14.1%	£63,508m	£39,192m
Kirklees	£114,440m	£75,256m	£118,672m	£77,877m	5.3%	£123,166m	£75,600m
Leeds	£202,757m	£112,339m	£214,828m	£107,571m	9.4%	£218,217m	£105,600m
Wakefield	£93,431m	£55,160m	£97,576m	£51,133m	11.0%	£100,355m	£50,301m

TABLE 7

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outcome and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budsettings)

Authority	Spendings at target					Spendings 5% above 1981/82	
	1981/82 revised budget Col 1	1981/82 grant after holdback Col 2	1982/83 budget Col 3	Grant Col 4	Rate increase Col 5	1982/83 revised budget Col 6	Grant Col 7
GREATER MANCHESTER							
Bolton	£217,336m	£98,732m	£217,887m	£101,191m	1.3%	£223,908m	£98,126m
Bury	£157,660m	£68,393m	£140,422m	£66,576m	7.2%	£162,363m	£65,225m
Manchester	£148,166m	£67,192m	£148,541m	£65,118m	7.5%	£159,464m	£65,894m
Oldham	£123,270m	£64,207m	£123,590m	£72,320m	-15.2%	£132,678m	£72,245m
Rochdale	£237,288m	£68,902m	£198,050m	£69,596m	7.3%	£255,381m	£59,654m
Salford	£183,183m	£90,870m	£162,216m	£89,216m	3.0%	£197,151m	£94,775m
Stockport							
Tameside							
Trafford							
Wigan							
MERSEYSIDE							
Knowsley	£570,144m	£13,323m	£458,204m	£138,530m	-8.0%	£613,617m	£31,869m
Liverpool							
St Helens	£697,453m		£697,824m		-0.2%	£750,634m	
Serton							
Wirral							
SOUTH YORKSHIRE							
Barnsley	£268,494m	£99,222m	£338,327m	£129,463m	6.3%	£338,327m	£129,971m
Doncaster							
Rotherham							
Sheffield							
TYNE AND WEAR							
Gateshead							
Newcastle upon Tyne							
North Tyneside							
South Tyneside							
Sunderland							
WEST MIDLANDS							
Birmingham							
C Coventry							
Dudley							
Sandwell							
Solihull							
Walsall							
Wolverhampton							
WEST YORKSHIRE							
Bradford							
Calderdale							
Kirklees							
Leeds							
Wakefield							

TABLE 7

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outcome and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budgets)

Authority	Spendings at target					++++Spendings 5% above 1981/82 revised budget		1981/82 revised budget Col 1	1981/82 grant after holdback Col 2	1982/83 budget Col 3	Grant Col 4	Rate increase Col 5	1982/83 revised budget Col 6	Grant Col 7
	1981/82 revised budget	1981/82 grant after holdback	1982/83 budget	Grant	Rate increase	1982/83 revised budget	Grant							
	Col 1	Col 2	Col 3	Col 4	Col 5	Col 6	Col 7							
AVON														
Bath	£4.122m	£2.991m	£4.413m	£2.589m	-0.3%	£4.436m	£2.577m							
Bristol	£33.401m	£13.599m	£33.493m	£11.337m	11.5%	£35.948m	£10.566m							
Kingswood	£2.568m	£1.657m	£2.704m	£1.506m	-1.6%	£2.764m	£1.467m							
Northavon	£3.558m	£1.537m	£3.726m	£1.097m	4.7%	£3.828m	£0.960m							
Wansdyke	£2.503m	£1.444m	£2.674m	£1.193m	12.9%	£2.694m	£1.180m							
Woodspring	£6.362m	£2.957m	£6.714m	£2.846m	3.2%	£6.847m	£2.742m							
BEDFORDSHIRE														
North Bedfordshire	£5.264m	£1.682m	£5.619m	£1.390m	0.9%	£5.655m	£1.339m							
Luton	£7.806m	£2.856m	£8.332m	£1.825m	10.1%	£8.401m	£1.770m							
Mid Bedfordshire	£2.782m	£0.919m	£2.902m	£0.523m	22.9%	£2.931m	£0.487m							
South Bedfordshire	£4.452m	£1.177m	£4.752m	£0.237m	17.1%	£4.791m	£0.182m							
BENKSHIRE														
Bracknell	£2.978m	£0.401m	£3.137m	£0.557m	-16.4%	£3.205m	£0.450m							
Newbury	£4.483m	£1.990m	£4.724m	£1.422m	8.2%	£4.825m	£1.290m							
Reading	£10.355m	£1.432m	£10.354m	£1.166m	6.2%	£11.145m	£0.650m							
Slough	£5.537m	£1.549m	£5.910m	£0.522m	28.9%	£5.959m	£0.430m							
Windsor and Maidenhead	£4.548m	£1.654m	£4.904m	£1.225m	0.4%	£4.895m	£1.250m							
Wokingham	£4.498m	£1.937m	£4.801m	£1.320m	25.8%	£4.841m	£1.280m							
BUCKINGHAMSHIRE														
Aylesbury Vale	£3.867m	£1.152m	£4.130m	£1.073m	-14.5%	£4.162m	£1.030m							
South Bucks	£1.890m	£0.280m	£2.038m	-	13.8%	£2.034m	£0.250m							
Chiltern	£3.047m	£0.308m	£3.253m	£0.130m	-0.9%	£3.279m	£0.105m							
Milton Keynes	£6.024m	£1.167m	£6.430m	£1.981m	-25.6%	£6.483m	£0.700m							
Wycombe	£3.654m	-	£3.940m	£0.745m	-28.0%	£3.938m	£0.700m							
CAMBRIDGESHIRE														
Cambridge	£4.517m	£1.413m	£4.832m	£1.435m	-8.0%	£4.861m	£1.390m							
East Cambridgeshire	£1.565m	£0.682m	£1.687m	£0.747m	-6.5%	£1.684m	£0.732m							
Fenland	£2.520m	£1.389m	£2.690m	£1.237m	24.1%	£2.712m	£1.250m							
Huntingdon	£4.027m	£1.791m	£4.299m	£1.518m	12.9%	£4.394m	£1.480m							
Peterborough	£8.574m	£2.326m	£8.598m	£1.732m	6.9%	£9.228m	£1.480m							
South Cambridgeshire	£2.225m	£0.688m	£2.399m	£0.591m	-11.5%	£2.395m	£0.580m							
CHESHIRE														
Chester	£6.080m	£1.702m	£6.097m	£1.835m	-5.4%	£6.544m	£1.660m							
Conkleton	£2.968m	£0.985m	£3.168m	£1.108m	-12.8%	£3.194m	£0.970m							
Crews and Nantwich	£5.786m	£3.285m	£5.802m	£2.559m	22.6%	£6.374m	£2.680m							
Ellesmere Port and Neston	£4.064m	£1.008m	£4.211m	£0.848m	-10.0%	£4.317m	£0.817m							
Halton	£6.334m	£2.837m	£6.449m	£2.746m	-13.9%	£6.157m	£1.590m							
Macclesfield	£5.721m	£2.248m	£6.107m	£2.271m	-4.7%	£5.203m	£2.310m							
Vale Royal	£4.834m	£1.875m	£5.160m	£1.616m	3.1%	£8.719m	£1.590m							
Warrington	£8.101m	£2.652m	£8.647m	£2.864m	-9.9%									

TABLE 7

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outcome and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budgets)

Authority	Spendings at target					++++Spendings 5% above 1981/82 revised budget		1981/82 revised budget Col 1	1981/82 grant after holdback Col 2	1982/83 budget Col 3	Grant Col 4	Rate increase Col 5	1982/83 revised budget Col 6	Grant Col 7	Rate increase Col 8
	1981/82 revised budget	1981/82 grant after holdback	1982/83 budget	Grant	Rate increase	1982/83 revised budget	Grant								
	Col 1	Col 2	Col 3	Col 4	Col 5	Col 6	Col 7								
AVON															
Bath	£5.872m	£3.462m	£5.888m	£3.197m	-2.0%	£6.320m	£3.191m								
Bristol	£12.632m	£3.179m	£12.667m	£3.460m	-4.4%	£13.955m	£3.148m								
Kingswood	£13.923m	£3.183m	£13.961m	£7.853m	-10.6%	£14.965m	£7.857m								
Northavon	£10.813m	£3.008m	£10.843m	£2.157m	11.8%	£11.637m	£1.775m								
Wansdyke															
Woodspring															
BEDFORDSHIRE															
North Bedfordshire	£2.513m	£1.461m	£2.682m	£1.528m	-14.8%	£2.705m	£1.520m								
Luton	£3.754m	£2.194m	£3.821m	£1.788m	15.0%	£4.040m	£1.765m								
Mid Bedfordshire	£2.931m	£1.914m	£3.160m	£1.725m	23.4%	£3.154m	£1.733m								
South Bedfordshire	£2.815m	£1.467m	£2.296m	£1.380m	-22.6%	£2.492m	£1.349m								
	£2.813m	£1.413m	£2.868m	£1.500m	19.4%	£3.031m	£1.501m								
			£3.008m	£1.254m	6.8%	£3.027m	£1.238m								
BENKSHIRE															
Bracknell	£4.675m	£2.801m	£4.990m	£2.849m	6.3%	£5.031m	£2.842m								
Newbury	£2.639m	£4.004m	£2.505m	7.1%	£4.287m	£2.550m									
Reading	£5.795m	£2.299m	£5.811m	£2.688m	-10.8%	£6.237m	£2.654m								
Slough	£4.096m	£2.140m	£4.107m	£2.208m	-10.9%	£4.408m	£2.216m								
Windsor and Maidenhead	£1.537m	£0.932m	£1.631m	£0.870m	19.2%	£1.654m	£0.857m								
Wokingham	£4.381m	£2.586m	£4.676m	£2.458m	17.5%	£4.715m	£2.444m								
BUCKINGHAMSHIRE															
Aylesbury Vale	£4.388m	£2.717m	£4.684m	£2.219m	32.7%	£4.723m	£2.201m								
South Bucks	£3.402m	£2.124m	£3.411m	£1.894m	15.1%	£3.461m	£1.829m								
Chiltern	£5.610m	£2.487m	£5.625m	£2.234m	-5.7%	£6.038m	£2.167m								
Milton Keynes	£11.145m	£4.213m	£11.897m	£5.127m	-25.2%	£11.955m	£5.166m								
Wycombe	£4.561m	£2.519m	£4.863m	£2.487m	11.5%	£4.909m	£2.471m								
	£3.875m	£1.967m	£3.877m	£1.691m	15.3%	£4.170m	£1.672m								
	£4.454m	£2.335m	£4.466m	£2.278m	-2.1%	£4.794m	£2.309m								
	£1.984m	£0.674m	£2.138m	£0.590m	4.2%	£2.135m	£0.600m								
	£2.619m	£1.647m	£2.752m	£1.414m	9.7%	£2.819m	£1.378m								
CAMBRIDGESHIRE															
Cambridge	£4.021m	£2.343m	£4.320m	£2.284m	-5.8%	£4.328m	£2.118m								
East Cambridgeshire	£3.710m	£1.747m	£3.849m	£2.237m	-37.0%	£3.993m	£2.110m								
Fenland	£3.278m	£1.617m	£3.422m	£1.771m	9.6%	£3.581m	£1.758m								
Huntingdon	£9.515m	£6.612m	£10.299m	£6.398m	-9.8%	£10.241m	£6.425m								
Peterborough	£2.766m	£1.848m	£2.953m	£1.496m	37.6%	£2.977m	£1.486m								
South Cambridgeshire	£4.191m	£2.560m	£4.474m	£2.338m	-10.7%	£4.511m	£2.320m								
	£1.837m	£1.039m	£1.977m	£1.081m	-5.8%	£5.731m	£2.087m								
	£5.325m	£2.662m	£5.421m	£2.213m	-7.1%	£2.405m	£1.493m								
	£2.235m	£1.595m	£2.303m	£1.478m	15.0%	£2.478m	£0.789m								
	£1.359m	£1.001m	£1.413m	£0.785m	37.1%	£1.463m	£0.789m								

TABLE 7

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outturn and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budgetings)

Authority	Spending at target					++++Spending 5% above 1981/82	
	1981/82 revised budget	1981/82 grant after holdback	1982/83 budget	Grant	Rate increase	1982/83 spend	Grant
	Col 1	Col 2	Col 3	Col 4	Col 5	Col 6	Col 7
DORSET							
Bournemouth	£8.545m	£4.050m	£8.892m	£3.679m	-6.8%	£9.197m	£3.404m
Christchurch	£1.486m	£0.533m	£1.596m	£0.585m	-17.6%	£1.599m	£0.584m
North Dorset	£1.195m	£0.583m	£1.288m	£0.729m	-28.6%	£1.286m	£0.726m
Poole	£5.303m	£2.290m	£5.688m	£1.851m	4.8%	£5.707m	£1.852m
Purbeck	£1.233m	£0.623m	£1.328m	£0.594m	0.4%	£1.326m	£0.593m
West Dorset	£2.315m	£1.519m	£2.496m	£1.615m	-11.3%	£2.492m	£1.614m
Weymouth and Portland	£2.662m	£1.626m	£2.842m	£1.561m	0.6%	£2.865m	£1.552m
Wimbourne	£2.069m	£1.086m	£2.231m	£0.962m	0.3%	£2.227m	£0.973m
DURHAM							
Chester-le-Street	£2.836m	£1.605m	£2.844m	£1.608m	-2.1%	£3.052m	£1.638m
Darlington	£7.759m	£2.915m	£7.780m	£2.614m	0.6%	£8.251m	£2.497m
Dewsbury	£6.021m	£2.795m	£6.038m	£3.389m	-4.7%	£6.480m	£3.494m
Durham	£5.361m	£2.681m	£5.376m	£2.316m	7.5%	£5.770m	£2.322m
Easington	£5.494m	£4.120m	£5.714m	£4.305m	-6.0%	£5.913m	£4.347m
Sedbergh	£7.845m	£4.490m	£7.867m	£3.725m	33.0%	£8.443m	£3.889m
Teesdale	£0.847m	£0.507m	£0.903m	£0.539m	-9.5%	£0.912m	£0.538m
Wear Valley	£4.917m	£2.885m	£4.931m	£2.801m	2.6%	£5.292m	£2.857m
EAST SUSSEX							
Brighton	£11.861m	£5.235m	£12.059m	£5.642m	-17.0%	£12.765m	£5.295m
Eastbourne	£5.496m	£2.196m	£5.511m	£1.539m	-5.5%	£5.915m	£1.277m
Hastings	£4.009m	£2.619m	£4.279m	£2.366m	-3.6%	£4.315m	£2.349m
Hove	£4.191m	£2.407m	£4.509m	£2.970m	-33.1%	£4.511m	£2.374m
Lewes	£3.613m	£1.723m	£3.857m	£1.382m	4.2%	£3.888m	£1.354m
Rother	£3.657m	£1.811m	£3.904m	£1.450m	21.8%	£3.936m	£1.424m
Wealden	£3.746m	£1.701m	£4.021m	£1.850m	-17.6%	£4.032m	£1.844m
ESSEX							
Basildon	£10.709m	£3.326m	£10.738m	£2.705m	2.6%	£11.526m	£2.340m
Braintree	£3.895m	£1.711m	£4.113m	£1.468m	4.2%	£4.192m	£1.384m
Brentwood	£2.225m	£0.608m	£2.399m	£0.559m	-6.7%	£2.395m	£0.576m
Castle Point	£3.472m	£1.292m	£3.706m	£1.151m	7.1%	£3.737m	£1.124m
Chelmsford	£3.722m	£0.514m	£4.013m	£1.555m	-41.6%	£4.006m	£1.372m
Colchester	£3.892m	£1.448m	£4.182m	£1.873m	-29.7%	£4.189m	£1.380m
Epping Forest	£3.597m	£0.676m	£3.878m	£0.866m	-20.5%	£6.549m	£0.862m
Harlow	£6.065m	£0.065m	£6.102m	£0.346m	-9.2%	£7.387m	£0.413m
Malden	£1.609m	£0.591m	£1.718m	£0.437m	19.2%	£1.732m	£0.410m
Rochford	£2.792m	£1.344m	£2.981m	£1.042m	17.4%	£3.006m	£0.777m
Southend-on-Sea	£9.500m	£4.715m	£10.141m	£3.851m	0.2%	£10.224m	£2.453m
Tendring	£5.325m	£2.452m	£5.684m	£2.485m	0.6%	£7.279m	£0.671m
Thurrock	£6.763m	£1.622m	£6.782m	-	20.2%	£1.908m	-
Uttlesford	£1.840m	£1.070m	£1.984m	£0.661m	20.5%	-	-

TABLE 7

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outturn and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budgetings)

Authority	Spending at target					++++Spending 5% above 1981/82++++		
	1981/82 revised budget	1981/82 grant after holdback	1982/83 budget	Grant	Rate increase	1982/83 spend	Grant	Rate increase
	Col 1	Col 2	Col 3	Col 4	Col 5	Col 6	Col 7	Col 8
GLoucestershire								
Barnstaple	£3.804m	£1.658m	£4.102m	£1.596m	0.2%	£4.094m	£1.618m	-0.8%
Bristol	£2.447m	£1.295m	£2.612m	£1.556m	24.0%	£2.694m	£0.979m	27.1%
Gloucester	£2.043m	£1.454m	£2.203m	£1.437m	28.5%	£2.199m	£1.161m	27.2%
Stroud	£2.767m	£1.437m	£2.983m	£1.641m	-17.2%	£2.970m	£1.655m	-18.5%
Wotton Bassett	£3.141m	£1.362m	£3.387m	£1.461m	-7.8%	£3.361m	£1.474m	-8.6%
Wotton-under-Edge	£2.343m	£0.829m	£2.526m	£0.728m	12.0%	£2.522m	£0.741m	10.9%
GLoucestershire and Dean								
Gloucester	£5.242m	£1.162m	£5.596m	£1.451m	-5.2%	£5.642m	£1.405m	-3.2%
Stroud	£3.264m	£2.069m	£3.417m	£1.339m	9.5%	£3.513m	£1.261m	18.7%
Wotton Bassett	£3.270m	£0.853m	£3.517m	£1.030m	-7.8%	£3.519m	£1.034m	-7.8%
Wotton-under-Edge	£3.993m	£1.791m	£4.262m	£1.494m	-1.9%	£4.297m	£1.463m	0.2%
Wotton-under-Edge	£3.020m	£1.850m	£3.424m	£1.806m	8.8%	£3.446m	£1.792m	11.2%
Wotton-under-Edge	£2.794m	£0.876m	£2.902m	£0.861m	-9.7%	£3.007m	£0.821m	8.5%
Wotton-under-Edge	£4.514m	£2.678m	£4.863m	£2.183m	17.8%	£4.858m	£2.195m	17.1%
Wotton-under-Edge	£2.201m	£6.137m	£1.996m	£1.706m	6.2%	£6.187m	£1.938m	4.0%
Wotton-under-Edge	£14.606m	£7.542m	£15.591m	£8.876m	-29.0%	£15.720m	£8.823m	-27.1%
Wotton-under-Edge	£4.806m	£4.318m	£1.708m	£1.708m	6.2%	£5.172m	£1.569m	23.0%
Wotton-under-Edge	£12.306m	£5.891m	£12.585m	£5.341m	0.2%	£13.244m	£5.105m	12.6%
Wotton-under-Edge	£3.164m	£1.351m	£3.377m	£1.133m	0.1%	£3.405m	£1.104m	2.7%
Wotton-under-Edge	£3.786m	£1.380m	£4.041m	£1.315m	17.6%	£4.075m	£1.286m	20.3%
GLoucestershire and Stroud								
Barnstaple	£2.549m	£0.802m	£2.721m	£0.603m	10.0%	£2.743m	£0.575m	12.6%
Bristol	£1.920m	£0.450m	£2.049m	£0.319m	-27.7%	£2.066m	£0.304m	-25.9%
Gloucester	£1.162m	£0.681m	£1.232m	£0.709m	-16.1%	£1.251m	£0.698m	-11.4%
Stroud	£2.827m	£1.318m	£3.018m	£1.286m	5.6%	£3.043m	£1.268m	8.2%
Wotton Bassett	£3.357m	£1.143m	£3.366m	£0.748m	-14.2%	£1.594m	£0.621m	-1.9%
Wotton-under-Edge	£1.472m	£0.827m	£1.579m	£0.762m	-2.4%	£4.055m	£0.948m	-6.4%
Wotton-under-Edge	£3.768m	£0.517m	£3.778m	£1.070m	-18.4%	£4.735m	£0.881m	19.2%
Wotton-under-Edge	£4.416m	£1.340m	£4.433m	£1.032m	4.7%	£6.541m	£1.411m	22.8%
Wotton-under-Edge	£6.078m	£1.944m	£6.095m	£1.550m	8.8%	-	-	-
GLoucestershire and Stroud								
Barnstaple	£3.808m	£2.307m	£3.914m	£1.750m	0.5%	£4.098m	£1.638m	14.2%
Bristol	£4.869m	£0.396m	£5.250m	£1.620m	-27.0%	£5.240m	£1.648m	-27.8%
Gloucester	£1.497m	£1.497m	£1.497m	£1.497m	-12.1%	£4.881m	£1.457m	-10.1%
Stroud	£4.535m	£1.393m	£4.841m	£0.676m	-1.9%	£5.462m	£0.386m	12.8%
Wotton Bassett	£5.094m	£1.100m	£5.108m	£0.676m	-1.9%	£4.059m	£0.920m	-19.7%
Wotton-under-Edge	£3.771m	£0.353m	£4.051m	£0.924m	-20.0%	£4.861m	£1.117m	-11.5%
Wotton-under-Edge	£4.517m	£0.659m	£4.836m	£1.152m	-12.9%	£4.836m	£0.301m	-3.9%
Wotton-under-Edge	£5.610m	£0.183m	£5.625m	£0.593m	-15.7%	£4.209m	£1.222m	-7.4%
Wotton-under-Edge	£3.911m	£0.915m	£4.175m	£1.257m	-9.6%	£4.748m	£0.723m	21.0%
Wotton-under-Edge	£5.341m	£1.919m	£5.356m	£1.063m	3.4%	£5.748m	£0.002m	7.0%
Wotton-under-Edge	£5.293m	-	£5.297m	£0.385m	-7.9%	-	-	-

TABLE 7

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outturn and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budsetting)

Authority	Trends at target					****Trends 5% above 1981/82 revised budget	
	1981/82 revised budget Col 1	1981/82 grant after holdback Col 2	1982/83 budget Col 3	Grant Col 4	Rate increase Col 5	1982/83 spend Col 6	Grant Col 7
HUMBERSIDE							
Beverly	£3.435m	£1.557m	£3.601m	£1.687m	-5.6%	£3.697m	£1.644m
Boothferry	£3.517m	£1.947m	£3.527m	£2.150m	-13.7%	£3.785m	£2.152m
Cleethorpes	£4.207m	£1.834m	£4.219m	£1.751m	-4.1%	£4.528m	£1.860m
Glanford	£3.416m	£1.016m	£3.425m	£1.657m	-25.9%	£3.676m	£1.652m
Great Grimsby	£4.711m	£2.806m	£4.815m	£2.615m	-2.7%	£5.070m	£2.574m
Halderness	£1.628m	£0.906m	£1.708m	£0.949m	-5.4%	£1.752m	£0.954m
Kingston upon Hull	£18.497m	£10.320m	£18.548m	£11.976m	-24.3%	£19.907m	£12.111m
East Yorkshire	£3.711m	£2.162m	£3.775m	£2.237m	-7.9%	£3.994m	£2.267m
Scunthorpe	£5.747m	£2.154m	£5.763m	£2.680m	-18.1%	£6.105m	£2.682m
ISLE OF WIGHT							
Medina	£2.971m	£1.528m	£3.036m	£1.339m	3.6%	£3.190m	£1.316m
South Wight	£2.588m	£1.270m	£2.595m	£1.115m	-5.4%	£2.783m	£1.084m
KENT							
Ashford	£3.619m	£1.890m	£3.863m	£1.443m	22.5%	£3.895m	£1.426m
Canterbury	£6.065m	£3.215m	£6.188m	£2.916m	-12.4%	£6.527m	£2.877m
Dartford	£5.326m	£2.707m	£5.341m	£2.316m	-3.6%	£5.732m	£2.263m
Dover	£5.314m	£3.509m	£5.361m	£2.670m	8.6%	£5.719m	£2.653m
Gillingham	£2.228m	£1.548m	£2.402m	£1.568m	-17.0%	£2.398m	£1.578m
Gravesend	£4.245m	£2.198m	£4.419m	£1.806m	5.0%	£4.569m	£1.714m
Maidstone	£5.522m	£2.902m	£5.894m	£2.415m	14.6%	£5.943m	£2.294m
Rochester upon Medway	£6.683m	£3.592m	£7.134m	£2.672m	34.5%	£7.193m	£2.618m
Sevenoaks	£4.962m	£1.986m	£5.297m	£2.099m	0.5%	£5.340m	£2.075m
Sheppey	£4.849m	£2.378m	£4.926m	£2.129m	-0.8%	£5.219m	£2.054m
Swale	£4.582m	£2.966m	£4.940m	£2.446m	13.6%	£4.931m	£2.454m
Thanet	£8.356m	£4.719m	£8.379m	£3.883m	20.0%	£8.993m	£3.768m
Tonbridge and Malling	£4.429m	£1.407m	£4.433m	£1.390m	-0.7%	£4.767m	£1.314m
Tunbridge Wells	£3.825m	£1.798m	£4.083m	£1.794m	-8.2%	£4.117m	£1.771m
LANCASHIRE							
Blackburn	£12.313m	£7.715m	£12.347m	£8.049m	-9.0%	£13.252m	£8.183m
Blackpool	£9.232m	£5.268m	£9.320m	£4.891m	-4.7%	£9.969m	£4.811m
Burnley	£7.404m	£4.437m	£7.424m	£4.255m	-0.3%	£7.474m	£4.269m
Chorley	£3.228m	£2.163m	£3.238m	£1.828m	-9.4%	£3.365m	£1.844m
Fylde	£3.127m	£1.575m	£3.398m	£1.436m	-3.1%	£3.349m	£1.444m
Huddersfield	£4.970m	£3.315m	£4.984m	£3.089m	5.6%	£7.539m	£3.074m
Lancaster	£7.005m	£3.931m	£7.057m	£3.713m	-7.0%	£7.548m	£3.630m
Pendle	£5.341m	£3.824m	£5.356m	£3.532m	0.8%	£7.757m	£3.493m
Preston	£7.207m	£4.237m	£7.693m	£4.220m	-2.9%	£2.540m	£2.500m
Ribble Valley	£2.360m	£1.316m	£2.386m	£1.179m	7.2%	£4.559m	£1.179m
Rossendale	£4.236m	£2.761m	£4.248m	£2.423m	5.4%	£3.567m	£2.303m
South Ribble	£3.314m	£1.999m	£3.543m	£1.791m	10.3%	£5.080m	£2.690m
West Lancashire	£4.720m	£2.569m	£5.038m	£2.324m	19.4%	£4.562m	£2.324m
Wre	£4.239m	£2.394m	£4.507m	£2.718m	-20.5%		

TABLE 7

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outturn and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budsetting) 54% grant

Authority	Trends at target					****Trends 5% above 1981/82 revised budget	
	1981/82 revised budget Col 1	1981/82 grant after holdback Col 2	1982/83 budget Col 3	Grant Col 4	Rate increase Col 5	1982/83 spend Col 6	Grant Col 7
LANCASHIRE							
Blackburn	£2.244m	£0.927m	£2.420m	£0.889m	7.5%	£2.415m	£0.899m
Blackpool	£4.443m	£1.875m	£4.776m	£1.354m	16.4%	£4.782m	£1.556m
Burnley	£2.051m	£0.991m	£2.136m	£0.754m	14.3%	£2.207m	£0.697m
Chorley	£2.509m	£1.083m	£2.668m	£0.891m	5.7%	£2.700m	£0.854m
Fylde	£19.235m	£9.231m	£19.288m	£9.403m	-13.6%	£20.702m	£9.994m
Gillingham	£1.491m	£0.627m	£1.522m	£0.546m	8.3%	£1.605m	£0.516m
Halderness	£3.005m	£1.044m	£3.070m	£1.028m	0.9%	£3.234m	£0.970m
Kingston upon Hull	£1.398m	£0.502m	£1.507m	£0.533m	-6.9%	£1.505m	£0.541m
Scunthorpe	£0.868m	£0.331m	£0.912m	£0.331m	-5.3%	£0.934m	£0.307m
LEICESTERSHIRE							
Medina	£1.964m	£1.004m	£2.118m	£1.195m	-17.7%	£2.114m	£1.201m
South Wight	£4.180m	£2.292m	£4.366m	£2.006m	13.0%	£4.499m	£1.927m
Dartford	£4.027m	£1.798m	£4.095m	£1.798m	-10.0%	£4.234m	£1.749m
Dover	£2.388m	£1.531m	£2.564m	£1.254m	12.7%	£2.570m	£1.254m
Gillingham	£2.814m	£1.915m	£2.948m	£1.720m	24.9%	£3.029m	£1.686m
Gravesend	£3.482m	£1.725m	£3.717m	£1.666m	2.2%	£3.748m	£1.656m
Maidstone	£2.987m	£1.839m	£3.019m	£1.495m	15.7%	£3.215m	£1.502m
Rochester upon Medway	£2.763m	£1.538m	£2.979m	£1.296m	13.1%	£2.974m	£1.308m
Sevenoaks	£2.689m	£1.293m	£2.899m	£1.262m	-1.2%	£2.894m	£1.272m
Sheppey	£5.107m	£1.722m	£5.117m	£1.591m	-3.7%	£5.496m	£1.591m
Swale	£3.216m	£1.727m	£3.433m	£1.611m	9.8%	£3.461m	£1.594m
Thanet	£9.079m	£3.303m	£9.104m	£2.650m	-1.5%	£9.777m	£2.186m
Tonbridge and Malling	£2.616m	£1.736m	£2.821m	£1.296m	20.2%	£2.815m	£1.388m
Tunbridge Wells	£5.278m	£2.620m	£5.634m	£2.273m	12.4%	£5.680m	£2.239m
LANCASHIRE							
Blackburn	£2.765m	£0.271m	£2.873m	£1.578m	-40.0%	£2.974m	£1.518m
Blackpool	£1.781m	£0.344m	£1.901m	£0.420m	-4.6%	£1.917m	£0.400m
Burnley	£1.635m	£0.720m	£1.763m	£0.891m	-15.6%	£1.760m	£0.898m
Chorley	£3.423m	£2.266m	£3.504m	£2.171m	33.2%	£3.684m	£1.755m
Fylde	£9.407m	£4.560m	£10.041m	£3.771m	15.3%	£10.124m	£3.802m
Gillingham	£1.826m	£0.695m	£1.968m	£0.945m	-11.0%	£1.965m	£0.928m
Huddersfield	£2.497m	£1.254m	£2.570m	£1.053m	0.7%	£2.687m	£0.998m
Lancaster	£1.434m	£0.953m	£1.531m	£0.875m	20.9%	£1.543m	£0.872m
Pendle	£0.956m	£0.479m	£1.031m	£0.543m	-28.0%	£1.037m	£0.546m
Preston	£4.801m	£1.735m	£4.825m	£2.134m	-6.8%	£1.867m	£0.725m
Ribble Valley	£1.133m	£0.745m	£1.145m	£0.745m	4.4%	£2.620m	£1.130m
Rossendale	£0.827m	£1.845m	£2.475m	£1.137m	22.1%	£4.874m	£1.325m
South Ribble	£2.434m	£1.567m	£2.475m	£1.567m	6.0%		
West Lancashire	£4.529m	£1.654m	£4.541m	£1.382m			

TABLE 7

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outturn and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budgeting)

Authority	Spending at target							Spending 5% above 1981/82	
	1981/82 revised budget	1981/82 grant after holdback	1982/83 budget	Grant	Rate increase	1982/83 spend	Grant	Rate increase	
	Col 1	Col 2	Col 3	Col 4	Col 5	Col 6	Col 7	Col 8	
NORTH YORKSHIRE									
Craven	£2,054m	£1,169m	£2,193m	£1,114m	2.6%	£2,211m	£1,109m	2.6%	
Hambledon	£2,396m	£1,085m	£2,579m	£1,171m	0.2%	£2,581m	£1,174m	0.2%	
Harrogate	£8,294m	£3,408m	£8,317m	£3,195m	6.0%	£8,926m	£3,115m	6.0%	
Richmondshire	£2,008m	£1,136m	£2,040m	£1,046m	9.0%	£2,161m	£1,056m	9.0%	
Ryedale	£2,596m	£1,443m	£2,788m	£1,478m	-10.4%	£2,794m	£1,476m	-10.4%	
Scarborough	£5,050m	£2,853m	£5,391m	£2,842m	-4.0%	£5,455m	£2,823m	-4.0%	
Selby	£3,159m	£0,972m	£3,372m	£0,972m	0.4%	£3,400m	£0,975m	0.4%	
York	£5,041m	£2,789m	£5,381m	£3,242m	-6.8%	£5,425m	£3,226m	-6.8%	
NOTTINGHAMSHIRE									
Ashfield	£4,627m	£2,663m	£4,655m	£2,322m	13.1%	£4,980m	£2,326m	13.1%	
Bassetlaw	£5,886m	£1,293m	£5,702m	£0,668m	1.9%	£6,120m	£0,412m	1.9%	
Broxtowe	£4,042m	£2,294m	£4,315m	£1,958m	12.6%	£4,350m	£1,939m	12.6%	
Gedling	£3,706m	£1,697m	£3,956m	£1,877m	-8.3%	£3,989m	£1,855m	-8.3%	
Mansfield	£5,659m	£3,568m	£5,675m	£3,383m	12.9%	£6,090m	£3,354m	12.9%	
Newark	£3,877m	£2,096m	£4,138m	£1,822m	0.9%	£4,173m	£1,804m	0.9%	
Nottingham	£16,777m	£7,700m	£17,909m	£8,182m	-10.1%	£18,056m	£8,166m	-10.1%	
Rushcliffe	£3,816m	£1,137m	£3,912m	£0,928m	-0.6%	£4,107m	£0,833m	-0.6%	
OXFORDSHIRE									
Cherwell	£3,482m	£1,315m	£3,750m	£1,133m	-4.2%	£3,748m	£1,145m	-4.2%	
Oxford	£5,306m	£2,750m	£5,720m	£2,263m	12.8%	£5,711m	£2,287m	12.8%	
South Oxfordshire	£4,114m	£1,584m	£4,436m	£1,387m	2.7%	£4,428m	£1,407m	2.7%	
Vale of White Horse	£2,863m	£0,368m	£3,087m	£0,247m	1.2%	£3,081m	£0,263m	1.2%	
West Oxfordshire	£2,277m	£1,059m	£2,455m	£0,914m	-1.9%	£2,451m	£0,859m	-1.9%	
SHROPSHIRE									
Bridgnorth	£1,499m	£0,570m	£1,610m	£0,596m	-5.8%	£1,613m	£0,556m	-5.8%	
North Shropshire	£1,698m	£0,900m	£1,813m	£0,945m	-0.1%	£1,827m	£0,939m	-0.1%	
Osprey	£1,122m	£0,612m	£1,198m	£0,681m	-5.2%	£1,208m	£0,678m	-5.2%	
Shrewsbury and Atcham	£3,213m	£1,042m	£3,422m	£1,155m	0.3%	£3,458m	£1,119m	0.3%	
South Shropshire	£1,366m	£0,808m	£1,456m	£0,782m	0.2%	£1,470m	£0,779m	0.2%	
The Wrekin	£7,067m	£2,932m	£7,086m	£2,634m	-0.7%	£7,606m	£2,553m	-0.7%	
SOMERSET									
Mendip	£2,523m	£1,269m	£2,720m	£1,261m	0.6%	£2,715m	£1,273m	0.6%	
Sedgemoor	£3,382m	£1,531m	£3,634m	£1,653m	-3.5%	£3,640m	£1,633m	-3.5%	
Taunton Deane	£3,409m	£1,781m	£3,639m	£1,710m	5.8%	£3,669m	£1,691m	5.8%	
West Somerset	£0,930m	£0,634m	£1,003m	£0,360m	24.9%	£1,001m	£0,367m	24.9%	
Yeovil	£3,588m	£1,690m	£3,869m	£1,899m	-23.3%	£3,862m	£1,915m	-23.3%	

TABLE 7

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outturn and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budgeting)

Authority	Spending at target					Spending 5% above 1981/82		
	1981/82 revised budget	1981/82 grant after holdback	1982/83 budget	Grant	Rate increase	1982/83 spend	Grant	
	Col 1	Col 2	Col 3	Col 4	Col 5	Col 6	Col 7	
WILTSHIRE								
Chippenham	£3,487m	£1,829m	£3,497m	£1,475m	11.8%	£3,547m	£1,475m	11.8%
Devizes	£3,243m	£1,197m	£3,497m	£1,608m	-24.6%	£3,490m	£1,622m	-24.6%
Marlborough	£2,596m	£0,635m	£2,785m	£0,715m	-0.6%	£2,794m	£0,709m	-0.6%
Salisbury	£5,244m	£2,347m	£5,347m	£2,377m	-16.9%	£5,644m	£2,352m	-16.9%
Wiltshire-Lyde	£2,558m	£0,989m	£2,801m	£1,144m	-20.0%	£2,796m	£1,156m	-20.0%
Wiltshire	£3,724m	£1,402m	£3,984m	£1,439m	-16.9%	£4,008m	£1,412m	-16.9%
Wiltshire-Morlands	£2,721m	£1,209m	£2,934m	£1,404m	-13.0%	£2,928m	£1,414m	-13.0%
Wiltshire-Strat	£11,557m	£5,690m	£12,336m	£6,138m	-10.9%	£12,438m	£6,168m	-10.9%
Wiltshire	£3,115m	£1,919m	£3,130m	£1,322m	39.5%	£3,353m	£1,293m	39.5%
GLoucestershire								
Cheltenham	£2,773m	£1,517m	£2,960m	£1,230m	-7.0%	£2,984m	£1,212m	-7.0%
Cirencester	£1,992m	£0,874m	£2,143m	£1,036m	-14.9%	£2,144m	£1,038m	-14.9%
Gloucester	£7,187m	£2,218m	£7,207m	£2,528m	-11.4%	£7,735m	£2,277m	-11.4%
Stroud	£2,417m	£1,201m	£2,564m	£1,147m	-3.9%	£2,601m	£1,119m	-3.9%
Wotton Bassett	£2,779m	£1,019m	£2,996m	£1,136m	-14.6%	£2,991m	£1,149m	-14.6%
Wotton Bassett	£3,366m	£1,570m	£3,622m	£1,444m	-9.6%	£3,622m	£1,450m	-9.6%
Wotton Bassett	£4,598m	£2,865m	£4,746m	£2,456m	11.9%	£4,949m	£2,412m	11.9%
GLoucestershire								
Gloucester	£4,793m	£1,283m	£5,116m	£0,283m	20.7%	£5,158m	£0,216m	20.7%
Gloucester	£3,309m	£1,387m	£3,389m	£0,727m	29.7%	£3,516m	£0,622m	29.7%
Gloucester	£4,314m	£1,294m	£4,605m	£0,641m	10.8%	£4,643m	£0,577m	10.8%
Gloucester	£2,658m	£0,908m	£2,840m	£0,492m	6.6%	£2,861m	£0,464m	6.6%
Gloucester	£4,627m	£1,115m	£4,822m	£0,842m	2.6%	£4,666m	£0,753m	2.6%
Gloucester	£2,620m	£1,106m	£2,797m	£0,529m	34.7%	£2,820m	£0,500m	34.7%
Gloucester	£3,655m	£0,685m	£3,816m	-	22.6%	£3,934m	-	22.6%
Gloucester	£2,690m	£0,487m	£2,871m	£0,540m	-10.7%	£2,895m	£0,505m	-10.7%
Gloucester	£2,342m	£0,814m	£2,505m	£0,724m	2.7%	£2,521m	£0,708m	2.7%
Gloucester	£4,429m	£1,393m	£4,782m	£0,935m	18.7%	£4,767m	£0,899m	18.7%
Gloucester	£3,497m	£1,659m	£3,733m	£1,247m	21.8%	£3,764m	£1,206m	21.8%
GLoucestershire								
Gloucester	£2,549m	£1,072m	£2,612m	£0,794m	-11.2%	£2,743m	£0,794m	-11.2%
Gloucester	£7,253m	£3,336m	£7,273m	£2,692m	5.5%	£7,806m	£2,639m	5.5%
Gloucester	£3,453m	£1,286m	£3,686m	£1,207m	2.9%	£3,716m	£1,180m	2.9%
Gloucester	£4,089m	£0,399m	£4,330m	£1,081m	-26.0%	£4,401m	£0,998m	-26.0%
Gloucester	£4,428m	£1,842m	£4,675m	£1,447m	-2.0%	£4,766m	£1,324m	-2.0%

TABLE 7

Budgets, grant and rate increases if authorities meet targets at outturn and spend 5% above 1981/82 with a 2.5% prudential allowance (over-budgeting)

Authority	Spending at target					++++Spending 5% above 1981/82 revised budget	
	1981/82 revised budget	1981/82 grant after holdback	1982/83 budget	Grant	Rate increase	1982/83 spend	Grant
	Col 1	Col 2	Col 3	Col 4	Col 5	Col 6	Col 7
WEST SUSSEX							
Adur	£3.388m	£0.928m	£3.397m	£0.863m	-2.5%		
Arun	£5.609m	£3.354m	£5.987m	£2.439m	29.9%	£3.646m	£0.762m
Chichester	£3.813m	£1.990m	£4.048m	£1.734m	5.1%	£6.037m	£2.494m
Crawley	£5.390m	-	£5.405m	-	-7.7%	£4.104m	£1.679m
Horsham	£3.252m	£1.467m	£3.506m	£1.295m	0.8%	£5.801m	-
Mid Sussex	£4.382m	£1.815m	£4.678m	£1.438m	4.4%	£3.500m	£1.309m
Worthing	£5.324m	£2.386m	£5.683m	£2.605m	-12.6%	£4.716m	£1.401m
						£5.730m	£2.589m
WILTSHIRE							
Kennet	£2.405m	£1.156m	£2.567m	£1.393m	-12.3%	£2.588m	£1.385m
North Wiltshire	£3.363m	£1.953m	£3.572m	£1.784m	11.1%	£3.619m	£1.751m
Salisbury	£3.407m	£1.467m	£3.642m	£1.363m	11.1%	£3.667m	£1.342m
Thamesdown	£11.861m	£4.338m	£11.894m	£3.014m	11.5%	£12.765m	£2.831m
West Wiltshire	£2.848m	£1.621m	£2.988m	£1.376m	22.1%	£3.065m	£1.301m